BEFORE THE INDIANA GAMING COMMISSION

PUBLIC MEETING

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

DATE:

May 15, 1995

PLACE:

Indiana Government Center Auditorium

302 West Washington Street

Indianapolis, Indiana

REPORTED BY: Maria W. Collier, Notary Public

MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION

Alan I. Klineman, Chairman Thomas F. Milcarek Dr. David E. Ross, Jr. Donald R. Vowels Ann Marie Bochnowski

ALSO PRESENT

John J. Thar, Executive Director, and Members of the Staff

SHIREY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 201 North Illinois Street 300 Capital Center South Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 (317) 237-3350

$\underline{\textbf{I}} \quad \underline{\textbf{N}} \quad \underline{\textbf{D}} \quad \underline{\textbf{E}} \quad \underline{\textbf{X}}$

	<u>Page</u>
Presentation by Alpha Rising Sun	
David Frick	4
Stanley Tollman	7
Sanford Freedman	11
Tom Aro	16
Lee Hillman	19
Joe Emanuele	30
Tom Aro	4 2
Regina Hensley	4 9
Bryce Kendrick	53
Eric Bashford	63
Lee Hillman	67
Todd Kaplin	70
Lee Hillman	71
Tom Aro	71
Questions by the Commission of Alpha Rising Sun	72
Presentation by Rising Sun Riverboat Casino	
Partick Daly	182
Alfred Luciani	198
Nick Pritzker	212
Patrick Daly	216
Questions by the Commission of Rising Sun Riverboat Casino	183

MR. KLINEMAN: We have a couple of things. First, as to the presenters and others who may speak, if you will note that we have a court reporter transcribing the hearings, and we would very much like if the people who are going to speak will identify themselves, and, of course, we would like you to speak distinctly, and hopefully we'll be able to have a complete record of what's going on.

The other thing is the Commission has been grappling a little bit with the question of what kind of presentations we would like from the county officials concerning when they come up for hearing in June. We feel as a Commission that we do continue to want input from local officials, but we would like the local officials to, to the best of their ability, confine their presentations in June to the procedures that you went through concerning considering the

applicants.

If you have a choice obviously we would expect you to state that choice.

If you do not you can go forward with a discussion. In either event you can go forward with a discussion, particularly down in the southeast, why your area is deserving or desiring of a license. So we would like to put it on a very positive basis, and we would like the local officials who are going to make presentations to the Commission in June to try to follow generally the guidelines I've just stated.

I guess with that we can commence the presentations. I took a little of your time, Mr. Frick, so we'll give you until about twenty-three or twenty-four after 11:00 if you would proceed then.

MR. FRICK: Good morning, Mr.

Chairman and members of the Commission.

My name is David Frick. I'm a partner in the Indiana law firm of Baker & Daniels.

For nearly two years now we have been working with Alpha Rising Sun to create the Fulton's Landing Resort.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Over the next couple of hours we hope to show you seven key reasons why the Fulton's Landing Resort merits your favorable consideration; first, the enthusiasm and cooperative spirit of the good people and governmental leaders from Rising Sun and Ohio County which we believe will enable the construction and operation of our project to go smoothly and without delay; two, a beautiful site without environmental and wetlands problems at a point in the Ohio River where there are no channel or Kentucky boundry problems; three, a team of people of good character and reputation with decades of experiences in the gaming, travel, and leisure industries; the fourth reason, a resort project that was designed not away from Indiana but in Indiana only after significant input from the community impacted; the fifth reason, the control of a new riverboat which will permit the project to open on an interim basis very quickly and revenues flowing to state and local government almost immediately; six, a commitment from the team that you will meet today to not only the people of Rising Sun but also to the surrounding counties so that the impact of the project will be felt over a very large geographic area; seven, a conservative financial plan that is designed to ensure the operation of the project not for just two or three years but for the long-term.

Our presentation today is composed of several speakers, the team that will be working on this project, and some slides and basic information. To assist you to follow the presentation and as a reminder for your future benefit we have prepared for each one of you a binder containing the slides that you will be

seeing today and the other information.

Our first presenter is Stanley

Tollman, the chairman of Alpha Rising Sun
and its parent, Alpha Hospitality

Corporation, a publicly traded company.

Mr. Tollman brings nearly 45 years of

experience in the travel, hotel, and
leisure industries to this project. Mr.

Tollman.

MR. TOLLMAN: Good morning,
Chairman Klineman, members of the Indiana
Gaming Commission, Executive Director
Thar and your staff, and good morning,
ladies and gentlemen of Rising Sun
First. In June 1993 Alpha Hospitality
representatives visited Rising Sun,
Indiana, for the first time. The natural
beauty of the area and location of the
site convinced them that Rising Sun was
the perfect place to develop a
destination resort.

Rising Sun officials later visited Old Town in Kissimmee, Florida, a

shopping and tourist development owned and managed by an Alpha Hospitality affiliate, so they could see an example of what downtown Rising Sun could be.

Among the 11 companies originally vying for the city's approval Aztar, Pinnacle, and Alpha were chosen as the final three.

On August 5, 1993, Rising Sun city council unanimously selected Alpha Hospitality as its gaming partner. On August 10, 1993, we signed a letter of intent with the city to develop the first proposed destination resort on the Ohio River. Fulton's Landing sets the standard for other gaming companies to follow.

In order to give our Ohio County residents the opportunity to learn more about Fulton's Landing and the career opportunities that will be available we hosted a job fair and voter registration day on September the 18th. What made our

job fair unique was that we flew in 20 of our top executives from around the country to give people a chance to speak personally with an expert in the various facets of the gaming and hotel industries.

We took over 600 applications that day, and with the cooperation of both Democratic and Republican parties we registered over 115 new voters. That is the largest one-day registration of voters in the history of Ohio County.

In addition to opening our newly renovated office building on Rising Sun's Main Street, Alpha hosted multiple county wide meetings to allow people to ask questions about the company and the project. On November 2, 1993, Ohio County residents passed the gaming referendum by the largest percentage on the Ohio River and had the highest percentage of voter turnout in the entire state.

The city conducted a second project evaluation in January 1994 that included Alpha, Collins Music Company, and Gaming International. On February the 3rd Alpha was once again granted as a very strong number one. For the past two years we have been very active in community projects which you will hear more about later in the presentation, and that brings us here to our appearance here today.

Alpha was the first company to offer a destination resort on the Ohio River and the first in the state to offer an educational foundation. Today we are proud to present the people of Rising Sun and Ohio County to stand before you in the hope of providing them with the first destination resort in the state of Indiana. Thank you.

I would now like to introduce
Alpha's general counsel, Sanford
Freedman, who will continue with the

presentation.

MR. FREEDMAN: Thank you, Mr.

Tollman. Chairman Klineman, members of the Commission, Director Thar, members of the staff, thank you very much for the opportunity to make our presentation here this morning. My name is Sanford Freedman, and I'm general counsel and vice president of Alpha Hospitality Corporation and vice president and corporate attorney of Alpha Rising Sun, Inc.

I'd like to first just review the corporate organization of Alpha. While the company is relatively young having been formed in 1993 and having been taken public in November of 1993, as David Frick mentioned before, principals of the company have as much as 45 years of experience in the travel, leisure, and hospitality business.

In addition, a number of our key officers and employees have been involved

over the years intensively in the gaming business having been licensed in Nevada and Atlantic City and now being licensed in Mississippi and the state of Colorado. So we think that there is a well-rounded background for the project we're proposing for Rising Sun.

The three major constituents of

The three major constituents of Alpha Hospitality are Alpha Hotel
Management Company, of which I'll speak about a little more in a moment; Alpha Hospitality Gulf Coast, which is the owner and operator of the Bayou Caddy's Jubilee Casino in Waveland, Mississippi; and, of course, Alpha Rising Sun which has been designed to be the developer and the owner of the Fulton's Landing project.

Alpha Hotel Management Company, as the name indicates, is a management company which operates hotels on behalf of third party owners. We're very proud of the confidence that has been placed in

us by these third parties, and, as you can see, our operations of 20 hotels include part of the eastern and some of the midwestern parts of the United States.

I might point out that outside of Alpha and affiliated companies we additionally operate over 20 hotels which are owned by our affiliates as well as being operated by our affiliates of Alpha. I think you can see that there's a spread. We operate a number of Days Inns; we operate an independently named hotel in Orlando, Florida; and we operate a Sheraton Hotel in Myrtle Beach, South Carolina.

Just as a sign of the ability of our people in the hotel management world, while in the hotel industry as a whole occupancy rates this past year have been at approximately 65 percent we have run at 79 percent, and I might say that that's a relatively low figure for us,

but 79 percent compared to the industry averages I think is some indication of the ability of our people who are at the hospitality and hotel side of our operations.

The Bayou Caddy's Jubilee Casino is in Waveland, Mississippi. We're very proud of our ability to develop that quickly. It was a fast track project. Here you see our three-story barge. Believe it or not that structure is floating. It's 60,000 square feet, over 30,000 square feet of gaming space, playing space, within the barge on the three floors. It has restaurants, showrooms, a number of different activities that occur within it.

This is an indication of the theme of the casino there which is a New Orleans street theme. It's a permanent Mardi Gras approach, and we have entertainers and musicians circulating throughout the casino all the time.

The total investment in the Bayou Caddy's Jubilee Casino is \$44 million. First year casino revenues were \$41 million. We have 52 table games, 865 slot machines, 650 employees. We opened on January 12, 1994. We think we've done a very good job in what everyone knows has been a difficult and competitive situation there.

A number of the other casino operations on the gulf coast have either closed or are in the process of closing, and we've reiterated to the local community and the state of Mississippi our intention to stay the course, and we think we're going to have a very decent year, a very satisfactory year in Mississippi.

I would now like to introduce the president of Alpha Rising Sun, Inc., the man who really has nurtured this project from the Alpha point of view since its inception almost two years ago, Mr. Tom

Aro.

MR. ARO: Thank you, Sandy. Good morning, Chairman Klineman, members of the Commission, and certainly good morning to all you folks in the Rising Sun First shirts. We appreciate that.

We have a number of speakers to present to you today so I'll quickly go through the roster, and then we'll get into the real heart of our presentation. Our first speaker is going to be Lee Hillman who is the executive vice president and chief financial officer of the Bally Entertainment Corporation. We have Joe Emanuele who is with David Jacobson & Associates. Joe is our design team captain of our architectural design team in Atlantic City, New Jersey.

We have Eric Bashford from RAS

Securities who are our financial

advisors. Eric will discuss some of the financing components of the project.

Then we have what I'd like to categorize

as two special presenters. One is Regina Hensley who is with Alpha Hospitality, and the second is a young man by the name of Bryce Kendrick who has been with our local operation in Rising Sun almost from the beginning.

Quickly the topics that we're going to try to cover today with you is a brief description of the team, who we are; the project as we envision it which is basically a two-prong project, a destination resort and the revitalization of the downtown area so we really don't make a distinction in that regard.

The third major topic is the impact, what does all this mean in terms of careers for the citizens of Indiana and the surrounding communities, and what does it mean in terms of revenues for the state and local areas. The fifth topic is a brief run through of our commitment there. Bryce will handle that and again speak a little bit about our history

there within the community. And finally, certainly last but not least, is the financing as to how we propose to put the financial component of the overall project together.

Mr. Tollman and Mr. Freedman briefly went over the Alpha story I think to give you a quick background as to what Alpha Hospitality and Alpha Rising Sun is composed of, but the other major player to the team is the advent to the Bally organization coming on board with us. It's kind of as simple as an ABC type thing.

Alpha plus Bally equals commitment and capability, and we think we have put those major components together now and are very, very proud to have Bally's on board with us. They're coming in as our managers for the operations of the resort and will be participating in a major way with the financial component of the development.

So I'd like to introduce at this moment Lee Hillman, the executive V.P. and chief financial officer of the Bally Entertainment Corporation, the oldest name in gaming.

MR. HILLMAN: Thank you, Tom. Good morning, Chairman Klineman, Commissioners, Executive Director Thar and the staff.

My name is Lee Hillman. I am executive vice president and chief financial officer of Bally Entertainment

Corporation. I appreciate the opportunity to come before you today and to discuss the Fulton's Landing project.

Our chairman, Arthur Goldburg, sends his regards and apologies for not being here today. He's on his way to our annual shareholders meeting which begins tonight in Las Vegas, and we're very pleased with all of the reports that we're going to be giving to our shareholders later today and tomorrow.

In view of our position, Bally's

position, in the Fulton's Landing

project, which with your approval will

become manager, financer, and equity

partner in this project, I'd like to

provide you a brief overview of Bally

Entertainment Corporation.

This little graphic was produced by one of our principal competitors,

Harrah's, and we're very appreciative of them putting this together for us. What this little graphic shows is that Bally is one of the major six names, if you will, in the gaming industry. We are a major market leader both in the U.S. and world wide.

The Bally name, of course, is world renowned, and it is world renowned as the oldest name in gaming but also as a company which consistently delivers a quality experience to our customers. We have a proven success record of operating multiple casinos and a depth of management, which is we believe

comparable to anyone in the industry, and a very large organization which in addition to everything that we will show you in the casino business also includes a major fitness center business which is some \$700 million in revenues alone including employing 200 people in the state of Indiana.

Bally Corporation, which has been

New York stock listed for many years, is
a company with great financial stability,
management depth in which our principal
management in our casino group is some 15
years on average, ten years on average
with the Bally organization.

We have a significant customer

database as you see, and this is just in

the casino business, of some four million

plus regular customers in our database.

You might say in the fitness business we

have over four million health club

members. We have proprietary software

which enhances the Bally operating

capabilities which we believe are the leading deliverer of operating capabilities in the industry.

In terms of Bally's financial strengths our revenues in the casino business alone were nearly \$1 billion in 1994, operating income of in excess of \$200 million in the casino business. As I said, in addition to this there's another part of our business which is nearly, again, double the size.

In terms of balance sheet considerations it's very important to note that the company has nearly \$200 million of cash as of December 31st; in addition to that, almost \$70 million of unused credit lines available to us, total assets of nearly \$2 billion.

Bally's market capitalization is over a half billion dollars which is up more than five times in the last five years.

This map shows you the jurisdictions in which we currently

operate. We are licensed in each of these jurisdictions being Mississippi, Louisiana, Nevada, of course, and New Jersey. In New Jersey we operate two major casinos, in Las Vegas Bally's Las Vegas Resort, and tomorrow we will be announcing a brand new resort in Las Vegas. Our operations upcoming in New Orleans and the re-opening of our Mississippi property are something that will happen this summer.

In terms of our gaming expertise in the hospitality industry and in gaming itself we employ over 13,000 people just in the gaming side of our business. We operate nearly 4,800 hotel rooms presently, manage an enormous amount of casino space, several football fields worth, and slot machines and table games to beat the band.

I'd like to give you a brief review of some of the properties that we have.

I'll give you sort of a feel for those

properties. Bally's Las Vegas is the principal convention hotel resort in Las Vegas, Nevada. It operates at the corner of the Strip and Flamingo Boulevard and has some 2,800 hotel rooms.

We currently run occupancy rates, and have for the past few years, occupancy rates in the hotel of 95 percent, and the style of the property is an upscale convention-hotel resort, beautiful grounds, beautiful amenities including a health spa for our customers, the largest convention facilities in Las Vegas, and a casino that is approximately 60,000 square feet.

This property is exciting for us in that in addition to the property as it now exists, in about two weeks we're going to be opening the first monorail in Las Vegas. This is a full transportation system monorail, one of the former Disney monorails, that will be running between our property and the new MGM Grand resort

some half mile away.

_

_

The next property I'd like to show you is Bally's Park Place in Atlantic City. Bally's Park Place is one we refer to as the money machine of Atlantic City. It is the most profitable hotel in the Atlantic City market and has been for some 14 consecutive years. The property leads in all financial categories in the market including operating margins, earnings with and without depreciation and amortization, and every measure you could throw up against a casino operation.

It has more than 1,200 hotel rooms and some 80,000 square feet of casino space. It is the leading financial producer in Atlantic City despite being only the fourth or fifth largest casino and some 40 to 50 percent smaller than our largest competitor in that city. We're very proud of Park Place, of course.

Bally's Grand Atlantic City is a very interesting property and, quite frankly, our fastest growing property.

The Grand in Atlantic City is an upscale casino-hotel resort with a newly expanded casino of about 60,000 square feet, over 500 hotel rooms, and is a property which it was just announced, we're very proud, in 1994 became the property with the highest win per square foot per day of any casino in Atlantic City topping Caesar's.

Going on, our newest property which will be opening in at the end of June of this year is Bally's Casino Lake Shore Resort in New Orleans on Lake Pontchartrain. This is going to be a cruising riverboat which is now in the water and having its final outfitting for an opening the end of June. It will be the largest cruising river boat in the state of Louisiana and a property which we believe is going to be an enormous

success and an enormous addition to the

New Orleans market and to Bally's overall

casino product.

Finally, Bally's Saloon and

Gambling Hall in Tunica County,

Mississippi, is a property which we were one of the first properties to open in Mississippi. We have recently suspended that operation, and we are moving it and will be re-opening it this summer at a new location becoming the closest casino to Memphis, Tennessee. Presently we are the furthest casino from Memphis,

Tennessee in Tunica County.

This property is some 40,000 square feet of casino space all on a single level. The new project, already up and operating, it has a 240 room hotel tower which was part of the reason why we're moving to the new property, and we're very excited about the re-opening of this property later this summer.

I'd like to give you just a couple

of comments about Bally generally speaking, and that is with our expertise in gaming and hospitality we think you should also consider something else which we bring to the table which is very important to us. In fact, it is among the most important things that we offer to the communities in which we operate, and that is in terms of employee relations, training, and promotions, and that goes to all phases of our society, all people out there that are available for promotion and hiring in the company.

We are very active in affirmative action programs including minority and women's programs both as employees and vendors, the vendors to us. We have a policy, a very strict policy, of hiring locally and of significant community involvement in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate, and we hold to you an investigation of that or any evidence that you like.

We're very proud of our record in the communities in which we operate.
We're very proud of our local hiring record, and it's something that we believe in not only as a matter of commitment to Rising Sun and the surrounding communities of southeast Indiana, including Ohio, Switzerland, Dearborn, Ripley, and Jennings County, but it's a matter of policy which we believe is good business practice.

In closing I'd like to just restate our commitment to the development of Fulton's Landing at Rising Sun, to the people of Rising Sun and the surrounding communities, to the state of Indiana, and to you, the Commission and the staff, to bring the finest in casino and entertainment, which is Bally's trademark, to Rising Sun, Indiana.

MR. ARO: Thank you, Lee. I hope that pretty well summarizes why we're so happy to be partnered up with Bally's

subject to the approval of the Gaming
Commission, not only their size and depth
and experience in the gaming industry but
their marketing expertise and their
employee track record which is the finest
in the industry.

The next slide coming up is the project, the destination resort of downtown revitalization. Mr. Joe Emanuele from our architectural firm will come in and take over this part of our presentation. Joe has been the design captain on the project from the get go, and we think through his expertise and his company's we have captured the essence of what everyone is looking for in Rising Sun.

MR. EMANUELE: Thank you, Tom.

Good morning, Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission. My name is Joe

Emanuele. I'm vice president of David Jacobson & Associates. I am honored to present this project to you, Fulton's

Landing Resort, which I have been involved in since July of 1993.

This project is much more than a riverboat casino, much more than a land-based hotel facility. It is an entire revitalization of an existing downtown community along with a major development making this a full destination resort.

The project goals, Fulton's Landing Resort and Rising Sun, we want to make this a world class destination resort and Indiana's must see attraction as we journey into the 21st century. The project is of a size and magnitude which will allow the revitalization of downtown Rising Sun to happen simultaneously with Fulton's Landing Resort and, again, lastly, continuing the partnership as we started from the beginning with the people of Rising Sun throughout the entire project.

David Jacobson & Associates, we're

nationally known architects, planners, and interior designers, and we have created over 60 casinos, hotel-casinos, riverboat, dockside, and American Indian casinos throughout the United States and the Caribbean. As you can see, we've put our name on 12 casinos. Some of them were Bally's, Trump Plaza, Trump Castle, and so forth. Several of them we are the architects of record.

Early on we established a team concept with consultants who are very experienced with projects like Fulton's Landing Resort who can get the job done on time and on budget. This gives you an example of the overall task with the various members, D & J handling most of the consultants in terms of the land-based, Alpha handling the traffic and legal and the procurement of the riverboat which Tom will get into, and Brown & Root Construction handling the technical and engineering services.

Brown & Root, they will be the project construction manager. They are the largest engineering and construction firm in the world, and they will also be providing construction financing.

Forrest Jonren is here to answer any of your questions and so are other members of the team.

Some of the other members is MSE.

They will be providing civil, traffic, engineering, and surveying. They are the largest engineering and survey firm in the state, and they are here located in Indianapolis. Judd Marine will be providing maritime site risk analysis and marine safety plan services. They are also from Indiana, and provided in your booklets is a letter which states from the physical inspection that our actual site list is very minimal, and it's explained in that booklet for you.

Lawson Fisher, they will be providing marine engineering services.

They are also from Indiana. They have prepared and submitted a Section 10-404 application to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Brookridge Environmental, again, here in Indianapolis, they are providing environmental consultant services. They have prepared and completed phase one environmental site assessment for our project and have provided in our booklets a letter which concluded there are no environmental concerns with our site.

This here is an overall aerial perspective, and it explains to you our concept of a Victorian pavilion architectural concept which relates to the surroundings. The site is located adjacent to downtown Rising Sun along the beautiful Ohio River and such things as the riverboat terminal and hotel as you can see the riverboat passing by.

The overall master site plan, it's approximately a 150-acre site including

some area for future development. Access to the site is from Route 56 which is right here. We feel we will have a lot of traffic to our site. However, traffic is not the concern or the problem, it is congestion, and from our traffic studies Route 56 at its present level is adequate for our project and traffic demand.

The first construction sequence is our permanent recessed safe harbor approximately 700 by 175. Included in that would be boarding ramps and other required amenities to put our riverboat into operation. This can be completed in four months from issue of certificate of suitability from this Commission. Tom Aro will get into more detail about the riverboat itself.

Overall parking to be provided totally on site, 200 guest parking spaces, 400 employee parking spaces, 150 R.V.'s, and 9 buses. This also could be constructed in four months. The

intention is most of our customers will be entering Rising Sun from Route 56 and will be able to go downtown by shuttle or even perhaps horse and buggy from a scheduled route from our downtown entrance and also by walking along the new river walk. The new river walk is approximately in this area here. It continues in this area of downtown Rising Sun.

The next construction sequence is the riverboat terminal with its own porte-cochere, marine facilities with a dock master, and other related bus and patron amenities. This can be constructed shortly after the safe harbor and the parking construction.

The construction sequence will continue with a 250 room hotel with its own porte-cochere, a 1,200 seat showroom, a two level movie theatre, restaurant, enclosed swimming pool, outdoor tennis and volleyball courts, children's day

care facility, and all other related front house and back house amenities that is required for a destination resort.

This will be constructed in 13 months from the issue of certificate of suitability.

This here is an aerial view of downtown Rising Sun which is two blocks long. Approximately 40 buildings are of historical value which are suggested to be revitalized. Due to the size and magnitude downtown Rising Sun is planned to be renovated simultaneously during the same 13 month construction period.

This is what I refer to as Rising Sun's past. I obtained this photo from Jimmy Dorro who runs the Ohio County Historical Society Museum. This picture represents Main Street in Rising Sun during its heyday when it was a busy riverboat community for nearly half a century into the 1920's.

This photo was taken by Jim Falley,

a local photographer in Rising Sun.
Unfortunately the picture represents
downtown Main Street in Rising Sun today
with much less activity. This photo here
is of Old Town, a shopping and
entertainment attraction in Florida as
previously mentioned.

This is our concept for what downtown Rising Sun will be with streetscapes, architectural amenities, and landscaping which will be identical to Fulton's Landing making this project a fully designed and organized destination resort for patrons and local residents to enjoy.

This slide is a rendering of Main Street in Rising Sun as it would appear after it was restored with our riverboat passing by on the Ohio River. Downtown Rising Sun is an existing streetscape which architects and designers try to re-create for our project. The lower rendering and photo is of Pavey's on the

corner of Poplar and Main, an existing lawn care and equipment supply business suggested to become a restaurant with an outdoor cafe and a stop for the proposed shuttle service.

This represents our feeling that a golf course is an important amenity for a full service entertainment destination resort. Various 200-acre sites are under review for development of a state-of-the-art 18 hole championship golf course which will be made part of Fulton's Landing and Rising Sun's destination resort development.

Job opportunities. With the assistance of Brown & Root we have obtained a teamwork agreement with Dearborn-Ripley Building Trades Council. I believe we're the only applicant who has obtained such an agreement. A copy of the agreement is in your booklet also. We are also strongly supporting local tradespeople with job

opportunities.

_

Over the past two years our team has met with many governmental agencies as suggested who all see our project as being favorable. We've met with such people as Ann McMurray from Rising Sun Municiple Utilities, Bob Jenkins from the Indiana Department of Natural Resources, and, again, we have completed, submitted, and received a file number for a Section 10-404 application to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Development costs. As you can see here, land and land-based facilities is approximately almost \$39 million; F, F and equipment, 11 and a half; architectural engineering, five million; off-site restoration of park, four million, that involves three and a half million for the restoration of downtown Rising Sun and half a million dollars for a community park; pre-opening costs,

seven million; riverboat casino equipment and casino equipment, just under \$30 million bringing this project to approximately \$96 million. With additional bankroll and working capital the total development cost is over \$100 million.

In conclusion, Fulton's Landing, the resort project, and Rising Sun in Ohio County represents truly what gaming in the United States should be, and that is revitalization of existing communities, involvement of people, people like developers, designers, and people in the community, many other elements including revenues which will be further explained in this presentation. This is truly Americana as you must have felt per your recent visit to Rising Sun.

I would like to paraphrase a familiar saying as it relates to this project and the Commission. Ask not what

Fulton's Landing and Rising Sun needs
from you. Ask what Fulton's Landing and
Rising Sun will do for the people of the
state of Indiana. Thank you for letting
me present this project to you, and now
I'll give it back to Tom.

MR. ARO: Thanks, Joe. Again, just real quickly I think you can see we tried to capture the input that we got from the local community, and we think we've created an absolutely exciting destination resort for Rising Sun.

The one element Joe did not touch on that is obviously a key element in the overall project down there is the vessel itself. We just recently completed an option with the Keel Riverboat people. We have an option on a 293 by 74 foot three deck gaming vessel.

There is a brief stat sheet in your book that gives you some of the figures on that. I think the key numbers are though that the vessel itself is capable

of carrying 2,500 people, and it will have in excess of 1,500 gaming positions. So we feel pretty happy about that so we have the final piece of the puzzle, as we see it, locked up.

This rendering right here, or photo actually, is a sister ship to the one we've just cut our deal on, and it will be very similar to that so we believe that we've made a major step forward, again, on putting a major piece together.

Okay. The next major title of our presentation is the impact, what is this all really about when you really try to step back and see what the impact of this is. First area I would like to touch on is new jobs.

Our studies indicate -- and these have been conducted by the people at Urban Systems who have done an awful lot of work for us -- that the resort itself will produce 1,386 jobs with a payroll of

29 million. The multiplier for ripple effect type jobs we estimate to be in the neighborhood of 600 plus jobs for another 11 million. These are ongoing. These are not one-time shots. This is something that should continue on as we go through time.

There's been talk -- I've been to most of the presentations before, and I know you folks have gotten questions from the audience on types of jobs and so forth that this type of activity brings to a community. It is quite varied. It's almost like a city within a city. And these are not necessarily all hourly type jobs. They're jobs with a career line, and they touch on virtually every area that you could imagine within a community itself.

We'll certainly have several in the operational areas. We'll have banking type jobs, maritime law enforcement related activities, certainly a great

deal of sales and marketing activities.

Also within the hospitality element
there's just numerous career
opportunities there, and what we've tried
-- in your booklets, again, I believe
you'll find a little more expanded list
on the types of jobs that will become
available.

And there's one statistic that I would kind of like to touch on. I know we are running a little close on time, but, again, in your booklets there's a five year payroll projection by major department, and if you add up the five years we're looking at \$155 million in payroll dollars going into the community over a five year span so that's a pretty significant number in any community.

Okay. In terms of tax revenues and direct cash benefits to both state and local agencies, our first year numbers, again, these are based off of numbers that Urban Systems worked with us in

2 1

developing so it's not something we just grabbed out of the air. These have been under quite a bit of scruitny by ourselves and outside review.

But, again, in your book there's a detail as to where these taxes are coming from, but the slide summarizes it: 31 million from gaming and sales taxes, seven million and change from property taxes, our foundation contribution is three million, and the one-time community investment that we've touched on before three and a half million for the downtown restoration and the 500,000 we committed for the community park for a first year total of \$45 million. And, again, there in your book it shows you how we came up with those numbers.

If you look out over the five year span the numbers get absolutely staggering, but they are very real. The same categories when you add them all up come to a grand total of \$227 million

plus. Again, the components are broken down in your book as to how that was arrived at, but just the impact not only in terms of employment but in terms of tax revenues are mammoth, and it should be just a fantastic investment for everybody concerned.

The less quantifiable impacts that will come as a result of this but are certainly very real, again, Lee touched on it with Bally's experience in terms of developing local vendors and utilizing them absolutely wherever possible is something that we certainly have demonstrated for our two years there already, and we will continue. We've been active participants in local community events and charitible events, and we would certainly continue on being an active partner within the community.

The town and resort certainly will be included in all our advertising programs, and what the spinoff from that

is it's difficult to quantify, but, you know, there has to be some pluses coming from that.

And one other thing that we think will work well with the revitalization of the downtown area, at Old Town we have a program called merchant dollars wherein the hotels that we own and operate, the guests coming through there, they're given merchant dollars. It kind of draws them into the shopping centers, and we think that same type of program will work well here.

That's kind of a quick run through of the impacts, but the next speaker that I'd like to bring up, our next two speakers actually, as I mentioned early on I think are special speakers, and it embodies our commitment to the community, and I think these two speakers embody what the legislation was all about in terms of young people. So I'd like to introduce Regina Hensley to give you a

basic overview of the educational foundation.

MS. HENSLEY: Thank you. My name is Regina Hensley. I am the assistant to Mr. Tom Aro, president of Alpha Rising Sun, Inc. I have been working in Mississippi since Alpha Hospitality purchased the company. I began working for Mr. Aro almost immediately assisting him in the (Inaudible).

In May of 1993 the opportunity for gaming in Indiana looked like a real possibility. Being from Indiana Mr. Aro asked for my input and suggestions. I have been deeply involved with the project ever since helping with everything from town hall meetings, job fair and voter registration festival, and the development of the educational foundation. That is why I am here today, to share with you my vision of the Alpha Foundation.

As a former educator I have seen

the needs of various school districts.

As a graduate of Brookville High School I have experienced the needs of Indiana high schools personally. Over a year ago we sat down and tried to figure out a way to share the money needed for planning with neighboring counties.

In addition to participating in the city's share the wealth program we at Alpha wanted to do something more for the residents of Ohio County, Indiana. Many ideas were kicked around, but the addition of the educational foundation program seemed to be something that would reach many people.

As the foundation was developed a decision was made to contribute 50 cents from every paid admission from Fulton's Landing to education. Since a majority of our employees will come from a 50 mile radius the counties of Franklin,

Jennings, Ohio, Ripley, and Switzerland were chosen as the target areas for the

foundation.

R

We thought the best way to involve the community in the foundation was to invite educators to participate on the board of directors along with representatives from Alpha. We estimate that \$1 million will be contributed to the Alpha Foundation during the first year of operation and over five million in a five year period.

Over the last few weeks I have had the opportunity to speak in person and by phone with many superintendants and principals in the five county area. I shared with them our vision of the Alpha Foundation. Their enthusiasm for the foundation was overwhelming.

I asked each of them what things are needed to make the education in these counties better. Some lists were long, some short, but all similar, moneys for the continuation of Red Ribbon Week, upgrading of computer software, library

books, upgrading of science labs, reading program enhancement, remedial and gifted program enhancement, stay in school programs, and above all scholarships for graduating seniors. The Alpha Foundation was created to bridge just these kinds of gaps.

One educator I spoke with called southeastern Indiana the forgotten corner. We at Alpha would like to take away the word forgotten and replace it with the word successful. As future employees the success of these students will be linked directly to Fulton's Landing. As future leaders their successes will be linked directly to southeastern Indiana. Through the Alpha Foundation we believe that with these students success will become a way of life. Thank you.

MR. ARO: Thank you, Regina. Our next speaker just to quickly go through what our involvement and commitment in

the community has been is Bryce

Kendrick. Bryce is from Rising Sun,

Indiana.

MR. KENDRICK: Thank you, Mr. Aro.

Chairman Klineman, members of the Indiana
Gaming Commission, Executive Director

Thar and staff, my name is Bryce

Kendrick, and I can't tell you how much I
am honored that Alpha Rising Sun,

Incorporated gave a local man like me the
chance to speak to you today.

I am a life long resident of southeast Indiana, grew up in Greendale, went to school in Lawrenceburg, two towns I'm sure you're very familiar with by now. After graduating from Ball State University in 1990 with a degree in telecommunications I got a job as sports director at a local radio station in Ohio County. Yes, I was actually one of the very few people who drove to Ohio County to work everyday.

Shortly after that my wife and I

moved to Rising Sun in 1991. We have a two and a half year old son nicknamed the Terminator and a five month old baby girl who will absolutely melt your heart.

Rising Sun and Ohio County have come a long way in trying to earn one of the state's five riverboat licenses on the Ohio River, a journey that began nearly two years ago. One of the first organizations to come to our scenic river town was Alpha Hospitality Corporation in June of '93. Alpha officials were in awe of the area's rolling hills, the serenity of the gently flowing Ohio River, but most of all of the genuine kindness that was abundant in the people in the place that I'm proud to call home.

Alpha was so impressed with Rising
Sun and Ohio County the company never
considered another site. Since that time
Alpha Rising Sun's Fulton's Landing
destination resort has continued to set
the standard for quality and commitment

for other gaming companies to follow.

Alpha was the first company to offer three and a half million dollars for downtown restoration, the first company to offer \$500,000 for a city park. They were the only company to be rated number one in two separate evaluations by the city, the only company to hold multiple city and county meetings on gaming as well as, the people know, Alpha was the only applicant to support the Ohio County referendum.

But the two most distinguishing factors are that Alpha Rising Sun is the only applicant to offer a multicounty revenue sharing plan with money designated exclusively for education, and Alpha is the only Ohio County applicant past or present who had a company executive in town everyday to meet and talk with the people.

This person to person, face to face contact has been Alpha Rising Sun's

trademark from the very beginning, sharing and exchanging thoughts and ideas on how two entities could best work together to revitalize what was once a thriving river city, and I can tell you the people of my community have been very grateful to Alpha for always sticking by them. Alpha is part of the family.

I think what really created this unique, inseparable bond between Alpha and the people of Rising Sun were the multiple strategy sessions held in our office in preparation for the gaming referendum. Alpha and city officials along with a strong and determined group of local residents did everything they possibly could to bring Fulton's Landing destination resort one step closer to reality.

Their hard work and tremendous efforts paid off as the Ohio County

November 1993 referendum passed by the largest margin of any county on the Ohio

River. Those initial meetings have created a grass roots organization to promote Ohio County's destination resort proposal. I think you have heard of them, Rising Sun First.

The number of the group's cross section have grown to over 400 members strong. Their spirit and determination to bring more than just a riverboat to Ohio County has been unmatched in the state, and Alpha is very proud to have been the only company to have stood beside them from the very beginning.

In fact, before we left Rising Sun one member told me Don't worry, You know who we're pulling for. The mutual admiration between Alpha and Rising Sun First runs very deep. Those feelings can neither be denied nor disputed.

Alpha has supported nearly 50 local activities, events, and organizations since its arrival in June of '93, whether it be financially, with marketing, or

with manpower. Scholarships, school activities, youth and civic projects, parades and festivals have all benefited from this company's caring devotion to the people it represents.

And while the businesses in Ohio County may not be large in number Alpha went out of its way to buy from local merchants. There is not another gaming company in the entire state that has shown the commitment to the people it represents like Alpha has shown to the people of Ohio County.

Alpha has earned the people's respect, their trust, and their friendship by making them a partner of Fulton's Landing in Alpha Rising Sun. It was their ideas, their concerns, and their suggestions that made Fulton's Landing destination resort what it is today. It's not only Alpha's project, it's the people's project.

On a personal note let me share

-

with you what Alpha has meant to me.

When Mr. Are hired me in 1993 his initial thought was to have me provide the company with news and information about the city and occasionally answer some questions, but much to my satisfaction the job became more.

The company has allowed me to use and enhance the skills I learned in high school and college. I've done press releases, radio and newspaper ads. I've done traffic studies, interviewed people, analyzed applications, prospects, and marketing studies. I even met with potential suppliers to learn more about their products and services.

But, most importantly, Alpha has given me the opportunity to express my thoughts, my opinions, and my ideas.

They listen to what people have to say.

They encourage you to make a contribution. Alpha Rising Sun gives the people a chance to explore their talents

and use them to their fullest extent.

That is what makes the company successful, and that is what makes it a pleasure to come to work every day. I'm hoping that nearly 1,400 other people like me will get the same opportunity to work for these people. I hope that 1,400 other people like me will get to hear their supervisor say What do you think, What is your opinion.

I'm hoping Ohio County will be able to use the talents of its high school and college graduates instead of exporting nearly all of them to other counties or, worse yet, out of state. It's time for the people of Rising Sun and Ohio County to come home. Things are finally moving in the right direction for a community that has suffered through one disappointment after another.

Promises of economic recovery have come and gone, but since Alpha arrived in June of '93 the people of my community

are enthusiastic, rejuvinated, and want to make things happen. For example, the local business and professional association which meets in our office now plans for six major events a year instead of just one.

When the referendum was passed you have to understand the people of Rising Sun were not voting just to allow gaming into their community. These people were voting on a vision, voting for a destination resort they very much helped to create. The signs and flyers posted throughout the county said Vote Yes for a Destination Resort.

Together we passed the referendum.

Together we put Rising Sun and Ohio

County on the map. Together we shaped

and molded Fulton's Landing into a world

class destination resort. And with your

approval we will go forward together and

complete the vision the people of Ohio

County and southeast Indiana have so

proudly supported for the last two years.

So, my fellow citizens, on behalf of Alpha Rising Sun, Incorporated I thank you for the tremendous support you've given this company since day one. Your efforts have been greatly appreciated, your suggestions and comments have been insightful, your friendship and camaraderie have been invaluable.

We've been an unbeatable team for
the last two years, and, members of the
Commission, I am asking you to cast your
support for the company that has and will
continue to dedicate itself to the people
it represents. Yesterday we had a
vision. Tomorrow we'll have our future.
Alpha Rising Sun, Incorporated will not
let you down. Thank you.

MR. KLINEMAN: Mr. Frick, I know when you're having fun time goes fast, and you are running out. How much longer?

MR. ARO: About five more minutes.

MR. KLINEMAN: You're going to get into the financing so I think I'll grant you that time.

MR. ARO: Thank you very much. We are trying to speed it up. Coming to the final element is the financing. I think we do have a wonderful team together.

We've got a great project, and I think we've demonstrated our commitments. So how do we put this all together from a financial perspective, and to walk us through that we'll start with Eric Bashford of RAS Financial Securities.

MR. BASHFORD: Good morning. I'm

Eric Bashford. I'm the director of
investment banking at RAS Securities.

Our firm is a firm that focuses on middle
market financing. Over the last three
years we have completed over 40 offerings
on both a public and private basis
raising something over \$5 billion.

The Rising Sun facility is one that

we expect will generate \$153 million in revenues in the first year with operating earnings of some \$56 million. This was principally developed, these projections were developed, as a result of our study commissioned with Urban Systems, and it's interesting to note that some 80 percent of these revenues are expected to come from people from Ohio and Kentucky so these are out of state revenues that are coming in to contribute to the local economy.

Project cost at Fulton's Landing is some \$100 million. The original financing plan for this called for an interim cash flow, if you will, that would come from the operating profits in the first nine months which we projected to be some \$29.7 million actually in net cash flow over the first nine months on \$103 million in revenues.

In addition, the original financing plan called for a \$50 million net private

placement of subordinated convertible debentures. These would be sold by us to major institutional investors in the United States. The fact that Alpha is a public company significantly adds to the viability of getting this round of financing completed.

\$30 million placement of a first mortgage and equipment financing. As you may be aware, the vendors of gaming equipment will supply almost 100 percent financing for the equipment, slots, etc., that they provide into the casino operations.

In addition, bank financing is readily available from major institutional banks, commercial banks in the United States on a mortgage basis.

It's a very conservative amount of financing we've projected here relative to the total size of this project.

If you note, the financing plan that we have in place as originally

projected shows that the interest coverage ratios are some five to one.

Standard in the industry is roughly two to one on these ratios. That means about five dollars is available to cover each dollar required in debt service. This is a very, very conservative plan.

Of course, the world has changed with Alpha Hospitality signing the casino management agreement with Bally on February 27th. Bally certainly added an additional significant dimension to the plan which increases the liklihood and attractiveness of the overall financing.

In addition to Alpha's equity commitment of \$10 million to the Rising Sun project, of which \$2 million has already been spent to date, Bally has committed to put some \$31 million in equity into the project. This equity consists of some \$10 million in development, preopening, and cash and bankroll requirements, a million dollars

for a partnership interest, and \$20 million in the form of nonvoting preferred equity which carries a cumulative dividend of prime plus three. It has a priority return from cash flow on the project.

This funding that Bally's committed to us to funding in this transaction will allow the development and construction work to commence immediately upon the issuance of the certificate of suitability by the Indiana Gaming Commission.

At this point I'd like to reintroduce Lee Hillman, executive vice president and CFO of Bally's who will talk a little bit about Bally's experience in raising capital for these kinds of projects.

MR. HILLMAN: Thank you very much.

In the interest of time I could just tell
you that we're going to come up here and
write the check, which we have the

ability to do, but you want something that is thoughtful and something that really demonstrates that we know what we're doing.

years some \$1.5 billion in financing that is on balance sheet and an additional approximately \$100 million of off balance sheet financing in terms of equipment financing and leases relative to our casino projects. As was just stated, Bally has committed some \$31 million of equity to this project, and,

Commissioners and staff, we have provided you a letter from our chairman which details that \$31 million commitment.

What is significant though in bringing this together is that the vessel and equipment financing which was spoken about is something that is readily in place for us. We have done these types of transactions very recently. In fact, the format, the structure we are using

for this project, is similar to what we have used in Mississippi and New Orleans, our two most recent projects.

Those are based on Bally's raising of an equity fund nearly two years ago which provides the initial equity financing and back stops our ability to complete the projects. We go out and we put together the construction financing together, join it with our equity dollars, build the projects, and then as it becomes advantageous we then replace that financing with permanent long-term financing at the most economically advantageous rates. We believe it's the smartest way to do business, and that's really what we're all about, trying to make money for this project.

While I'm up here what I'd like to do is bring up a gentleman by the name of Todd Kaplin who is a managing director of Merrill Lynch and our financial advisor just to make a couple of comments.

Merrill Lynch has joined us, and I'd like
Todd to make one or two statements here.

MR. KAPLIN: Thanks, Lee. I'll just take a couple of quick moments to comment on what Merrill Lynch brings to the team here today. Many of you know the name Merrill Lynch I'm sure. We're the biggest broker in the entire country. What you may not know is that we have raised more capital for U.S. companies every year for the past seven years running.

I'm delighted to be invited here today to work once again with my friends at Bally. As a market leader in their field we have been pleased to help them raise the preponderance of that billion and a half since the beginning of 1993 in a variety of different formats and venues.

Having reviewed the facts and circumstances of this project extensively with the team here I think we're very

comfortable at Merrill Lynch that there are a variety of different ways and means for us to put together the 60 odd million of permanent financing once the project gets going, and we are sure that whether that be public, private, secured, unsecured, what have you there will be a variety of different alternatives to pursue at the appropriate time.

MR. HILLMAN: Just finishing up, the financing, some \$31 million in present financing, is already in place in back stop for the construction of the land-based piece already committed to by the construction company, Brown & Root, a subsidiary of Talbott Corporation, one of the major corporations in America. So we feel comfortable with the financing here and believe it presents you with a project which is structured in the manner it should be. Thank you very much.

MR. ARO: Just summing up again very quickly -- and I appreciate the

extra minutes, Mr. Chairman -- we think
we have put together an absolute first
rate team to pull this project off, and
we think we've developed a project that's
responsive to the community and to good
business sense.

I think we have demonstrated our commitment by our activities over the past two years, and I believe with the advent of Bally and Merrill Lynch we have certainly put the financial piece in place here. So that coupled with our boat I think we're ready to go, and we're ready to move as quickly as you folks are, and I thank you very much for your time and attention, and I guess we'll go to Q and A. Thank you.

MR. KLINEMAN: Thank you very much for that presentation. I appreciate it. I guess we need to start off where you people ended which is in the financing package. I really want to understand what Bally is willing to do black line,

bottom signature for this project. I guess I appreciate Mr. Merrill Lynch saying, you know, he's confident. I want to know what Bally is putting into this project without strings, without an equity position so that we can consider the financing package.

MR. HILLMAN: Mr. Chairman, we are committed to this program and this project, and we are willing to finance the project entirely. We felt it was in everyone's interest to present the structure which we believe is most advantageous which calls for the \$31 million of equity moneys going in, but Bally is committed to this project and will provide financing for this project in its entirety as necessary.

MR. KLINEMAN: We're looking to
Bally at this stage as its financing
entity as if you were Chase Manhattan or
Bank One or whoever. Am I looking to the
wrong pot?

MR. HILLMAN: You can look to that pot, if you will. That's fine to look to that pot. We're willing to stand in as that pot.

MR. KLINEMAN: Then I would want to know what are the terms of the financing package, the complete package. I understand the 31 million. I guess I understand it. But can you bring us forward from the 31 million into the rest of the money that is required by the project and give me some feeling that this is an unconditional commitment on behalf of Bally's irrespective of what other position you might later attain in this project?

MR. HILLMAN: It is an unconditional commitment to the project. The structure that we've shown you which is inclusive of the vessel financing, the equipment financing, and the construction financing are things that we've put in place already in developing this

project. This is something we do day in and day out every year.

So I could tell you that I wasn't going to use that financing and just write the check myself, and I would do that if I had to, but I already have this available to me. It is in place. These are all organizations and companies and vendors that we have used before on many projects.

Again, I can show you what we did in New Orleans. I can show you what we did in Mississippi. We built those projects ourselves with our own money. However, using construction financing is just -- it's something that is available just out of the normal course. We are committed to this project. We will write the check if that's what is necessary.

MR. KLINEMAN: Well, it doesn't upset me that you might not write the check yourself. It leaves me still not completely satisfied unless I hear that

you are, for instance, going to guarantee whatever borrowing that needs to be done.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ HILLMAN: We have to -- I'm sorry.

MR. KLINEMAN: And I don't see anything in writing that tells me --

MR. HILLMAN: In order to do the financing that we've talked about in terms of the equipment financing, the vessel financing, the construction fincancing, those carry Bally guarantees with them to those financing sources so that is without saying. We've done that, again, in Louisiana and Mississippi situations. We would do that here.

Those will carry Bally guarantees. As I said, we would write the check if we had to. That means if we do the financing we will guarantee the check.

MR. KLINEMAN: Well, I saw Mr. Goldburg's letter, but I didn't see anything in there saying We will

guarantee.

MR. HILLMAN: We can give you another letter. We thought that letter was directed to a particular issue that we were attempting to deal with at the time, but we'll give you another letter.

MR. KLINEMAN: Okay. But you orally here represent that whatever financing is required Bally will supply it --

MR. HILLMAN: I do.

MR. KLINEMAN: -- or guarantee it so it can be supplied. And then we next need what the terms of this -- and if it is unconditional it needs to so state. What are the terms of this commitment by Bally? What is necessary to put this financing in place?

MR. HILLMAN: We will provide you a letter with all of that as you now request it. The terms would be similar to the preferred financing that you see in the letter that you have today.

1 MR. KLINEMAN: That would be as has 2 been suggested by --3 MR. HILLMAN: Yeah, People's 3. MR. KLINEMAN: Cumulative dividend 5 of prime plus three. Then we get into 6 the priority return of contributed equity 7 from the development operation operating in capital cash flow. In other words, 9 you would start to get repaid after some 10 prior charge? 11 MR. HILLMAN: Out of the cash flows 12 of the operation. 13 MR. KLINEMAN: And is there a 14 percentage or just total? 15 MR. HILLMAN: Out of the cash flows 16 leaving obviously whatever needs to be 17 done for capital expenditures, etc. It's 18 standard terms that we've used on 19 projects before. 20 I would like to see MR. KLINEMAN: 21 some pro forma how this thing would work 22 out. Are we going to end up with an 23 entity that is building up a retained

earnings, or are we going to end up with an entity that won't be any bigger five years from now than it is today?

MR. HILLMAN: It would be building up earnings quite considerably, quite frankly, and you're talking about without equity was your original statement to us. Without equity means that everything can't be left in. Equity would leave the money into the project. If that is an equity position it would build it up on the books that much faster, but in terms of real value you'll have an enormous growth of retained earnings on this.

MR. ARO: In our Part II filings and our pro formas that were developed along with the Urban studies at that time we had a financing component built into that, but even if it went to 100 percent financing such as we're suggesting now that would still leave considerable earnings at the end of the day for the venture.

1 So kind of echoing what Lee has 2 said, the balance sheet of the venture would certainly be growing in health and 3 prosperity as we go through time. 5 wouldn't be taking the last nickel out of 6 the operation by any means. It would be a very solid, substantial entity. 8 MR. KLINEMAN: Anyone else want to get into the financing while we're on 9 10 this aspect of it? Mr. Thar? 11 MR. THAR: This letter that you are 12 referring to to Mr. Tollman is of May 10, 13 1995, with regard to the financing 14 commitment. Is that the letter being 15 referred to? 16 MR. TOLLMAN: Yes. 17 MR. THAR: So that letter was not 18 submitted so it could be analyzed by our 19 analysts? 20 MR. TOLLMAN: No, just to show --21 MR. THAR: So the financing set 22 forth in this letter is not the financing 23 originally set forth in the application?

MR. TOLLMAN: Correct.

_

MR. ARO: Again, we tried to touch on that. We tried to touch on that in the presentation. Eric Bashford touched on that as to what was in our Part II filing back in January of 1995. Our view of the world and how the financial components could be constructed was as presented to the Commission.

With the advent of the Bally organization coming into the mix it certainly changed the potential way to structure that part of the deal, and that is what we were trying to present today is trying to walk you from where we were back in January to where the reality is today.

MR. THAR: I understand. You understand we have had no opportunity to analyze this financial package, that we have spent a considerable amount of time analyzing an outdated financial package.

MR. ARO: I think two things we

1 were trying to convey, that with the 2 advent of Bally it certainly enhances the 3 project's ability to secure more favorable terms and financing. Is the 5 existing financing still doable? 6 and I think Eric spoke to that. That is 7 a doable program, but it's not 8 necessarily the most logical one to do in 9 light of today's reality. MR. THAR: As I read the letter of 10 11 May 10th it is conditioned on Bally 12 having an equity position; is that 13 correct? 14 MR. HILLMAN: No, it is not. 15 MR. KLINEMAN: We need to identify 16 the people who are going to answer. 17 We're going to have this problem 18 continuously. 19 MR. HILLMAN: You asked me for an --20 MR. KLINEMAN: Why don't you state 21 your name one more time. 22 MR. HILLMAN: Lee Hillman. 23 asked me for the unconditional guarantee

of Bally, and I gave that to you. The letter in the context the letter was written is a different context than the question that was asked earlier so in responding to you, Director Thar, the answer is that the way the letter is prepared is regarding a preferred equity position.

MR. THAR: I understand that now, but throughout the presentation you had spoken of "Bally as our partner." That's been the whole theme of the presentation aside from the letter so the funding as I'm hearing it is, in fact, conditioned upon Bally being allowed to come in in some ownership position.

MR. HILLMAN: No, or manager.

MR. THAR: That is a different issue. Gaming operation manager is totally different.

MR. HILLMAN: Let us start from that point. When you speak of partner, there are partners and there are

partners, and we are willing to come in as manager of this project and financer on this project with your approval. If the approval extends further that we can take an equity position we are ready to do so. We would hope it would be very attractive to you that we would be willing to put our equity dollars into this and make that kind of commitment to the project.

MR. THAR: Then to get back to
Chairman Klineman's question, what is the
financing package assuming no equity
position in the project for Bally's?

MR. HILLMAN: Assuming that we are managers of the project and assuming that we are approved as financers of the project, is that what you're are asking?

MR. THAR: Assuming only that you agree to provide financing, that's it, not that you're a manager, not that you're an equity partner, what is the unconditional agreement of Bally's?

1 MR. HILLMAN: Bally will finance 2 the project. 3 MR. THAR: Now, to go the same way Chairman Klineman was going, what would 5 be the interest rate? What are the terms 6 of the loan? How much are you willing to 7 finance, and where is it going to come from? 8 9 MR. HILLMAN: Where it would come 10 from, you saw that we have significant 11 cash on hand already in terms of equity 12 dollars -- sorry, not equity dollars, 13 credit, additional credit availability. 14 That is also at our hands. In terms of 15 the specific terms I will -- as I told 16 the Chairman, I will present you with an 17 additional letter of specific terms of 18 the financing, but they will be similar 19 to the terms of the letter that you have 20 in front of you. 21 MR. THAR: But you don't have that 22 information today? 23 MR. HILLMAN: I just told you it

would be similar to the terms that you have in that letter today.

MR. THAR: Okay. That's all I have on the financing.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Would you from
Bally's -- this puts us in a real awkward
position because it changes the
application completely. Would you feel
comfortable if we did not approve you?
It's something we haven't done an
investigation. We don't know at this
point whether we could approve you as
manager or equity owner at this point.

Would you feel comfortable still financing this operation and leaving it up to Alpha Rising Sun to totally manage it, own it, whatever? Do you feel comfortable financing this operation and just leaving it at that, that this will be a successful operation in your opinion without your involvement other than financing?

MR. HILLMAN: Well, we think it

1 will be a successful operation without 2 our involvement, but we would also feel 3 comfortable that if you would approve -and, quite frankly, we are here today 5 knowing that you can't approve us today. 6 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Right. MR. HILLMAN: But we would feel 7 8 also comfortable knowing or believing 9 that you can approve Alpha today and with 10 Bally's standing in where it is on this 11 project approve Bally down the road. We 12 would feel very comfortable with that. 13 But, nevertheless, to answer your 14 question, we feel very comfortable with 15 this project in Alpha's hands. 16 MR. VOWELS: So it is unconditional 17 that if you were not to be an equity 18 partner or managing partner that you 19 would still do the backing? 20 MR. HILLMAN: We would back this 21 project. 22 MR. VOWELS: It's unconditional 23 then?

1 MR. HILLMAN: Yes. 2 MR. KLINEMAN: We still need the 3 details. I mean, I'll take your word you will unconditionally provide the 5 financing. 6 Had I known this was MR. HILLMAN: 7 the line of questioning I would have 8 brought the checkbook. 9 MR. KLINEMAN: Well, as you said 10 before, that probably would be the thing 11 that would cause these questions to be 12 answered. 13 MR. HILLMAN: I do not think this 14 would be a point of controversy. 15 MR. VOWELS: During the 16 presentation there was stated over and 17 over again how Alpha had been one of the 18 first, if not the first, to be involved 19 in the riverboat process. When was it 20 that you first got in touch with Bally's 21 and decided to make this agreement which 22 isn't even a week old from this letter? 23 MR. ARO: We started our

discussions with the Bally folks right around the first of the year.

MR. VOWELS: Why not before then?

MR. ARO: Pardon?

MR. VOWELS: Why not before then?
You've been doing this for almost two
years. Why didn't you start to get this
lined up with Bally's before January?

MR. ARO: Well, I think a number of things happened, certainly happened in the financial markets. When Indiana first legalized gaming the appetite out there for financial products related to gaming companies was much, much stronger than it was a year later. When the process got kind of knocked out of the blocks during the challenge the world changed in many respects.

As those areas started clearing and we started looking at the project and the process of moving again we failed to ensure the success of it. Teaming up with an organization such as Bally's

absolutely will ensure the success of the project from the financial component to the operating component, the marketing component.

We're very, very comfortable that
the project stands on its own two feet
without Bally, but Bally certainly does
bring, as we tried to present to you
folks today, brings a strength and depth
and breadth in the gaming industry that's
really second to none.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Describe to me -well, course, now basically we've said
that you do have the Bally's financing so
we really don't need to even consider the
old financing although you say that is
still an available route?

MR. ARO: Well, that was a structure that our financial advisors at that time thought was the most advantageous structure to the venture, but markets change, things change, and how that makes sense to be put together

in May or June of this year versus when we were talking back in November,
December, January, six months ago, things change. So what was perceived to be the absolute best thing for the financing component at that time probably isn't so today and Bally notwithstanding.

MR. THAR: With regard to the financing picture, excuse me, one more question in this area. You have made it very difficult for the Commission to consider it. The Commission had previously rejected the concept of considering the strength Bally's brings to your application when it did not allow Bally's to use a vendor application to bring Bally's in. Bally's was allowed to come in if they wanted to as a financial arm only with an up front statement that they probably would pursue an equity interest later on. We have not gotten what that financing would be absent the equity position or the gaming manager

position so what we asked for we haven't gotten today. How are we to consider the financing? All we have heard is that a letter is coming later that will have the details, and what you are asking us to do is consider the strengths Bally brings to this to make it successful which we have previously said we cannot do at this stage.

MR. ARO: But I think, Jack, if you go back to where we were in early January and what our advisors were telling us was the most logical approach to this thing where they may have marketed those debentures or who we may have talked to in terms of third party financing, those companies and entities and financial institutions certainly could have changed in that same time period.

I don't know that I understand where you're coming from with your question, but I don't know that there's such a change in terms of what the Bally

organization has just committed to you folks, and we would have presented this a little bit differently had we known that that was the road we should be on here, but we can sell --

MR. THAR: What Bally's has said is We will finance the project 100 percent if necessary, We believe in the success of the project, and We will do so without a equity position or without a manager position. And we said What are the terms, and they said Very similar to what is in the other thing, We will send you a letter. What have I missed?

MR. ARO: What's your question?

MR. THAR: Well, that's what we have heard, and my position is very simple. We are in a difficult position because we don't know what the details of the financing package would be from Bally's to finance Alpha Rising Sun, the applicant, until we get the letter.

MR. HILLMAN: I quess let me

address it two ways and see if either of these satisfy what you're asking because I'm a little confused. But in one manner we could just tell you that we will buy the financing that RAS has proposed and on the terms that you have seen before if that's terms that would be in the context that the Commission and staff would want to put a fence around it, for instance.

However, quite frankly, those terms are probably outside or not as favorable as what we would ultimately get today given the changes in the financial markets that have occurred and our confidence in the project and also our view of the future of this project so that as an outside bound I would tell you that those terms are probably quite reasonable to use in your analysis, but we could do better.

And what would that be? Well, certainly it would be the terms, at a minimum, of standard vessel and equipment

financing that we use today where we would stand in instead of the vendors in those cases and provide the same financing that we've received many, many times, or stand in the construction company's shoes. Same way.

The standard construction financing that we've used on other projects we will use the same terms, and we will provide those to you. All I can tell you is those specific terms which I can provide you will be at least as favorable as what you have seen in terms of the RAS proposal.

Without having prepared for this specific line of questioning coming in here today I don't want to stand here and tell you it will be X.2 percent rate over Y number of months with these caveats and covenants and so forth, but I think that what you have seen in terms of the RAS proposal you can certainly use as the outer bounds of the financing, and those

work very well with the pro formas and the projections we have shown you. I hope that's adequate. If you have some other questions --

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: I would think that our main concern would be that this is unconditional financing. I certainly don't think we would want to -- we can't allow the fluctuations in the market, but we would need probably some kind of confirmation that this is unconditional, that we're not going ahead, let's say, granting this license and then down the road we don't approve you for equity position and we don't approve you for management position that you pull out.

MR. HILLMAN: I understand your concern.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Personally that would be what I would be worried about.

MR. HILLMAN: I completely understand it. I'm trying to satisfy your line of questioning. In terms of

1 the chairman we have stated that it's 2 unconditional. In terms of specific 3 terms, as I said, I'm trying to give you the best to my knowledge of the specific terms stating if you want firm terms the 5 6 RAS proposal would be at least adequate. We could do better. And, as I said 8 earlier, we will give you a letter to 9 that effect. We don't have it in writing 10 today but we will. 11 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Part of the 12 financing, that also comes from cash flow, correct? 13 14 MR. HILLMAN: In the original 15 plan. 16 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: That was the 17 original plan, but I still saw cash flow 18 written up there on --19 MR. HILLMAN: That was the original 20 plan that he was describing at that 21 time. We've taken that out of the mix. 22 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Good, because I 23 want to go in if the projections aren't

correct then that becomes a problem.

MR. HILLMAN: Right. The letter we provided was designed just to take that off the table.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Okay. And I haven't read that yet. Great.

MR. KLINEMAN: Let me get into what you call construction financing to be supplied by Brown & Root Building. All of us know what generally is called construction financing which is a short-term commitment during the construction phase. Is that what you're talking about, or are you talking about something other than that?

MR. HILLMAN: The construction financing is already there and in place. What Bally will provide is a take-out of that financing which I'm telling you unconditionally today we will stand to take out that financing if necessary if other financing cannot be found. What I'm telling you is I believe very

strongly other financing can and will be found as we've done many, many times, but we will stand in and guarantee that ourselves.

MR. KLINEMAN: Anything further?
MR. VOWELS: I have just one

question. Based upon the level of admissions in the gross profit margin that Fulton's has anticipated in relation to the Gaming Commission it seems to appear their operation would generate enough cash flow to repay the long-term debt within five years. Do you anticipate that to be realistic?

MR. HILLMAN: Yes, I do anticipate that to be realistic.

MR. VOWELS: Have they provided you with similar numbers?

MR. HILLMAN: Yes.

MR. KLINEMAN: Well, let's change the subject just for a minute. We probably will come back to you. We want to get into Alpha's operations down in --

the Bayou operation. As you know, we have been informed of a whole series of lawsuits which arose out of your operation down there, and it gives us obviously some considerable concern.

And other than the responses that we thus far have received, which in some instances, most instances, basically say We've paid it, We're going to get released, or We've made an arrangement for a periodic payment schedule so that lawsuit's going to be put on the shelf or something like that, tell us what was the cause of the raft of lawsuits and claims arising out of your operation down there.

MR. ARO: Well, I think a couple of things contributed to that. Number one, we went on a very, very fast track program down there to develop that project. We ran into some major cost overruns on our construction program. We ran into some difficulties with some of

1

the people that did some of the sub work on it that ended up in some disputes.

And I think it was just almost kind of a power curve type of situation that in our mad dash to get it open we were just pressing on all fronts to get it open, and I think most all of those issues are behind us. I think we've either come to grips where we had some disputes with some of our contractors for either the quality of the work or the level of the work that was done. I think we pretty much have those issues behind us.

We certainly do have some ongoing type legal issues that happen. We've got insurance claims that are out there.

We've probably run through that facility since we've opened certainly over two million people I would guess, and there's slips and falls and claims and what have you of that nature.

MR. KLINEMAN: Those are really

minor, and we're not looking at those.

We're talking about the breach of

contract lawsuits, the lawsuits which

fall under the category of just not

paying your bills I'll call it. That's

not the legal word of art that we've used

here, but it's the one that comes to

mind.

MR. ARO: Well, I think we got involved in that in terms of the fast tracking of that project, there's no question about that, but I do believe we have put most of that behind us.

MR. KLINEMAN: What is to make me feel that we are not going to have the same series of problems down in Rising Sun should you be granted the license?

MR. ARO: Well, this project is coming together in a much different pattern, if you will. It's a much more studied process. We will know exactly what we're in for before we get into it. The financial components to carry the

1

project out are in place so it's just a horse of another color. What we did down there looking back with 20/20 hindsight we shouldn't have approached it that way.

MR. KLINEMAN: You didn't really have the money to do that project; would that be a fair conclusion?

MR. ARO: No. I think the fair conclusion would be that in our sizing of the project and the components that we put in place and what it ultimately turned out to be were two different things. Had we had everything nailed down to a much more finite degree before we got started those financial components would have taken a different complexion. And then there was an existing deal Mr. Tollman also mentioned when we bought in down there. We did not start that from scratch.

MR. KLINEMAN: What's that supposed to mean?

1 MR. ARO: Pardon me? 2 MR. KLINEMAN: What does that 3 mean? MR. TOLLMAN: Stanley Tollman. Wе 5 acquired a company that had a lot of 6 problems, had been trying to develop 7 this, and we inherited a company that 8 already had a lot of claims against it so 9 we dealt with that and the fast tracking, 10 and quite a few of the problems that went 11 on were problems that we inherited which 12 we believe we have sorted out. 13 MR. VOWELS: When did you buy that 14 company? 15 MR. ARO: Late May of '93 I believe 16 They were already in the process 17 at that time with the development 18 process. 19 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: We want to make 20 sure that if you would be successful in 21 obtaining a license that you're not going 22 to have cost overruns, that you're not 23 going to have these kinds of problems,

and I would be real concerned if I were
Bally's about this issue and want to make
sure that I knew exactly what the cost
was going to be, to feel that you have
already done your homework on this
project that apparently wasn't done in
the other project.

MR. ARO: Yeah. We went too fast on the other. Certainly in retrospect it should have been approached in a little different manner. In this project we have gone through our numbers with the Bally operating people. I think they're very comfortable. I'm sure they can speak to that question themselves, but it's just a totally different character of a project.

We jumped in in Mississippi while the boat was going by type of thing, and, as Mr. Tollman suggested, we did inherit -- there was some baggage in that boat that we had to deal with, and it complicated the process. This is not a

1 similar type deal.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Well, time is of the essence. I think this Commission really wants quality projects. We do not want you to just be in there so interested in making this gambling money that you can make on these boats that you don't do a quality job and that the cost overruns are there and that we have, as Alan said, this series of problems.

MR. ARO: That was one of the points that we were trying to make in our presentation in terms of the team that we've put together here in all elements of it starting with the design team, with the David Jacobson people, and the various people doing the design work and some of the construction work once we get into it. That process is going forward in a much more studied manner, and nobody's going to jump into this thing without knowing what it's going to look like.

2

3

5

6

7

8

_

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. KLINEMAN: What are the current operating results down in Bayou Caddy's?

MR. ARO: The operating results for '94, I think we made a little bit of money on the operating line. It wasn't anything significant by any means.

That brings another MR. KLINEMAN: problem to my mind which is the possible diversion from this project to pay some of those back debts that you've got down there, for instance, and I don't believe this is something that your prior owners did, but here's a lawsuit filed on February 15, 1995, for \$1,552,500. The response we had is that the payments are now current. It doesn't say anything about that lawsuit being dismissed. obvious based on my experience in the debtor field that it's obvious that somebody wants out of that note, and you have got to take them out at some point in time. It hasn't been rewritten, has it?

1	MR. ARO: No, it hasn't.
2	MR. KLINEMAN: And they want out,
3	don't they?
4	MR. ARO: No, they don't.
5	MR. KLINEMAN: But the lawsuit
6	still is pending?
7	MR. ARO: No, I don't believe so.
8	MR. KLINEMAN: I'm being told the
9	lawsuit has been dismissed?
10	MR. FREEDMAN: We will get you
11	verification of that.
12	MR. KLINEMAN: We're getting
13	MR. ARO: This is Sanford Freedman,
14	our general counsel.
15	MR. FREEDMAN: I'm Sanford
16	Freedman. My current understanding
17	that's in the process of being
18	withdrawn. PDS is not pursuing the
19	lawsuit, and they have been working with
20	us to arrange a recasting of that note in
21	a way that would be satisfactory to us
22	and to them.
23	MR. KLINEMAN: But that has not

been completed?

MR. FREEDMAN: We're in the process. Conversations are going on. As of last Friday we had our latest conversation, and I think that will be done very shortly. Could I point out just one thing, Mr. Chairman? The big difference between here and Mississippi is that the big cost overrun in Mississippi was the gaming barge itself, that three-story vessel that you saw.

We did that on a fast track basis
because that was the only way to get that
going and get ourselves functioning as
early as possible. In this case, as Mr.
Aro has mentioned, we have an option on a
boat that's in existence. It's not
something that's going to be fast
tracked. We know what our costs are
going to be. We know the size --

MR. THAR: You've got to speak into the microphone, sir.

MR. FREEDMAN: What we're saying is

we are not doing a fast track vessel here. We have got a commitment to the vessel so that the largest cost problem in Mississippi does not exist in the Rising Sun project. We have locked in the boat, and we consider that very significant in terms of our application to the Commission.

MR. KLINEMAN: Along the same
lines, how about the First National Bank
of Glencoe which involves a suit filed
November 7, 1994, for \$305,000 for ten
vehicles leased and that you have failed
to pay for them and that the judge
ordered on November 22, 1994, that the
vehicles be seized? However, you filed a
motion to block the seizure. Where is
that case?

MR. FREEDMAN: The buses were not
-- vehicles were not seized. We still
own them. I believe that the amount in
contention has been paid completely, and
I believe that the lawsuit has been

1 withdrawn, dismissed, and we'll get you 2 verification of that. 3 MR. KLINEMAN: What was the last thing you said? 5 We'll get you MR. FREEDMAN: 6 verification that lawsuit's been 7 dismissed. I'm not sure of the date of 8 those letters. That was in February I 9 believe, Mr. Chairman. 10 MR. KLINEMAN: I'm sorry. You're 11 telling me --12 MR. FREEDMAN: The response on 13 those issues from Alpha was in February. 14 We can give you an update of the status 15 of all of those matters within the next 16 few days. 17 MR. KLINEMAN: Well, you have a 18 project that's barely breaking even, and 19 you have all these back debts, and when I 20 grew up and ordered the pay back debts 21 you have to earn profits or you can't 2.2 pick up back debts, okay? So you don't 23 have anything that gives me much comfort

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

as far as a project that is not making money, and you have all these back debts to satisfy out of your current operations. The only way you can satisfy old debts is out of profits I learned.

MR. FREEDMAN: Yeah. We have undertaken in Mississippi, and Mr. Aro is directly involved in this, an extensive new marketing program for the casino in Mississippi, and we anticipate having a very good summer and fall months. have been willing here to undertake, if it's necessary for anyone's comfort including this Commission, to insulate the project here so no funds are siphoned off of profits so reserved retained earnings for this project are here, and we will supply the undertakings and the structure necessary to ensure the Commission that in no way will Rising Sun be hurt by actions of the company elsewhere.

MR. KLINEMAN: Those walls are not

1 in existence today? 2 MR. FREEDMAN: We can put that 3 together very simply. MR. KLINEMAN: Well --5 MR. ARO: Just one other to your 6 question. There's been substantial 7 injection of money into the Mississippi 8 operation as well so not only, as Sandy 9 suggested, we're doing some things 10 operationally where we've turned a corner 11 down there, but we have put considerable 12 sums into the operation to take care of 13 some of the cost overruns in the 14 construction phase and so forth. 15 MR. KLINEMAN: Well, you wouldn't 16 like to tell us, do you have a new 17 partner down there, or how does new money 18 come in? 19 MR. ARO: A good deal comes right 20 from this gentleman sitting behind me. 21 MR. KLINEMAN: Why don't you tell 22 the record who you are pointing to. 23 MR. ARO: Mr. Stanley Tollman.

MR. VOWELS: There seems to be in the investigation here on the part of Alpha Gulf Coast, Incorporated what may be described as routine delayed payment practices. I have a real concern about what that may do to potential vendors in the southeastern portion of Indiana.

Would you care to alay my fears in that regard?

MR. ARO: Well, again, I think
we're trying to represent to you folks
that this project is quite different from
the Mississippi project. As we envision
this to be structured financially and
from a physical plant standpoint going
forward and the profitability of this
project is going to be markedly different
from the Mississippi project.

The Mississippi project, again, coming back to that, in our haste to get the thing up and running through the fast track process we did pile up a considerable amount of construction

1 expenses that we had not originally 2 anticipated. Those have been met by 3 moneys coming through the operation and additional moneys coming in from the 5 principal stockholders of the company. That is a scenario that we just do 7 not envision in Indiana nor are we going 8 to get involved in that type of thing. 9 think we've learned our lesson there, and 10 we have approached this in a much more 11 studied manner. 12 MR. VOWELS: The cost of the 13 construction overrun costs in 14 Mississippi, what do you blame that on? 15 MR. ARO: I'm sorry? 16 MR. VOWELS: The construction 17 overrun costs in Mississippi, what do you 18 attribute that to? 19 MR. ARO: Well, I think at that 20 particular time period down in 21 Mississippi there was a tremendous amount 22 of development going on. There was a 23 shortage of labor materials and qualified

people to work on projects, and I do
believe the people in the construction
industry, if you will, certainly took
advantage of a window of opportunity and
charged the full retail price for their
services, if you will, for services
provided to them, and our experience
there in that regard was not different
from any other.

MR. VOWELS: Why didn't you anticipate that?

MR. ARO: Pardon me?

MR. VOWELS: If you had construction overrun costs why didn't you anticipate that? Didn't that seem like it was readily apparent it was going to happen?

MR. ARO: No. Again, we went into it in a fast track mode. Our construction people tried to do their best estimate as to what they thought the final number was going to be. We were building a marine environment which is

1 unique not only to contractors but 2 certainly ourselves and did not have any 3 experience in building on vessels and building maritime facilities, and, quite frankly, I think we underestimated the 5 cost of that. 7 MR. VOWELS: What's the status of Mr. Hundley? My understanding is he's 8 9 resigned. 10 MR. ARO: That's correct. 11 MR. VOWELS: And we have a listing 12 of individuals that his shares were 13 transferred to. Are you familiar with 14 those individuals? 15 MR. ARO: Some of them I am. 16 MR. VOWELS: Do any of them share 17 the same last name as Mr. Hundley? 18 you aware of what the relationship of Mr. 19 Hundley and those individuals' ages are? 20 MR. ARO: He transferred some 21 shares to his brothers and I believe his 22 sisters. 23 MR. FREEDMAN: Sanford Freedman

1	again. Mr. Hundley transferred some
2	shares to two adult brothers, two
3	sisters, two adult daughters of his, and
4	transferred shares to a minor son in
5	trust, the sole trustee of which is Mr.
6	Tollman, the chairman of Alpha
7	Hospitality.
8	MR. VOWELS: What percentage was
9	transferred to that minor son in trust?
10	MR. FREEDMAN: Approximately I
11	don't have the exact number but
12	approximately, it changed a little bit
13	from our submission, about 475,000
14	shares.
15	MR. VOWELS: What percentage is
16	that?
17	MR. FREEDMAN: Four percent.
18	MR. THAR: It's over 22 percent of
19	the shares Mr. Hundley had.
20	MR. FREEDMAN: Of the total shares
2 1	of the company it's under five percent.
22	MR. VOWELS: No. What Mr. Hundley
23	I'm trying to figure out, is this guy

1 shamming us or not? He wouldn't provide 2 federal and state tax return information 3 to us. From what I understand he also 4 didn't provide the necessary information 5 to the Commission in Mississippi, and I'm 6 trying to figure out what's going on. 7 How old is this minor? 8 MR. FREEDMAN: The minor was a 9 child I think three or four years old, 10 but he has given up full control of those 11 stocks, and a trust document has been 12 signed. 13 MR. VOWELS: Do you have any idea 14 why he wouldn't respond to the 15 information Mr. Thar requested? 16 MR. FREEDMAN: No, I do not. 17 MR. VOWELS: Do you have any idea 18 why he wouldn't submit the information to 19 the Mississippi authorities when they 20 requested it from him? 21 MR. FREEDMAN: No, I do not. 22 MR. VOWELS: How old a man is Mr. 23 Hundley?

1	MR. FREEDMAN: Mr. Hundley is
2	approximately I think 52 or 53 years
3	old.
4	MR. KLINEMAN: What's going on here
5	with Mr. Hundley? Do you want to tell
6	us?
7	MR. FREEDMAN: I wish I knew, Mr.
8	Chairman.
9	MS. BOCHNOWSKI: But he's your
10	president, vice chairman?
11	MR. FREEDMAN: No more. He has
12	resigned from all his positions, and he
13	told us it was for personal reasons, and
14	he withdrew from the company. He's
15	withdrew from any active or inactive role
16	in the company at all. He has not
17	participated in any of the work since the
18	date of his resignation, and I can't
19	answer for his personal reasons in doing
20	this.
21	What I have been concerned with is
22	to make sure our representations to the
23	Commission that he is disposing of all of

1 his shares which he directly or 2 indirectly controls be carried out, and 3 that is in the process of being carried out over the last several weeks. 5 MR. KLINEMAN: Is it completed 6 now? MR. FREEDMAN: No. It will be 8 completed in about the next six days. 9 MR. KLINEMAN: And do we have a 10 list of where his shares are going? 11 MR. FREEDMAN: Yes. If you don't I 12 can supply that tomorrow morning. The 13 numbers may have changed slightly, Mr. 14 Thar, from one person to another, and if 15 I may have permission I'll submit --16 there are no new people involved. I'll 17 submit an up to date list of the various 18 transactions that have transferred to 19 you, and I can fax that to you tomorrow. 20 MR. THAR: Well, to go to what both 21 the commissioners, Ms. Bochnowski and Mr. 22 Vowels, and Mr. Klineman were all asking, 23 if you just take the number of shares

transferred to people named Hundley it's
74.5 percent of the shares he
controlled. It's in excess now -- it was
22.5 percent of the transfer to the minor
child, but now you've increased that by
about 50,000 shares so it's probably
closer to 25 percent to the infant
alone.

And if you take all the people who could be sisters, such as the people named Hanks, Bowman, and Berman, that's 85 percent of the shares he controlled. There is no indication he was paid anything for the shares. He just transferred them. There was nothing in the documents that was given to the Commission in the investigation that indicates he has divested himself of the control of those shares.

Secondly, there's been no response as to what position his wife Joan has with Alpha Hospitality, and she refused to respond to the same inquiry of the

Commission. And we have nothing that indicates that she is anything but still associated with the company, and she was what, 4.25 percent owner?

MR. FREEDMAN: I believe that is correct.

MR. THAR: So that would translate to approximately 4.25 percent in the Alpha Rising Sun?

MR. FREEDMAN: Yes, sir.

MR. THAR: And she refused to provide us with any information. Do you understand how this looks?

MR. FREEDMAN: I understand, and, first of all, with regard to the first part of your statement I can supply you with copies of the documents of transfer. The documents of transfer make clear that under the terms of the sale to the purchaser the transferee has the voting rights and all rights with regard to the stock. I can supply you with copies of the trust agreement with regard

and exclusive control over the disposition and voting of those shares.

with regard to Mrs. Hundley it was not our understanding that if we take her as an independent stockholder of under five percent shares you would ask for any information from her. My understanding was that you were looking for that information as part of the submission from Mr. Hundley when Mr. Hundley was an applicant before the Commission.

MR. THAR: If that's the way you understood it then we apologize for not being clear. We wanted it from both, but since they refused to be interviewed it was impossible to verify it with them personally. What is Mr. Hundley's present relationship, if any, with Alpha Hospitality? Does he have any relationship in any capacity with the corporation?

MR. FREEDMAN: None.

1	MR. THAR: What about Joan
2	Hundley?
3	MR. FREEDMAN: None except as a
4	stockholder.
5	MR. THAR: Other than a
6	stockholder?
7	MR. FREEDMAN: Yes.
8	MR. KLINEMAN: Mr. Hundley has no
9	buy-out agreement, golden parachute, or
10	some sort of relationship with your
11	company?
12	MR. FREEDMAN: No. As part of his
13	resignation he also asked the board he
1 4	agreed with the board to terminate his
15	existing employment agreement with the
16	company. There are no golden parachutes,
17	no buy-outs, no funds being paid to him
18	by the company or any of its
19	subsidiaries.
2 0	MR. KLINEMAN: Now or in the
2 1	future?
2 2	MR. FREEDMAN: That is correct.
2 3	MR. KLINEMAN: You are under no

1 obligation to pay him anything? 2 MR. FREEDMAN: That is correct. 3 MR. THAR: Does he bear any relationship now to Alpha Gulf Coast? 5 MR. FREEDMAN: No. He also 6 resigned -- no, he was not on the board 7 of Alpha Gulf Coast so he didn't have to 8 resign, but he bears no relationship, official or unofficial, with Alpha Gulf 10 Coast. He was an officer and director of 11 Alpha Rising Sun, Inc., and he has 12 resigned from those positions. 13 MR. VOWELS: It's strange to say 14 the least. The Gaming Commission 15 received a letter from Mr. Aro on April 16 25, 1995, which had attached Mr. 17 Hundley's resignation letters to Mr. Thar 18 in one of his prior requests which are 19 all prior to his resignation, and it 20 seems that in Mississippi they had the 21 same problem. The background 22 investigations for Mr. Tollman, as a

matter of fact, and Mr. Hundley are still

pending.

Ü

Part of the problem we're going to have here is if we give somebody a license and after we're all finished and our main job is going to be to regulate this industry, I think we have a responsibility not only to the citizens of the state of Indiana but to ourselves to limit the amount of headaches that we're going to have to deal with at that time, and I'm feeling really uneasy about what I am hearing and dealing with the regulatory scheme here as time goes by that this isn't going to be some really slippery eel that we've given ourselves.

MR. FREEDMAN: Mr. Vowels, could I say at the suggestion and request of the Mississippi Gaming Commission, because at the time they were overwhelmed in terms of the investigatory work they were doing, Mr. Tollman and, in fact, Mr. Aro also I believe and our CFO, Mr. Jim Cutler, submitted applications to the

1 Colorado gaming authority at the request of the Mississippi people.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2 1

22

23

They were investigated by the Colorado people, and Mr. Tollman, Mr. Aro, and Mr. Cutler were all granted licenses by the Colorado gaming authorities, and that information at the request of the Mississippi people was transferred to them. At that point we were waiting for Mississippi to pick up especially on Mr. Tollman here, and they just recently said Yes, Now we're going to go ahead with our own investigation.

But they have relied upon the investigation and licensing procedures of the Colorado gaming authority, and that was at their request, not at our suggestion at all. And if you check, and we can give you verification, Mr. Tollman has been licensed in Colorado.

MR. KLINEMAN: We're going to move to another subject unless other people want to talk about this.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Well, I guess are you looking at the proposal here because kind of on the same line as this we understand that you had some problems with the Bayou Caddy's Jubilee Casino and that you were fined, and your George Baxter also had his gaming license revoked, and maybe you'd like to address that.

As a Commission we are bound by the

As a Commission we are bound by the law that was passed to look not only at financial stability but at the character of the people that were licensed so this is a great concern so I think this kind of follows this line of questioning. I'd like you to go into that a little bit.

MR. ARO: George Baxter was one of the individuals that was there in the founding group, if you will, that we bought into when our company got involved in the Bayou Caddy's operation down there. There were five individuals that had started that whole undertaking, and

George was the president of that group of five.

He has a 40 odd year background in the gaming business. He started out in Reno, Nevada, many, many years ago, and at least on paper his credentials look pretty good. There were a couple of events that Baxter was involved with that I think he certainly, best case, used poor judgment. It wound up to I fired him in January, and it's gotten beyond a point that it made any sense at all to try to work with this guy going forward.

MR. THAR: To follow up on that, I mean, Bayou Caddy's is 100 percent owned by Alpha Gulf Coast which is 100 percent owned by Alpha Hospitality, correct?

MR. ARO: That is correct.

MR. THAR: During the time that Baxter's running the casino down there for you, and you have 100 percent responsibility being the owners, there are sexual harassment complaints filed

against him, after the casino's closed he turns off the surveillance cameras and runs a Big 6 thing for amusement, then subsequently since you fired him he had an information filed against him for money laundering through the casino while he was in your employ. Now, that's a pretty strong track record for the head person of a casino over a relatively short period of time.

MR. ARO: I don't disagree with that at all.

MR. THAR: What was Alpha
Hospitality and Alpha Gulf Coast doing to
oversee this casino which had the
lawsuits pending against it?

MR. ARO: In the one instance where there was a wrongful discharge suit against him I believe that that's not correct, and we're fighting that. I think those charges were not warranted nor substantiated.

The hurricane party incident, the

casino was closed. There was a threat of a hurricane coming through. The civil defense people basically told us that we had to shut down. A skeleton crew was left on board. All other employees were evacuated. The storm did come in. There was a couple feet of water on the road coming down to the casino so there wasn't anybody that could have gotten to it, and this small group of people that were left to kind of ride out the storm, in the tradition of the Mississippi gulf coast and hurricane parties, had a party.

MR. THAR: We're familiar with the facts. The question is really Alpha
Rising Sun is, in essence, Alpha
Hospitality as we look at it, and Alpha
Hospitality's going to -- or hopes to operate a casino here, and they're already operating one in Mississippi.

And what are the checks and balances and oversights that would be put in place here that were obviously not in

place in Mississippi that allowed this series of events to occur which resulted in Baxter's termination and subsequent charges filed in federal court for money laundering?

MR. ARO: Well, the system of internal control and procedures and so forth, they were in place down there. George had the title of president, and he was not somebody down in the food chain in terms of directing the operation. Did he exercise some bad judgment along the way? Yes. I personally spent a good deal of time down there, but unfortunately I was not there the night the casino was closed because of the threat of the hurricane coming in. Had I been there that wouldn't have happened.

But I personally was not made aware of it, again, until about three weeks after the event, and we then started our own investigation at that time. I don't know if you have a rogue employee, if you

will, you know, just how tight a reign you can put on every person that works for you in an organization that size.

MR. THAR: But you have hit the nail on the head. He wasn't down in the food chain. He was the top man. What are the systems of checks and balances that you can put in place to make sure this doesn't happen again either there or here, and we are primarily concerned with here?

MR. ARO: Well, I think the board oversight and the more active participation of the internal audit functions, but even having said all of that if somebody goes haywire on you, if you're not there at that instant that's not going to stop the event from happening regardless of how many checks and balances you have.

You have an organization with a thousand plus employees. If somebody throws a shoe, you know, what are you

_ _

going to do? You try to deal with it.

If there's some structural weakness in
the organization you try to shore it up
obviously, but you can't be big brother
to every employee on the payroll.

MR. FREEDMAN: Mr. Chairman, if I could just -- and, Mr. Thar, my name is Sanford Freedman. There were three items involving Mr. Baxter. Number one, before the casino even opened there was a lawsuit for wrongful discharge and one for sexual harassment by an ex-employee. In all honesty, we are still vigorously defending those because whether Mr. Baxter was involved or not we do not think anything wrong was done, and I think we're probably -- one of them is going to be resolved very quickly and very favorably to us.

However, we just think we have a right to defend ourselves in the charges, and the incident with the Big 6 wheel occurred -- as Mr. Aro said, no matter

what internal controls, no matter what supervision you have, people can go off and do crazy things. And all I can say in our defense is that as soon as Mr. Aro learned about this two things happened.

Mr. Baxter was a director of the Alpha Gulf Coast Company. We asked and received immediately, within a day, his resignation as a director. He was put on suspension pending our own internal investigation and the investigation by the gaming authority and the local liquor authorities in Mississippi.

And, may I point out, we were the ones who immediately contacted both of those agencies to inform them what was going on, and I think if you look at the record of the Gaming Commission they mention that we cooperated fully.

Now, because it was a violation of the authority -- and you are right, the corporation is responsible for a person especially that high up in the food chain

-- we agreed with the Gaming Commission to pay a \$25,000 fine, and if you look at the resolution of the Gaming Commission they acknowledge the full cooperation.

Now, with regard to the latest in the saga of Mr. Baxter he was evidently money laundering for a U.S. customs agent though he didn't know it was a customs agent. The assistant U.S. attorney handling the matter in the city of New Orleans has stated both to our counsel and to the press that there was no evidence, there is no indication, and there is no investigation going on looking either to the activities of Alpha as a corporation or any of its other employees or officers, and I think that was a pretty good bill of health from the U.S. attorney.

Now, in order to deal with this situation and follow up on your question, we went to outside counsel, gaming counsel in Mississippi, and asked them on

behalf of the board of directors of Alpha Hospitality, the parent company, to conduct an immediate investigation of all of the circumstances surrounding this Baxter money laundering incident and to suggest any changes in our procedures which they think should be undertaken as a result of this affair.

I am told that we will receive that report within the next week, week and a half, and we are very happy to share that report with you as soon as it comes in from independent counsel, and as I said we're just talking about a couple of days for that report to be given to the board of directors of Alpha.

MR. KLINEMAN: Let's switch the subject. Let's talk about the boat a minute. You originally submitted -- we were going to have a Jeffboat boat, and now we're going to have this other one. Could you tell me how many gaming positions you are proposing on the new

proposed boat?

MR. ARO: 1,500.

MR. KLINEMAN: That is down from what your original proposal was, some 1,800 gaming positions. Have you revised your projections accordingly?

MR. ARO: No. We went back through our projections, and in terms of, for lack of a better term, in terms of occupancy statistics, if you will, the 1,500 gaming positions more than handily accommodates our original estimates of what revenues were, and we did not see the need to adjust that down at all. We're looking in terms of numbers.

We've gone through our cruise schedule, what we think would be the logical cruise schedule, and we go from a low of about 20 percent occupancy level, if you will, up to a high of 70 some odd percent. So the fact that the number of gaming positions has changed a bit does not impact on our ability to service the

1 same level of customers that we had 2 originally estimated. 3 MR. KLINEMAN: That's a 20 percent change. That's not just an insignificant 5 amount of change. 6 MR. ARO: No. But, I mean, in the 7 numbers when you go through we never in 8 any of our projections suggested that the 9 boat would be running at 100 percent 10 capacity at an 1,800 player position 11 complement. We were never ever close to 12 100 percent of capacity. 13 MR. KLINEMAN: But there is this 14 concept of room, that people need room to 15 conduct this operation, and your boat's 16 going to be considerably smaller than the 17 proposed boat; is that not correct? 18 MR. ARO: I'm sorry? 19 MR. KLINEMAN: Your new boat's 20 going to be considerably smaller than the 21 other one, than the Jeffboat boat? 22 MR. ARO: It's a few thousand 23 square feet smaller, but the size of the

1 boat itself -- I've been on the boat down 2 It's quite a comfortable boat. 3 don't think the experience is going to be one of a sardine type thing. 5 MR. KLINEMAN: You own a similar 6 boat someplace? 7 MR. ARO: No, no. The boat is 8 complete, and the photo that we showed in 9 our presentation is a sister ship to the 10 boat that we're talking about, and I have 11 been on that boat. 12 Where is that boat? MR. KLINEMAN: 13 In Hulman, Louisiana. MR. ARO: 14 MR. KLINEMAN: Lee, have you people 15 made some independent projections based 16 on the proposed boat and so forth since 17 you probably have done some due diligence 18 I hope since you're making some pretty 19 strong commitments? 20 MR. HILLMAN: Lee Hillman. 2.1 right, yes. We have done our own due 22 diligence on the vessel itself, on the 23 projections. We're very comfortable with

the projections. Can we do better than that? Possibly. We're very comfortable with them I guess would be the best response to that.

In the context of your line of questioning before, the fact that we have a vessel here which is already constructed is another factor which makes Bally very comfortable as to the probability of success of this project. Your line of questioning regarding the Alpha situation down in Mississippi is one that we're very well aware of.

And, Commissioner, you asked the specific question or comment that Bally would have a great interest in this, and indeed we do. We're very knowledgeable about their situation down in Mississippi, what they were trying to construct and the timetable, and we are -- the fact that this vessel is already completed is something that takes a lot of that risk out of the picture.

Let me make one other statement.

One of the things that interested Bally particularly in Indiana versus

Mississippi given that we have the capability to invest in either jurisdiction today in terms of financial capability is one of a very significant difference today in those two jurisdictions and particularly how the state of Indiana has gone about their development of gaming in the state versus Mississippi.

Indiana is very appealing and makes it a significant financial difference when you're talking about development, and what went on in Mississippi in the case of Alpha and many other companies, the conditions down in Mississippi at those times in terms of people going in and fast tracking and free money being available to put up projects that really didn't make any sense was considerable.

So it's certainly been part of Bally's consideration as to the limited licensing nature of the Indiana gaming environment and the very studied and measured development that is going on in Indiana.

MR. KLINEMAN: Well, you're actually moving your location in Mississippi as I understand it; is that correct?

MR. HILLMAN: Yes, we are. That speaks right to the point.

MR. KLINEMAN: You're closing the one in Tunica and moving someplace up river?

MR. HILLMAN: We were at the time.

This is very -- when we got into the

Tunica situation Arthur Goldburg and I

met with the Gaming Commission down in

Mississippi which was the initial Gaming

Commission, and they made representations

to us as to what would be an acceptable,

approvable gaming site under the

Mississippi law in those representations which were widely publicized at the time.

The Moon Landing site, which we ultimately built, was designated the closest to Memphis site that would be approved for gaming in the state of Mississippi. Subsequent to that that Gaming Commission left office, a new commission came in, the lay of the land changed entirely. I use that term considered because what was previously land suddenly became water, and they approved sites well north of our site.

So what we are doing here is moving back to a position of favorable location into a site that is well north. Now, that speaks also to the rather uncontrolled development of gaming in Mississippi and contrasts that to what is going on in the state of Indiana.

MR. KLINEMAN: Let me just ask you some specific questions about your due

1 diligence. The project as submitted to 2 us showed an average annual adjusted 3 gross gaming receipts per square foot of \$3,126. Is that a figure that you can 5 buy into? 6 MR. HILLMAN: Yes. 7 MR. KLINEMAN: 3,126 per square 8 foot? 9 MR. HILLMAN: Yes. You have me at 10 a disadvantage. You have the numbers in 11 front of you, and I haven't looked at 12 them in several weeks but --13 MR. KLINEMAN: Do you happen to 14 have your projections with you? 15 MR. HILLMAN: I'll tell you what, I 16 have one of my vice presidents here. 17 MR. KLINEMAN: I'm not asking you 18 to look at what Fulton's has told us in 19 the past. I'm really asking you, have 20 you looked at those kind of figures, and 21 do you, Bally, buy into those figures? 22 MR. HILLMAN: Yes, we buy into the 23 projections represented to the Gaming

Commission.

2

3

MR. KLINEMAN: But you don't want

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

me to go over them one by one since you don't have them in front of you? MR. HILLMAN: Well, I don't have

them in front of me, and it's been a while since I've looked at them. As Tom stated, we started these conversations back in January, and it's been a while since we've gone through detailed projections, and my staff and associates have handled that in the meantime.

And the original MR. KLINEMAN: boat had 22 square feet per gaming position, and I think that's less now because the boat is smaller, but the number of gaming positions is less too. And we had a \$69,462 gross gaming receipts per gaming station which would have to be up now if the total projections are going to be the same, and you have 300 less gaming positions so you probably have in the neighborhood of

\$75,000 or so?

MR. HILLMAN: Again, my recollection of those projections were, as Mr. Aro stated, the occupancy that was assumed in those projections was not anywhere close to 100 percent so in terms of a strict measurement of gaming win per gaming position and the modification of the number of gaming positions it should not in any way be construed as having a direct effect or an indirect effect on the revenues.

We want to optimize the size of the casino. To give you an example of that, our casino in Atlantic City, the Grand, which is our smaller of two casinos, which, as I stated, in 1994 had the highest win per square foot of any casino in Atlantic City, that casino produces revenues and gaming wins around the middle of the pack in terms of the 12 casinos that operate in Atlantic City although last year it was by far the

1 smallest casino in Atlantic City.

So occupancy has a great deal to do with gaming revenues and also in terms of your profitability, and that's something that you look to. We at Bally's are going to look to drive profits at any of our operations, and that is much more important than a sheer box car number of what gaming revenues would be.

MR. THAR: One question with regard to the boat. The boat that you are discussing now is the boat that's presently in existence, correct?

MR. HILLMAN: Yes.

MR. THAR: I am under the impression that that was built for 1,300 gaming positions, or presently has 1,300 gaming positions, and that you intend to put 1,500 gaming positions in it.

MR. ARO: No. It's configured for a little over 1,200 slot machines and 40 table games.

MR. THAR: That was the original.

1	My information was that it was configured
2	for 1,300 positions regardless of how you
3	put them together, and 1,200 slots was a
4	little bit of a push on that boat.
5	MR. ARO: I haven't seen the
6	numbers. As I say, I've been on the
7	boat. I've not ever seen that number.
8	MR. THAR: What is the blend that
9	you intend to have?
10	MR. ARO: I'm sorry?
11	MR. THAR: What is the number of
12	slot machines versus number of games?
13	MR. ARO: Roundly 1,200 slot
14	machines and 40 table games.
15	MR. THAR: So your position is the
16	boat will comfortably handle that based
17	upon the way it was built?
18	MR. ARO: Yes.
19	MR. KLINEMAN: Anybody have
20	anything else?
2 1	MR. VOWELS: What is the square
22	footage on this new boat?
23	MR. ARO: It's a little over 25,000

1 square feet. MR. VOWELS: It's 292 feet by how 2 3 much? MR. ARO: Seventy-four. 5 The old boat was 335 MR. VOWELS: by 70 and 40,000 square feet. You're 6 telling us that the annual adjusted gross 7 gaming receipts are going to be the same 8 as you reported to the Commission in your 10 application? 11 MR. ARO: Yes. 12 MR. VOWELS: Looking through your 13 annual adjusted gross gaming receipts the 14 calculations that we have show that 15 they're about 12 percent above the 16 average for all of the averages of the 17 Ohio and Switzerland County applicants 18 and nine percent above the Evansville 19 licensee and 20 percent above the average 20 in Illinois. 21 From what I see here there is an 22 increase each year in the annual adjusted

23

gross gaming receipts although the

attendance numbers are staying the same, and also the gaming receipts per gaming position increases each year. How and why is that possible particularly now that we have a smaller boat with less amount of gaming positions? Can you explain that to me, please?

MR. ARO: Well, I don't have the numbers that you are looking at, but in terms of comparing them to the existing results that the Illinois boats are currently experiencing on a player position basis I think we're still projecting around 72, 74 percent of what the current Illinois boats are experiencing on a rolling 12 month period.

If you look at our per passenger revenue number we're still projecting something less than the rolling 12 month Illinois experience. So, I'm sorry, I don't have the same numbers that you are looking at, Mr. Vowels, to respond

specifically to your question, but we assessed the market when we did our initial projections, and that was done by Urban Systems initially.

We certainly did some of our own due diligence. Those numbers subsequently have been validated by the Bally folks, and what we projected initially seems to be holding up to what the reality has been in the Illinois boats.

MR. VOWELS: Your admission average, \$8.50 per admission, the average in Ohio and Switzerland County is \$7.26, and in Evansville it's \$4.84. Is that where you intend to make up some of the loss in the higher than average admission revenue?

MR. ARO: Well, what we did in our projections, we have about \$4 going out I believe it is to the various authorities. We tried to assess what the marketplace was. I can't speak on behalf

1 of the other applicants, but that was our 2 best assessment at that point in time, 3 and I don't think there's anything that's developed since then that would really 5 suggest that we change from that. 6 MR. VOWELS: What's the cost of the 7 boat that you are purchasing? 8 MR. ARO: I'm sorry? 9 MR. VOWELS: The cost of the boat. 10 MR. ARO: 17.5 million. 11 MR. VOWELS: And what was the cost 12 of the construction of the boat in 13 Jeffersonville? 14 MR. ARO: I'd have to go back into 15 the numbers. I don't have that off the 16 top of my head. 17 MR. VOWELS: Was it more than 17 18 and a half million? 19 MR. ARO: I believe it was. 20 don't remember specifically. 2 1 MR. VOWELS: I'd like to backtrack 22 a little bit here back to Mr. Thar's 23 letters to you. A lady named Patricia

Cohen, Mr. Thar had requested information based upon Miss Cohen at least one time, and going through these letters I'm sure it was more than one time. Can you explain to me -- specifically I see a letter dated March 21, 1995, to you, Mr. Aro, requesting specific information be received from Miss Cohen and that she was to furnish that information. Can you explain why she was hesitant or delayed in getting that information to the staff?

MR. ARO: I think Sandy Freedman can probably better answer that.

MR. FREEDMAN: Sanford Freedman again. As I understand it, Mrs. Cohen -- the deficiency vis-a-vis the investigative people at the staff involved a tax return for 1993. I believe a letter was sent last week to the staff from the accountant for her and her husband explaining the reason for the delay in the completion of that tax

return, and this is from the outside accounting firm which is preparing the tax return.

I believe the letter explained some of the reasons for the delay in the tax return and indicated in the letter that they expected the tax return would be completed within 30 days and submitted at that time to the Gaming Commission. And I believe that was the one element that was not supplied. To my knowledge everything else was supplied to the investigative staff of the Commission.

MR. VOWELS: After the March 21st letter is what you're saying because there are five different topics here that Mr. Thar --

MR. FREEDMAN: Yes, I believe so, sir, yes. I believe they did. Now, if I'm missing one I apologize, but I was informed that she had taken care of everything except that one tax return.

MR. VOWELS: One thing as simple as

detailing her shareholder interest in Alpha Hospitality Corporation, Mr. Thar had a request that she provide that percentage of ownership, and we do finally have that in here.

MR. FREEDMAN: That was in the application from day one I believe, but I'm not trying to be argumentative about it. I assume she supplied that information directly to the Commission. To my knowledge the tax return was the one failing.

MR. VOWELS: I guess part of my problem here is that in the documents that have been provided to the Gaming Commissioners from the investigation there are things that are coming up here as far as not coming forth with information upon demand or within a reasonable time that we've never experienced in either Gary or Evansville, and it strikes --

MR. FREEDMAN: I think the

investigative staff is aware of there was some personal reasons which caused the delay in the filing of her tax return which was really a joint tax return with her husband which I think are understandable but nothing that I think it's appropriate to raise here. That is, as I understand it, in the process of being remedied right now.

MR. VOWELS: Let's go to a little different tax issue. Part of the revenue to the county is the county's assessment of the boat and the property upon the land. In Hancock County, Mississippi, the numbers we have received from the assessor's office is that there is an unpaid property tax assessment against Bayou Caddy's Jubilee Casino of about a half million dollars in February of 1995. What is the status of that dispute with the assessor's office down in Mississippi?

MR. ARO: We have really a

3

2 1

significant difference of opinion on values and what is taxable under Mississippi law. There's a couple of court cases down there. We're not involved in them but similar type issues where barges are excluded for property tax purposes, and the Hancock County assessor who assessed the entire development did not take that into consideration.

That was a major difference of opinion, and the other fundamental thing is the numbers that he used we do not feel are representative of the true value of the facility down there so we are going through the process of challenging the valuation in the normal course of events.

MR. VOWELS: How many other gambling entities are in Hancock County?

MR. ARO: In Hancock County, one.

MR. VOWELS: Just yours?

MR. ARO: We're the only boat in

1 the county, in the unincorporated county 2 area. 3 MR. VOWELS: So you're the only entity that has to deal with this 5 particular assessor in that county? MR. ARO: Yes. But it's more of a 7 state wide issue. There are some of the 8 boats in the Biloxi area that are 9 challenging the law because their boats 10 were assessed for property taxes, and 11 Mississippi law suggests that that's not 12 correct. 13 MR. VOWELS: In reference to your 14 employees, how many part-time -- well, 15 how many employees do you anticipate in 16 total if you receive the license and were 17 up and running? 18 MR. ARO: 1,386. 19 MR. VOWELS: And how many of those 20 would be fulltime employees? 21 MR. ARO: That's essentially the 22 fulltime employee equivalent. 23 could be -- the people count could be a

1 little greater but eventually it would be 2 1,386. 3 MR. VOWELS: Would you have any 4 part-time employees other than that? MR. ARO: I'm sure we'll have some, 5 6 but that's not a major part of how we 7 would approach the staff. 8 MR. VOWELS: And fulltime employees 9 would be participating in corporate 10 healthcare plans, 401K program? 11 MR. ARO: Yes, they would. 12 MR. VOWELS: All of the fulltime 13 employees that you indicated? 14 Fulltime employees, yes. MR. ARO: 15 MR. THAR: Follow-up question to 16 what Mr. Vowels asked you about, the 17 \$8.50 admission revenue. You showed in 18 the information submitted to us and which 19 is in our reports that you charge that 20 admission revenue for five years. Do you 21 honestly think you'll be able to maintain 22 \$8.50 admission as competition comes up? 23 MR. ARO: I think if there's indeed

1 competition in the neighboring states you 2 would have to look at how you market your 3 entire operation, and that would certainly be a line you would have to 5 look at, and, again, I think that would 6 be driven by what might happen in 7 Kentucky and Ohio in gaming. 8 MR. THAR: My view of the industry 9 is the admission charge is one of the 10 first things to go when the competition 11 qets heated up. 12 MR. ARO: Well, I think it depends, 13 again, on the venue, and if your neighbor 14 down the street is not charging and you 15 are charging that would certainly be 16 something. 17 During the presentation MR. THAR: 18 it was noted that 50 cents of every paid 19 admission would go to the education 20 foundation. What about comps? 21 MR. ARO: We had structured it on 22 paid admission. 23 MR. THAR: Paid admission?

MR. ARO: Yes.

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: So, in other words, if you dropped the admission charge then there would be no education foundation?

MR. ARO: No. Our plan -- our five year plan is based on, as Mr. Thar suggested, there would be some admission charges throughout that period of time. Our commitment to the community was to contribute to the educational foundation. We use that only as a means of trying to structure a program going forward so if indeed down the road because of competition or some other reason there were not paid admissions going in we would not step away from that commitment to the foundation.

MR. KLINEMAN: You would follow up on behalf of the children? You would pay the 50 cents even if you dropped the admission fee to a buck or 50 cents, whatever? You still wouldn't short the

1	children?
2	MR. ARO: Yeah. It's a mechanical
3	way of contributing regardless if we're
4	getting a dollar or no dollars or ten
5	dollars.
6	MR. KLINEMAN: Well, no dollars you
7	get nothing, but 50 cents or over you
8	would pay?
9	MR. ARO: Yes.
10	MR. THAR: Do you have a marketing
1 1	agreement with HFS?
1 2	MR. ARO: Yes, at Bayou Caddy's.
1 3	MR. THAR: Is that with HFS or
1 4	NDC?
15	MR. ARO: HFS, I think HFS.
1 6	MR. THAR: For what period of time
17	does that go? How long is that marketing
18	agreement?
19	MR. FREEDMAN: Sanford Freedman
2 0	again. I'm informed that the agreement,
2 1	the ten year agreement, is terminated by
2 2	us at the end of five years.
2 3	MR. THAR: Is the payment on that

1	agreement paid on a percentage?
2	MR. FREEDMAN: Yes, it is.
3	MR. THAR: And the percentage of
4	what?
5	MR. FREEDMAN: Gross gaming
6	revenues.
7	MR. THAR: Gross gaming revenues or
8	the win?
9	MR. FREEDMAN: Same.
10	MR. THAR: You're looking at the
11	same thing?
1 2	MR. FREEDMAN: Yes.
13	MR. THAR: What's the percentage?
14	MR. FREEDMAN: It's three percent,
15	Mr. Thar.
16	MR. THAR: What did you get for
17	that aside from their marketing? What
18	did Bayou Caddy's Casino get for that
19	agreement aside from the marketing?
2 0	MR. FREEDMAN: Well, that involved
2 1	it was a package which involved some
2 2	financing by HFS Gaming Corp. at the
2 3	time, and when we made the arrangements

1 for the financing there we made 2 arrangements for the marketing 3 agreements. It was really done as one package. At the time there were certain arrangements made if we proceeded with 5 6 the Indiana project, and those 7 arrangements were terminated at our 8 request some time ago. 9 MR. THAR: Do you presently have 10 with regard to the Indiana project any 11 similar marketing agreement with HFS or 12 NDC? 13 MR. FREEDMAN: No, there is none in 14 existence. 15 MR. THAR: Have you found this 16 three percent over five years to be 17 burdensome with regard to meeting your 18 debt service? 19 MR. FREEDMAN: Yes. It's a lot of 20 money. It was part of an overall 21 package. 22 MR. THAR: Are you current on those 23 payments?

1 MR. FREEDMAN: Yes. I'm informed 2 we are current on the payments. MR. THAR: You kind of hit it on 3 the head. It seems like it is a lot of 5 money. Has that been part of the problem 6 being able to pay other creditors? 7 MR. FREEDMAN: Not really because 8 you have to combine that with what was a 9 fairly favorable rate on the financing 10 that they did, and we had -- putting 11 those two together it was a very 12 reasonable deal, and I don't think we can 13 say that that affected our ability to 14 deal with other creditors. 15 MR. THAR: Do you do any marketing 16 with Bayou Caddy's other than through HFS 17 or NDC? 18 MR. ARO: Yes. 19 MR. THAR: Do you have a marketing 20 budget in addition to that? 21 MR. ARO: Yes. We advertise radio, 22 television, billboards, quite extensive 23 direct mail programs, special event type

1	things.
2	MR. THAR: That will not be the
3	situation in Ohio County if you're
4	licensed?
5	MR. ARO: With HFS?
6	MR. THAR: Right.
7	MR. ARO: That's correct.
8	MR. THAR: You will not have that
9	situation?
10	MR. ARO: We will not have a
11	marketing agreement with them.
12	MR. KLINEMAN: You won't have a
13	marketing agreement with anyone so far as
14	you know; is that right?
15	MR. ARO: That's correct.
16	MR. THAR: You talked about the
17	revitalization of downtown to fit in with
18	the Fulton's Landing overall
19	architecture. Is that something the city
20	wants?
2 1	MR. ARO: Yes. When we first came
22	to Rising Sun and we met with the task
2 3	force folks there that whole concept

23

started evolving which was to develop something that was complimentary to one another so that we're not building a Star Wars type resort out on the side and the downtown is trying to recapture the charm

MR. THAR: Maybe I heard it What you're really saying is Fulton's Landing, the new stuff you build, will fit in with the way Rising

MR. ARO: Right. It's a Victorian flavored theme that we are proposing.

MR. THAR: I don't remember. the golf course a part of your original

MR. ARO: We had talked -- in our original application we had spoke of a golf course as an amenity that obviously goes hand in hand with this type of

I don't remember seeing any money set aside for the development

1 of a golf course. 2 MR. ARO: No, there was not. You 3 are correct in your observation. MR. THAR: So that would not be 5 part of the 99.8 million? 6 MR. ARO: No, it's not part of the 7 99, no. We have looked at several pieces of property that would lend itself to 9 that type of development. 10 MR. THAR: So today's the first we 11 would have heard of it? 12 MR. ARO: No, not necessarily. 13 said in our original application that the 14 golf course was certainly something we 15 were looking at. At that time when that 16 was filed we did not have it pinned down 17 to specific sites, and we're still 18 evaluating the sites that we have on 19 that. 20 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Do you have a 21 timetable? You mentioned the timetable 22 for the specific project. Do you have a

timetable when the golf course would come

on line?

_

MR. ARO: Well, as we envision it it's kind of a sequencing time event where the initial efforts would be put into development of the safe harbor area, the parking and cueing pavillions and so forth to allow the project to get up and running. The natural evolution just flows, and it's not very disruptive to the gaming activity and to the hotel site.

The evolution from there, and it would depend -- I don't want to make a representation here that's not in place, but it would depend on if we're successful in acquiring the land to do the golf course. That would be the next logical sequencing after the hotel portion of the resort is complete.

MR. THAR: I thought you had indicated during the course of your presentation that you received an application number from the Army Corps of

1	Engineers.
2	MR. ARO: Yes.
3	MR. THAR: What is that?
4	MR. ARO: 199500687.
5	MR. THAR: I mean what do you mean
6	by an application number? What is it? I
7	have not heard that term.
8	MR. ARO: Maybe I can refer to the
9	engineers. Docket number.
1 0	MR. THAR: In whose name is that
11	application?
1 2	MR. ARO: Alpha Rising Sun.
1 3	MR. THAR: Could we get a copy of
1 4	that document?
15	MR. ARO: Sure.
16	MR. THAR: With regard to Bally's,
1 7	if Bally's finances this project what is
18	the effect on Bally's other application
19	in the state?
2 0	MR. HILLMAN: The effect on our
2 1	other application that we have standing
2 2	is that our obligations to our partners
2 3	on that application are such that we

1 would keep this investment 9.5 percent. 2 The 9.5 equity structuring we presented 3 to you is based on the structure of those other obligations essentially so in terms 5 of the applications they would still 6 stand. 7 MR. THAR: Let's assume for the 8 moment that you don't get an ownership 9 position and all you do for Alpha Rising 10 Sun is finance it, that's it. 11 MR. HILLMAN: It has no effect. MR. THAR: You still have the 12 13 resources to go ahead under the other 14 project? 15 MR. HILLMAN: Oh, yes, oh, yes. 16 MR. THAR: And with regard to the 17 \$10 million equity commitment that Alpha 18 had presented today as being their 19 portion of the financing package does 20 that include the two million you've 21 already spent? 22 MR. ARO: Yes, it did. 23 MR. THAR: Does that in any way

leave the project short in capital?

MR. ARO: No.

MR. KLINEMAN: Anybody else have anything? I just have one for Mr. Hillman. In connection with your unconditional financing what kind of a noncompete agreement will Bally's give us so we feel secure that you won't go out and compete so that this project would not be able to fund the repayment of the commitment you're going to make?

MR. HILLMAN: Well, I guess my understanding of the competition or the licensing process in Indiana is such that the other license being --

MR. KLINEMAN: No. I'm talking about Kentucky, Ohio. What kind of real and honest to goodness noncompete -- I don't think anybody from Rising Sun would want you across the river in whatever the name of the --

MR. HILLMAN: Well, your crystal ball is better than mine. You tell me

what you want. Quite frankly, we'd be willing to give you a noncompete that would be whatever --

MR. KLINEMAN: I would obviously think that something in terms of circular miles would be something that would give me some confidence that you wouldn't see a better opportunity down the road or across the street.

MR. HILLMAN: Sure. And, quite frankly, we'd be happy to do that. You pick the range that you think is reasonable and --

MR. KLINEMAN: Well, I'm not in your business. I have no idea so you tell me, and we'll go from there. I mean, you don't have to do it today.

MR. HILLMAN: Okay. I've been asked to do a lot today. We'd be happy to do that. It's a reasonable thing for you to request, and we'd be happy to do that.

MR. KLINEMAN: Thank you.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: I'm sorry. I checked my notes, and I do have one other question. You've predicted you're going to have quite a large crowd coming here. Does it not concern you that the roads are terribly inadequate to Rising Sun? How are all these people going to get there safely, get out of there safely? I would think that would be a concern of yours.

MR. ARO: Well, it is a concern, and I think you folks are aware of some of the projects that the state has that will be getting going shortly.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: The last rumor I heard was that funding was taken away from that road -- what is it -- 53 or 56, whatever number it is.

MR. ARO: I think Joe Emanuele touched on it briefly in his presentation. The amount of traffic is not necessarily a terrible thing. It's whether it gets bottled necked, and as

far as 56 goes the traffic surveys that are done and taken into consideration, the cruising schedules and so forth, that part of the roadway from the beltway around Cincinnati is more than adequate to handle the anticipated additional traffic that we see coming in there.

I think where it gets a little more dicey is in Lawrenceburg and Aurora, coming through there, and certainly with the turning lanes that are being planned for that that's going to alleviate some of those problems.

And obviously we will have to wait and see what happens, but we would try to time our cruises in such a manner to minimize the congestion issue as much as possible. It's not in anybody's interest, you know, to have people coming out having a bad experience or having an unsafe experience.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: The safety of the roads is as big a concern to me as --

MR. ARO: Well, I worked up at Lake Tahoe for a number of years, and Highway 50 which comes up from Sacramento to the south shore, the last 25, 30 miles of it is a two-lane switch back mountain road, and the visitor count I think in the Lake Tahoe basin is something like four million people a year. And it's not an autobahn by any means, but they certainly get there. They get there when it's snowing like crazy, and they get there when it's quite lovely.

MR. THAR: The only difference though, Tom, is that riverboats by cruising creates artificial deadlines.

MR. ARO: That's true.

MR. THAR: And that is part of our concern is that somebody leaves ten minutes late somewhere they've got to make up ten minutes to get there whereas in Tahoe whenever you get there you can go in.

MR. ARO: That's a valid point, and

I don't know what totally you can do about that because it is the nature of the beast. But, again, our thoughts were to try to be sensitive to the traffic patterns that exist right now and try to dovetail into that as best we can and try not to compound any problems.

We are hoping also that some of the amenities we are planning at the resort are going to allow people to enjoy other things than beating it out there and jumping on the boat. When they get there there's going to be restaurants, and we've got some entertainment facilities planned for it and so forth, and, of course, we've got the downtown issue which we're very actively trying to promote.

So I'm not going to say that won't happen, but we are trying to be sensitive to that issue, and we are trying to work through that and try to minimize it as best we can.

MR. KLINEMAN: I think we've gotten to the end of the line here just because we're approaching the lunch hour. I'm going to impose upon you gentleman to maybe stick around to be available just right after lunch in case there were some omitted questions which we think of over the lunch hour.

If we don't we'll go immediately into the next presentation, and you will then be excused until probably clean-up time when we might have some additional questions. But I think we'll stand in recess until 2:15 at which time if you gentlemen will come back and if we have anything we'll pose questions then.

(At this time the noon recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:)

MR. KLINEMAN: We'll come back to order again. We have come up, Mr. Frick, with a couple of omitted questions so I quess we'll fire away at whoever you feel

1	can best answer the question.
2	We are concerned about the \$10
3	million investment that you show as your
4	equity share of the project and where
5	that money would come from.
6	MR. ARO: That commitment would
7	come from
8	MR. KLINEMAN: Could you identify
9	yourself again.
10	MR. ARO: I'm sorry. Tom Aro.
11	Those funds would come from the Bryanson
12	group of which Mr. Tollman is a principal
13	shareholder.
14	MR. KLINEMAN: And what will be the
15	terms of that?
16	MR. ARO: Straight equity
17	investment.
18	MR. KLINEMAN: And are those funds
19	available presently, or would they be
20	MR. ARO: Yes, they are.
2 1	MR. KLINEMAN: raised in some
22	fashion?
23	MR. ARO: No. They are available.

1	MR. KLINEMAN: They are available
2	in Bryanson?
3	MR. ARO: That's correct.
4	MR. KLINEMAN: Anybody else have
5	any further questions? I think with that
6	you gentlemen are excused, and we thank
7	you very much for your presentation and
8	for staying over through the lunch hour.
9	MR. ARO: Thank you very much.
10	MR. KLINEMAN: I guess we now will
11	reverse as we did before, go down and sit
12	in the front row, and we'll have the next
13	presentation from the Rising Sun
14	Riverboat Casino and Resort. I have
15	about 2:26 or so so you may proceed. You
16	want to correct my time?
17	MR. DALY: I was just going to ask
18	for two more minutes. Actually I think
19	we'll be brief.
20	MR. KLINEMAN: If you finish by
21	3:30
22	MR. DALY: Do we get extra points?
2.3	Chairman Klineman and members of the

Commission, my name is Pat Daly, and I'm the president of the Rising Sun Riverboat Casino and Resort venture. It is certainly my pleasure to come before you here today to present to you what we believe is a very exciting and unique project.

As you heard before, it has been two long years since our partnership came together to form this venture. Our intent has been to develop a multifaceted resort that we hope will present us the highest prospective revenues collected by the state and local governments and provide significant economic development over a wide geographic area.

To this end we have created an organization of people with strong backgrounds in development, in maritime experience, in entertainment, and in gaming expertise giving the Rising Sun Riverboat Casino and Resort venture the diversity to establish Rising Sun,

Indiana, as the focal point and destination resort, conference center, and casino complex in the tri-state area.

I would like now to introduce my partners to you. Mr. Jim Everett. Jim has a broad background in maritime experience as a principal of International Marine and Gaming. Jim is involved in the integration of casino and entertainment operations in water borne environments. He has recently completed overseeing the preliminary engineering and design work in connection with the refurbishing and conversion of the 12,000 ton Empire Queen.

Mr. Paul Partridge. Paul is a partner in the accounting firm of Partridge and Scribner & Company and is also a director of International Marine and Gaming. Paul over the past several years has been involved extensively in the investigation of the financial

feasibility and operations of water borne gaming in the United States market.

Mr. Al Luciani, who you'll see
later in the presentation, is nationally
known and respected as a great innovator,
marketer, and manager of casino
operations. Al is the CEO of American
Gaming and Entertainment. He has served
as an executive with the Golden Nugget
and is generally credited with having
helped to create the most successful
casino in North America, Foxwoods Casino
and Resort in Connecticut.

In his prior life Al was a deputy director of the division of criminal justice in the state of New Jersey, and as the Assistant Attorney General in that state he was instrumental in drafting their casino control act.

Now, my role in this venture is to do what I love to do best, and that is to plan and to build. As the owner and founder of our current firm, a

estate brokerage firm, and as the president of Commercial Development Company I have been fortunate enough of having been involved in over \$1 billion worth of commercial development either from the standpoint of design, construction, or ownership.

In my civic life as the vice

1.5

In my civic life as the vice chairman of McCormick Place and Navy Pier in Chicago I have also had the honor of overseeing the \$1.5 billion expansion of one of America's largest convention centers as well as the largest mixed -- I have to read this one -- the largest mixed use maritime, cultural, entertainment, retail, conference, and museum complex that we call Navy Pier in Chicago.

Now, to support our efforts we have assisting us an expert team of individuals and professionals. Inez

Rising Sun, Indiana, has been there since we opened our office in March of last year. Krieg, Devault, Alexander & Capehart of Indianapolis are our attorneys.

Paul I. Cripe, Incorporated of
Indianapolis are our civil engineers.

A & F Engineering & Company of
Indianapolis are our traffic engineers.

PFDA, Incorporated are our architects and planners. Armanco, Incorporated helps us with our construction management services.

Joseph Able & Associates are
economic development and city planning
consultants; Municipal Finance Consulting
Services, Incorporated, tax increment
financing and consultation; Host
Marketing Corporation, hotel and
convention consultants; Captain Detrick
Giles of American Gaming and
Entertainment, our maritime consultants;
and Hyatt Development Corporation,

financing.

_

Recognizing that riverboat gaming legislation was passed in order to create economic development and tax revenues to state and local governments, as I've said, we have approached our project with the intention to maximize tax revenue and provide real economic development.

We view riverboat gaming as a catalyst for true economic development, that during its period of exclusivity the enormous tax generation and cash flow of riverboat gaming be utilized effectively to maximize its long-term impact on state and local economy, that during this period riverboat gaming be used to underwrite sustained growth and thereby create real opportunity, recognizing that increased competition will most likely come to this area.

To that end we are committed to building a destination resort, conference, retail, entertainment, and,

the reason that we're all in this room today, a riverboat casino complex that, as I said, will be the focal point of the tri-state area.

In general terms we are building upon the natural beauty of this stretch of the Ohio River and will link an historic Indiana river city with the amenities and conveniences of a top quality destination resort. The most important element of our development is the riverboat casino. However, its impact is amplified and supported by the integration of the other development parts.

The hotel, the conference center, the performance pavilion, the factory outlet center, and, of course, the 18-hole golf course each play important roles on a synergistic and a stand-alone basis. In the future, whether gaming continues to be the primary attraction, each of these individual elements will

attract and give long-term economic benefit to the larger community and through linkage will provide for the revitalization of Rising Sun, Indiana.

May we dim the lights, please? In our planning we have designed our development in a way that will enhance the notion of a distinct riverfront town set in a rural landscape. Our main resort campus occupies 190 acres of riverfrontage while our golf course sits on 230 acres of rolling hills overlooking the Ohio River. We have taken advantage of the ample area to spread out and maintain the scale and the feel of historic Rising Sun.

As you drive into Rising Sun you are struck by the sharp contrast between the town and its agricultural fields and the green-treed edge around the town's perimeter. We have maintained that green edge around our development by providing large landscaped areas and park spaces

where we abut and which we share with the community.

We have selected an architecture that reflects the gentle features of historic Rising Sun with ample use of stone and wood and a scale that blends with the town. In short, we have attempted to create a soft, friendly environment with no hard edges that reflects the town that we adjoin.

We have also mitigated the impact of the expected two million visitors per year to this development by intercepting the bulk of the traffic well north of the city and internalizing that traffic throughout our development. We have put our day trippers and our busiest elements of the development, such as the riverfront casino and the factory outlet center, away from the town.

We have placed our shared amenities
-- the parks, the performance pavilion,
the river walk -- and the overnight

facilities -- the hotel and conference center -- on the city side, buffered but still strongly linked to the business district to keep those Ohio and Kentucky tourist dollars in Rising Sun and in Indiana.

Certainly the centerpiece of our development is the riverboat casino.

This boat, the Colonel Pepper, will be designed after the historic Ohio paddle wheelers of the 19th century. The boat itself will have 71,000 square feet with 34,000 square feet of gaming area and can accommodate 2,500 passengers. It is harbored in a man made basin and marina protecting it from river traffic and floating debris.

Adjacent to the pier is a 30,000 square foot concourse, restaurant, and entertainment pavilion. In front of the pavilion and adjacent to the existing commercial area to the south we have initially planned a 100,000 square foot

factory outlet mall. This type of center is a regional magnet and will compliment and not compete with the new downtown retailers. The center would also provide an alternative to riverboat activities and allow guests to stop during the preand postboarding periods. This center can be expanded to over 300,000 square feet.

The hotel is planned initially as

150 units and a 50,000 square foot

multipurpose exhibition meeting space and

conference center. This facility is

expandable to 600 units and will be

designed to attract small businesses,

corporations, and professional

associations in Indianapolis, Cincinnati,

Louisville, and Lexington for corporate

meetings and conferences.

Our hotel management consultant,

Host Mark, has been our marketing

resource and is uniquely qualified since

its CEO, Mr. Bud Cataldo, is the

department chairman of the Chicago

Convention and Tourism Bureau, and Mr.

Mel Padla is the former acting director

of that organization. They have a

tremendous depth in this market.

Next, overlooking the Ohio River and with the Kentucky hills as a dramatic backdrop is our performance pavilion.

That is where on summer nights the community and our guests can come together and enjoy live performances on a blanket under the stars or sheltered underneath the roof of a 500-seat pavilion.

The final element on the resort campus is the river walk and recreational wetlands. The riverfront is an asset that gives both a visual and recreational enjoyment and provides yet another link to Main Street and the community.

And what destination resort would be without an 18-hole golf course?

Minutes away is the 18-hole Bogus Hollow

golf course on 230 beautiful rolling acres overlooking the Ohio. In addition to the course, the resort will also offer recreational vehicle parking for overnight guests.

Now, throughout the resort campus we will be continuously running trams to link each of these elements as well as the entire development to Main Street, first, to mitigate auto traffic within the community and, secondly, to provide strong economic linkage to the downtown business district.

Now, our entire project is \$98 million, and when completed we will have spent \$50 million on the land acquisition, the land-based component of this facility. Can we turn the lights up, please?

Now, in addition to Rising Sun,

Indiana, providing one of the most

beautiful sites on the Ohio River it also

presents one of the most buildable. Of

all the sites in southeastern Indiana our Rising Sun site has the highest elevation and is the largest land area. The entire developed area of our site is above the 100 year flood plain, and all of our developed structures will be ten feet above that.

Our vessel will be harbored in a man made basin protecting it from river traffic and floating debris, as I said before. The riverboat itself will be moored adjacent to the facility allowing a 20 foot high escarpment separating the facility from the flood way. Our river boat will cruise on one of the safest stretches of the Ohio River and well within the Indiana state boundry.

Utilities are directly to the site and require only modest upgrade to support our facility and future city development. We had submitted our 404 permit last February to the Army Corps of Engineers, and all comments from the Army

Corps of Engineers to date have been positive. There are no significant environmental issues. There are no known endangered species. There are no significant wetland issues. The site has no evidence of archeological significance. There is no substantial disturbance of the flood way.

Off-site improvements to service our traffic are standard for this size project and are not unusual. Our main Route 56 with the addition of this development's peak traffic demand is still operating at only 65 percent design capacity. In addition, the city and the Indiana Department of Transportation have been involved in conversations and negotiations to make a good road system even better and safer.

And, finally, the city has a fully serviced with utilities temporary site.

We have a temporary boat, and our project could be in operation within six months

after the issuance of a license. Now, having said all that and recognizing the fact that I have a natural bias, we have all of our experts here to answer any specific questions that the Commission may have during our question and answer period.

And last but certainly not least, and probably most importantly, we have an unconditional commitment for \$99 million of financing from an affiliate of the Hyatt Development Corporation. We have the site, we have the plan, we have the money, and now that I have told you that we will build it Mr. Luciani will now tell you that they will come.

MR. LUCIANI: Chairman Klineman,
Commissioners, Executive Director Thar,
my name is Alfred Luciani. I'm president
of American Gaming, and I want to begin
by saying flat out we're really excited
about this project. This gives people
like Pat and I an opportunity to have

vision and to create what we think we do best, and that's create entertainment, create longevity in the sense of the creation of a destination resort.

What I'd like to do first is to go over the viability of our projections and the premises of those projections so that we can address the viability of what we'll call the Cincinnati market. We did three different sets of projections, one based upon 4,000, another based upon 6,000, and the last based upon 8,000 passengers per day.

We believe that it's certainly reasonable for us to take the middle projection of 6,000 passengers a day and project that there would be approximately 2.2 million passengers on an annualized basis. Those were the bases for our primary projections.

The number of table games that we projected were 75 for an average win of \$1,280, by all standards relatively

conservative. The number of slot machines utilized were 1,339 for an average daily win of \$152, again, relatively conservative when viewed in the context of recognized markets. The average win per passenger per day, \$50, again, attempting to be on the conservative side while recognizing the potential of the marketplace.

What happens is in the context of these numbers we end up with a particular yield, a certain gross revenue, a certainly level of expenses, and a certain earnings before depreciation, interest, tax, and amortization which is basically the test of performance in our industry.

The yield, as we see it, based upon these, again, fairly conservative projections, the gross revenue for the first full year would be about a hundred and forty-five six on \$109 million in gaming win. There would be about \$87

million in expenses and the yield on the EBTIDA line of about \$27.5 million.

Factoring a growth of about five percent we see us growing from a hundred and forty-five six in revenue to about 173,000,000 over the course of five years and from \$109 million in gaming win to a hundred and thirty-three. On the basis of these numbers there's a certain yield to the state of Indiana and a certain yield locally that we can invest.

On the basis of a gaming tax, which is a direct tax on the gaming win, we would range from about \$22 million to about \$26.5 million in five years assuming that there's a flat admission tax and the same number of passengers are constant which is just an assumption. It's an arbitrary assumption. It would be about \$6.5 million attributed to the admissions tax.

In addition to the statutory tax and the sharing there would be a local

revenue participation on the basis of a dollar a head on all the participants entering the boat so there's about \$2.2 million. Again, we're projecting no increase in numbers, and we believe that, again, to be a conservative estimate.

On the basis of sales tax, given the nature of our installation with factory outlet, food and beverage facilities, and the like we expect that somewhere just under \$900,000 to about a million one would be generated, and all told we would look at around \$31.5 million ranging up to about \$36.5 million over the course of the first five years of operation.

When analyzing this particular market on a comparable basis, and the reason I continuously use the word conservative, we looked at and tend to compare on a proportionate basis known markets and project on the basis of a proportionality of those known markets

with the game as we define it in Rising

Sun in this particular instance.

We believe the overall Cincinnati market to be well over \$500 million in potential. The issue is how do we realize that potential. We reach that conclusion on the basis of proportionality based on the number of people within 25 miles, 850,000; within 50 miles, two million; and within 100 miles, seven million.

And recognizing that there's going to be more than one installation we believe that, again, if we look at the per capita income, the number of people that are available, and the experiences in places like Illinois, Connecticut, and, yes, even Atlantic City, we believe that there can be a greater level of enjoyment of revenue than we're projecting right now.

Now, what does that depend upon?

It depends, quite simply, on whether or

not we accomplish our mutual goal, our goal with that of Rising Sun, and that is the creation of an attraction that is lasting, that becomes a resort destination. The issue revolves around why Rising Sun, and the why around Rising Sun is one that really epitomizes my experience, and I'd like to share for a couple of minutes what that means.

Having grown up in the Atlantic
City experience we've seen what a lack of
political will can result in. We've seen
what changing plans, lack of zoning can
result in. We've seen what land
speculation can frustrate. We've seen
shenanigans from a political perspective,
and we've seen an industry that was
individually successful but unfortunately
the resort died.

On the other hand, we see what Rising Sun has done for itself. They have a plan, they have a mission, they have a vision. Happily we fit with

that. Happily our description meets
their description of their goal and our
goal. And as a consequence we can create
this environment of a destination resort
because of the predictability of where we
go.

Another example of what I'd like to point to as a more recent one for me and that was Foxwoods up in Connecticut. We did an original installation based upon a \$65 million budget, and, yes, indeed we built a casino, but we built waterfalls, and we built restaurants, and we built other amenities so that when people came they had a complete and diversified entertainment experience.

That little installation now has grown into in excess of \$300 million. It has in excess of 4,000 slot machines, each earning over \$400 a day. It has over 200 table games, each earning over \$2,500 a day. But what it is, it's a testament to the creation of what we're

all talking about, a lasting destination,

a place where people can come and spend

time.

And that, frankly, is the difference. Not to berate other locations, but the difference here is the opportunity that we have and Rising Sun has to have a land-based opportunity that is there hard and fast, bricks and mortar, as an attraction where we can build it as one destination, one resort with all the amenities that are going to attract people for years and years to come.

Unlike some of the other locations in the state that don't enjoy the opportunity of utilization of acreage, we have ample acreage to build pretty much anything that's in anybody's dream, and so dream we will. The difference here is Rising Sun and its raw material. The difference here is the support locally for the delivery of that dream and that

vision.

What we have in our little program is 190 acres plus a golf course. We have a hotel. It starts at 150 rooms and is planned to go to 600. Of course, we have the riverboat. That riverboat has 1,950 gaming positions as planned. It has about 22 square feet per gaming position which we consider to be comfortable.

It has a conferencing center, a multipurpose room of 50,000 square feet to create a new market. It has entertainment facilities that will allow up to 2,500 people to be accommodated both under shelter and on the lawn.

It has 100,000 square feet of factory outlet that is intended to compliment the downtown vision of Rising Sun, and we have a plan that integrates that downtown vision with this resort so that we are talking about a massive attraction, something that allows critical mass that people talk about when

they leave, people talk about when they get home which causes more people to come and see what it's really all about. And what it really is all about is what we think we're really all about, and that is the creation of an entertainment experience.

This diversity, this critical mass, this entertainment feature is available to the state. It is wanted by the city of Rising Sun. We would love to be able to do it with you. We believe that we are compatible in our development schemes. We believe that our goals are compatible with those of the city.

We believe that some of our marketing notions, like the Rising Sun dollars that come out of our promotional and complimentary expenses, will help ensure the integration of downtown and the success of merchants there.

We believe that our commitments under the development fund for \$3.5

million for the redevelopment of the central city area, of a half million dollars for park acquisition, of \$2.1 million estimated based on admissions for Rising Sun utilization in addition to the revenue sharing Rising Sun has accommodated with adjoining counties, and beyond our development agreement we've agreed to fund \$300,000 as seed money for convention and tourism development.

We, like projects of a similar size, expect to employ about 1,400 fulltime equivalents. We expect that we'll see about 150 part-time folks. We expect that our payroll will be \$30 million approximately in the first year and will grow to about \$35 million over the course of five. We believe that we'll have \$10 million in benefits because we think that our workers have to be protected, and they have to be comfortable with the security of their job and their health.

we believe that we will expend in excess of \$43 million on the basis of local goods and services, and I emphasize local. It is our intention to prioritize local vendors, goods, services. It is our intention to prioritize local hiring and to do our own training so that these people can be properly equipped. It is our intention to implement recognized affirmative action programs with respect to employees as well as with vendors.

We expect that, in addition, 300 construction jobs will be implemented, and over \$400 million will be dedicated to hard construction. We look to the creation of opportunities and careers. We look for people to move to Rising Sun, not away, to southeast Indiana, not away, and for the first time I think in a long time to reverse the trend not only of people but of dollars.

We have the capability of implementing a temporary boat, a boat

that is owned by our largest shareholder, that we can rent on a month to month basis until our permanent vessel is completed. That boat is near readily available and can be made available in 30 days. We believe that we can be in business, assuming we can get the necessary permits, in four to six months, and I say that with great confidence.

We opened Foxwoods and trained every single person other than the supervisors from November and opened on February 15th. It's a very large task, but it's one that is very gratifying, and we're certainly willing to undertake it again.

We believe that the temporary facility would accommodate 1,400 passengers and 1,300 gaming positions. It has 28,000 square feet of gaming space. It also has approximately 22 square feet per gaming position because we think that there's a level of comfort

that's required in order to satisfy the market.

We also have a barge that is immediately available that would function as a food and beverage outlet, an assembly location, and a ticket location. That barge is 50 feet by 267 feet, and, as I said, we have worked out a temporary mooring location with the cooperation of Rising Sun at the foot of Main Street so that we can proceed immediately on construction on the site without getting in our own way.

I'd like now to introduce Nick

Pritzker who will address the financing

commitment of the Hyatt Corporation.

Thank you.

MR. PRITZKER: Thank you, Al. Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Nick Pritzker. I'm the president of Hyatt Development Corporation, and I very much appreciate the opportunity to once again address this Commission on behalf

2 1

of the Hyatt family of companies.

I am here to indicate our support of this project, support that will take the form of a commitment to loan up to \$99 million to this venture. Hyatt has that cash on hand and is not relying on any third parties. We have submitted documents supporting our financial capabilities in this regard.

There's little question in anyone's mind that the southeast Indiana gaming market will be extremely strong.

Although access to this market in this situation is not ideal, we all expect the competition will follow from Ohio and Kentucky. Future competition will, by it's very nature, likely be better located for these markets than the sites you are considering in southeastern Indiana.

Having said that, the reason that we are prepared to stand here today and say that we are willing to invest so

heavily in this development is that we are extremely impressed with the plans that you have been presented with here today. We think that the resort elements that have been identified will create a true destination, one that will continue to be viable in the future in the face of any competition.

We are also very impressed by the process that the city of Rising Sun has undertaken to ensure that the development gets built in a fashion that will greatly benefit not only the city but the entire region. This resort has room to evolve to meet future demands.

The destination that will result will have a broad appeal that will add to the gaming experience and enhance its ability to compete in the future. With Hyatt's experience in casino and resort development and operation around the world we feel reasonably comfortable in making this assessment.

The terms of our commitment provide for an unconditional commitment to lend up to \$99 million to this project. As you know, our commitment includes an option that will be subject to your approval for Hyatt to convert its loan into an equity interest into the fine partnership that was presented to you today and to assume a leading management role.

We are not requesting your consideration of this option at this time. Our commitment to loan is not conditioned upon a preapproval of our probity. However, if you find this application worthy of a finding of suitability you should expect that we will ask you subsequently to reconsider our probity, and, unless you find us unfit, we would expect to exercise the option.

We see many projects around the country and around the world, and I can

honestly say this is one of the most exciting ones we've seen anywhere. I am confident that the team that you have before you today will produce a product that the city of Rising Sun will be proud of, that the state of Indiana will be proud of, and that all of us will be proud of too.

Thank you for your consideration.

I would like to ask Pat Daly to come up
and give a summation.

MR. DALY: Could we turn up the lights, please? I'm tired of seeing it. When it's built you won't be though. We're way ahead of schedule. I hope this counts. Al just said I guess we just talk fast.

What we have presented to you today is a program that maximizes government revenue and provides true and sustained economic development and growth. We have not presented a riverboat casino with a resort. We have presented a destination

resort, hotel, conference, retail complex with a riverboat casino.

We have presented a temporary vessel and a temporary site that will allow us to commence operations immediately upon permitting and begin to create those revenues and begin to create that growth. We have presented a site that of all the sites we are aware of is the best from the standpoint of permitting, from the ability to build, safety of cruising, and depth of market.

We have presented a team with diverse expertise that can ensure that what we plan will be built and be successful, a team that believes in the structural linkage to the community. It recognizes that riverboats, while they enjoy their exclusivity, become the drive train of a much larger economic engine, a team that is committed to being a partner with the community to ensure that like a true partnership we both thrive and we

both prosper.

We have presented a project that has a full funding commitment by the Hyatt Development Corporation, an organization whose knowledge and expertise in this industry by their commitment confirms what I have said.

And, finally, and most importantly, what brought us to this region was the market. What brought us to this location was the ideal conditions to safely operate a riverboat along this stretch of the Ohio River. What brought us to this site was the high and the ample land that would guarantee a successful development. But what convinced us of that success and what has kept us here is the city, the community and the people who live in it.

From the city administration to the gaming task force to the port authority to the members of Rising Sun First out here in the audience who attend every

meeting and volunteer for every task, this community has shown a commitment and has taken a direction that ensures a true public/private partnership that will guarantee the success of this project.

You know, Carl Sandburg referred to my hometown, Chicago, as a city with big shoulders, and, grant you, Rising Sun may not be the same in size, but it's certainly just as big in stature, as big in commitment, and as big in direction.

We are proud to be a part and we certainly hope to become a partner of this community.

And to the members of the Commission and staff, we thank you for your commitment to the state of Indiana, and we hope that you will look favorably upon our application. Thank you very much.

MR. KLINEMAN: Thank you very much for your presentation. We'll go back up. Our schedule calls for a break now,

but I think maybe we picked up a little extra time, and at the consent of the group we'll go forward and take our break a little later.

So, again, I want to thank you for your presentation. Mr. Daly, I guess I will look to you to be the spokesperson, the designated person, as far as who will answer whatever questions we have.

MR. DALY: I will direct traffic.

MR. KLINEMAN: Having said that, I leap right into Mr. Pritzker's area which is the financing.

 $\label{eq:mr.man.} \mbox{MR. PRITZKER: Getting right to the}$ point, Mr. Chairman.

MR. KLINEMAN: Again, we're certainly happy to see you. Your perseverance will be duly noted. Just give us a little information, if you will, on your \$99 million unconditional commitment. What exactly is the form, interest rate, and security, that kind of stuff?

1	MR. PRITZKER: Mr. Chairman, it's
2	\$99 million up to covering the cost of
3	the project, first mortgage secured by
4	the assets of the corporation. It's a
5	nonrecourse loan. It bears interest at
6	the rate of 18 percent cumulative. It
7	requires a sweep of all the cash flow for
8	repayment of principal and interest.
9	Those are the key terms.
10	MR. KLINEMAN: Is there a written
11	commitment that's been given?
12	MR. PRITZKER: There's a
13	preliminary commitment, yes.
14	MR. KLINEMAN: Preliminary you
15	say?
16	MR. PRITZKER: We don't have full
17	loan documents, no, but we do have a
18	binding letter of intent.
19	MR. KLINEMAN: Okay. Have you
20	submitted that to the Commission yet?
21	MR. PRITZKER: Mr. Thar, I believe
22	you have that document, do you not?
23	MR THAR. I honestly don't

1	remember seeing it. Do we?
2	MR. PRITZKER: Yes.
3	MR. THAR: Is that what you gave me
4	the other day?
5	MR. DALY: Yes.
6	MR. THAR: Yes, we do.
7	MR. KLINEMAN: The staff handles
8	quite a few pieces of paper. \$99 million
9	commitments can get lost.
10	MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Mr. Pritzker, you
11	indicated that down the road now or
12	probably once if this company is given a
13	license that you will be seeking an
14	equity position and a management
15	position. If that were to be approved
16	would this hotel that they're building be
17	a Hyatt hotel?
18	MR. PRITZKER: Yes. The hotel
19	would be operated by and marketed by
20	Hyatt. All the elements would be
21	operated by Hyatt.
22	MS. BOCHNOWSKI: All of the
23	elements of the hotel or of the entire

project?

MR. PRITZKER: I'm referring specifically to the golf course, the gaming operation, and to the hotel.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: That's basically everything, isn't it?

MR. PRITZKER: Not the retail.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Not the retail.

Now, as to the operation and the construction of this mall is there somebody -- does this company really have experience to be developing a mall? You said North Pier and all that.

MR. DALY: In real life my architectural firm has developed \$1.5 billion worth of shopping centers in 26 states and I think probably 450 centers. As a developer and owner we have developed over eight and a half million square feet in California, Florida, Iowa, Minnesota, Illinois. That's the primary thrust of our development background is retail centers.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: So that ideally the way this would all pan out is that your expertise would be in the retail, and the Hyatt would be in the other part of the operation?

MR. DALY: Yes.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: And the marketing ideally, assuming everything's approved, would be through Hyatt or through the Pritzker organization? I'm concerned about marketing ability, experience in this gaming industry.

MR. PRITZKER: It would be Hyatt marketing subject to approval. It would be operative in all of the elements other than the retail of this project.

MR. THAR: May I ask a follow-up on that? Just to follow up, if Hyatt were allowed to get an equity position and allowed to become the operations manager where does that leave Mr. Luciani because I thought it was represented that he presently has -- his company has an

1 agreement to run the casino? 2 That is correct. MR. DALY: Mr. 3 Luciani and his company will come back into an ownership position, and our team 4 5 with regards to gaming expertise, 6 maritime, numbers, and development 7 expertise will be partners with the Hyatt 8 group so all those elements will still be 9 there. 10 MR. THAR: Who becomes the gaming 11 operations manager? 12 MR. DALY: Hyatt. 13 Is that with Mr. MR. THAR: 14 Luciani's agreement? 15 MR. DALY: Yes. 16 MR. LUCIANI: That is correct. 17 MR. DALY: And to correct myself, the letter was handed to Mr. Hannon but 18 19 in your presence. 20 MR. PRITZKER: I'd just like to add 2 1 that obviously with somebody of Mr. 22 Luciani's ability and stature as a 23 partner Hyatt would obviously look upon

that as a resource.

MR. THAR: Well, I guess our concern is even if they end up as operations manager they want a percentage. We're not going to be paying two percentages on this license, are we?

MR. PRITZKER: That's correct. The only management fee would go to the Hyatt group under those circumstances, yes.

MR. THAR: Will there be any internal lawsuits over this?

MR. PRITZKER: I can assure you none from my end.

MR. LUCIANI: None from ours. This is a cooperative approach. It is one that is relatively realistic in light of the financial world today. And we heard some moans about the 18 percent interest rate. I'd like to call the attention of the Commission to the fact that financing of these projects is difficult today. In the normal course of events a project in Las Vegas is paying 14.5 percent plus 7

percent of cash flow, and, quite frankly, we believe that the terms and conditions and cooperation of Hyatt are very advantageous to get this project done. So this is a very cooperative arrangement.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: So the 18 percent interest rate, is that including your management fee let's say, or is a management fee on top of this 18 percent?

MR. PRITZKER: Let me clarify the situation. The 18 percent that I referred to is purely the loan that we're talking about. In the event of conversion the loan changes. What we're going to propose to you, in fact, our option with Pat's group provides for under conversion that although we continue to provide all \$99 million we do so under a different arrangement where the interest rate is in the nature of a preferred return.

It's not a loan but a preferred equity return, and that return is 16 percent and not 18 percent. But to answer your question, in both of those cases the management fee is above and beyond the 18 percent and the 16 percent respectively.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Do you generally charge a percentage of revenues, percentage of net, percentage of gross? How does that --

MR. PRITZKER: It is calculated as a percentage of gross gaming win and a percentage of EBTIDA.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: If you could expand on that.

MR. PRITZKER: The gross gaming revenue is the essentially gross number. Adjusted gross revenue is the appropriate accounting line under GAPP financing, and it's earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization is the meaning of EBTIDA. We take a small

1 percentage of EBTIDA which is a pretty standard thing. 2 3 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: What is a small percentage of EBTIDA? 5 MR. PRITZKER: Specifically the 6 arrangement that we have is three percent 7 of gross gaming win and five percent of EBTIDA. 8 MR. THAR: Three percent of gross 10 gaming win or three percent of gross 11 gaming win plus three percent of all 12 other gross revenue? 13 MR. PRITZKER: It's three percent 14 of all gross revenue, that's correct, 15 because of the other elements of the 16 project, the hotel, the golf course, and 17 so on. The three percent is on all of 18 those revenues. 19 MR. KLINEMAN: There's a chart you 20 used in your presentation which is in our 21 booklet entitled Summary of Financial 22 Projections, and it's got revenues, 23 expenses, and -- how do you pronounce

23

EBTIDA.

MR. KLINEMAN: Are those based on the Hyatt proposal being put in place, or are they based upon some other scenario?

Those were projections that were supplied by Mr. Luciani and his company. Obviously we have looked at and reviewed those numbers and made our own market analysis of the situation if that's your question.

Yes. Then my next question would be what are the changes from what we see here in your scenario?

MR. PRITZKER: We have no additional projections to give to you at this time. We feel that the numbers that Mr. Luciani has presented are realistic, that they're conservative, and that they're very achieveable in this market so we're happy about them.

MR. KLINEMAN: I'm talking about the gross, the revenue. I'm talking

1 about coming down the line. 2 MR. PRITZKER: In terms of 3 operating margins? MR. KLINEMAN: Yes. 5 Well, again, we feel MR. PRITZKER: that the margins that he has produced are 7 reasonable in light of our experience in 8 casinos and riverboat casinos, and we are 9 not proposing at this time to say we 10 would do better or worse than those 11 projections. 12 My question is: MR. KLINEMAN: Ιs 13 there going to be a heavier load into the 14 expense side than what is shown in the 15 projections? And maybe I'm not asking 16 the right question. 17 The projections are MR. LUCIANI: 18 based upon earnings before interest, 19 taxes, amortization, and depreciation so 20 those numbers don't change whatsoever 21 with respect to the Hyatt arrangement. 22 They're set. It is below that line that 23 would be affected by the Hyatt

arrangement.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Now, the management fee that we are dealing under the Hyatt arrangement is the same management fee that we had originally based upon our management agreement. So as a practical matter what you have before you is identical through that line that we call EBTIDA.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: So basically you had to give up what you considered your management fee in order to obtain this financing from and this agreement with Hyatt?

MR. LUCIANI: Yes. But what we did was exchange it for an equity participation, a carried equity participation, and a right to make a capital contribution to gain a larger equity participation. So, as I said, this is a very friendly, I think very opportunistic situation for Rising Sun and the state of Indiana.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: So you see this as

a good situation for you as well as
Hyatt?

MR. LUCIANI: Absolutely. I mean, I think that this is the way that we can stand here and say to you there is an absolute 100 percent certainty that this project is real.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Well, that does make a big difference.

MR. LUCIANI: From our perspective if we had to participate in something that was real that we thought was really important for all of us collectively to accomplish, for us to bring in a Hyatt and for them to stand up here and say what they said, I mean, clearly what we end up with is a whole lot more than the speculation of Do you really have the financing, Where is it coming from, Are you going to fund it through cash flow.

This is it. It's right here on the table, and the check's in the mail. So from a practical standpoint what I think

we're delivering to you today is the
absolute certainty of completion of what
we've described to you.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Has your timetable changed with this new addition of Hyatt, and can you go into what your timetable is?

MR. DALY: Well, we have talked about the temporary facility. We have the boat. We have the option to lease it. We have the site, and we'll begin the permit if it's found to be suitable for application. The first thing to happen, of course, on a construction time line based on when the license of suitability is identified we obviously have to deal with and contend with weather conditions as far as being able to dredge the marina.

So we would assume that, again, the first issues come with the marina and the basin and the holding area for the riverboat itself, and the hotel and the

performance pavilion would begin immediately. The golf course would follow because the nature of golf courses, as I understand, it takes two years for them to mature before you can get someone out there hitting the first balls so that would be coming along the line.

The last element, and this is the most difficult, and I should have said in my comments, and I apologize, we have taken a very small factory outlet center, 100,000 square feet. When I say small I think we're talking about 15 to 20 tenants. What we have to do is generate the traffic. When two million people a year begin to come this site will become known as the place to be for these kinds of tenants.

We specifically did something that would not compete with the downtown area. It makes it a little bit harder for our development, but we specifically

did something that would not compete with the existing area including Lawrenceburg and Aurora. This is something that does not exist in this marketplace. It is not going to detract from any other competition. It will enhance the area.

But unfortunately we have to produce the bodies first before we can induce the interest in the tenants. So in that instance that will be the last element, and it's going to be driven by the market.

And, again, if it's successful and if there are people coming to the area and we're bringing four million people or so a year the viability of this being a 300,000 square foot center certainly is a feasible situation. The 100,000 foot center is a starting point, and the 300,000 feet is something we feel we can accomplish once we begin to generate the traffic and identify this as a market.

MR. KLINEMAN: You say there's

1 nothing like it within some radius; is 2 that correct? 3 MR. DALY: In the immediate four or five county area it just doesn't exist. 5 There's one located between Cincinnati 6 and I believe Dayton and then one in 7 Kentucky. They're typically on 8 interstate highway systems. 9 MR. KLINEMAN: I know they're 10 springing up. 11 It's a tremendous growth MR. DALY: 12 area in the retail market, and there's a 13 lot of users who want to get their 14 product in the stores, but they have to 15 be assured there's going to be enough 16 bodies walking around the area. 17 MR. KLINEMAN: In your 18 architectural rendering the only sign 19 that was visible to my eyes was Gift 20 Shop, and I was thinking I bet that's not 21 what the people of downtown Rising Sun --22 MR. DALY: That's what I did not 23 want the architects to present.

also took the masts off the sail boats in the river. That just happens. I'm sorry.

MR. THAR: May I ask a few?

MR. KLINEMAN: Go ahead.

MR. THAR: A couple things with regard to Rising Sun, and if I can refer to you as Rising Sun and then the city of Rising Sun, have you entered into any kind of agreement with the city of Rising Sun?

MR. DALY: Yes, we have. We have executed a development agreement with the city. It provides for what we identified in our presentation, a \$500,000 grant for a park, \$3.5 million for the -- I believe they're going to use as a revolving loan for redevelopment of downtown Rising Sun to upgrade the existing store fronts and create an ambiance that is matching what we have agreed to.

We went one step beyond. We also identified a \$300,000 convention and

tourism grant so that they can begin marketing as we begin marketing, that we work in tandem and try to create what we've seen in Aurora, Illinois, and some other locations where they have the staff. They have people on site.

We're almost like a mall where we can start to bring on people who can identify the types of things they should have in the downtown district, how they should market themselves, how they should reach out to the media community from the standpoint of marketing rights in conjunction with the opening of our development.

We have also identified a timetable with regards to target dates and outside dates on delivery of our various elements of the project. They have specific performance and liquidated damages if we don't produce as we promised. We have identified that we would not sue anybody on a voluntary basis with regards to the

application. We identified that we would protect and hold harmless the city from any other types of legal suits.

We have identified that we will work closely together, that we will survey our own site, that we will provide our own clean-up and security and traffic controls, and we will work with them, again, as a true public/private partnership.

MR. THAR: Are there any other long-term commitments other than what I understand to be one-time monetary payments?

MR. DALY: Well, I think it's with the license as far as our relationship with the community. Oh, the revenue sharing. I'm sorry. I forgot. We are also obviously identifying a dollar per capita with regards to additional contributions to the city, and that is ongoing.

MR. THAR: With regard to the

property, is that property that will be owned by the development or leased from the city of Rising Sun?

MR. DALY: No. We have options on the 190 acres that we presented. We have an option and contract on the 230-acre prospective golf course. We voluntarily identified that if we were not the successful applicant we would turn the property back to the city to give to the successful applicant so there would be no difficulty as far as this development going forward. We've obtained those options. We've done our typical due diligence with regard to title search, soils, and engineering as we would in any venture.

MR. THAR: One last area still going to the city of Rising Sun and your development, have you made any commitments with regard to easing traffic problems other than the way you've structured your development? Have you

2 1

put any money aside for the aid to road development or anything like that?

People can talk about the road being at only 65 percent capacity, but if you have driven down the highway and you want 6,000 more people per day to use it one has a hard time knowing how they can do that safely. So what kind of commitments has your development put towards alleviating that problem?

MR. DALY: We haven't been asked to

-- we've provided professional services
in regards to investigation of the
special service district, enterprise
zones, TIF funding, and, again, we'll be
responsive to any requests that the city
has. I would also like to, if you're
interested, Al's experiences with regard
to similar developments that he's had if
you'd be interested to hear those.

MR. THAR: Well, I think in June we're going to here from the Indiana

Department of Transportation with regard

21

22

23

to these roads so if you have something you'd like to add on that I'm sure the Commission would like to hear that because we have heard the public comment with regard to the highways, and they have also driven the roads themselves, and putting 6,000 more people for your development and then more people for some other development is kind of a scary proposition because we have an overall feeling that, and maybe you will want to address this also, to put a project this size in a place like Rising Sun will alter the community in a way that it can never go back to the way it is today. the Commission makes that decision it has to know that it does so with the highest degree of safety to the community in mind, and you seem to have expressed a lot of that yourself.

MR. DALY: If I may then I'll ask our traffic engineer, Steve Fehribach, to come forward. We've worked with the city

and began to identify areas along Route 56 that there could be improvements, and we've given that information to the city, and I believe that's what the city has had in conversations with INDOT.

MR. FEHRIBACH: I'm Steve Fehribach with A & F Engineering, traffic consultants. One of the things we identified is how many trips will come to this site. We talked 6,000 people per day. We talked three and a half million people per year. In reality we have so many trips or vehicles per hour so that's what we try to design for.

We have identified certain things in the report that would allow us to alleviate congestion problems, wider shoulders for farm vehicles to move off, signalization at main driveways, and things like that. The reality of the whole situation is 56 as it is today is a two-lane road. Until you introduce capacity constraints such as signals,

four-way stops, and things like that you can move quite a bit of traffic.

So what we have shown is that the traffic in the peak hours, the peak demand, we will be able to have an acceptable level of service so that's where we stand right now. Currently the city is looking at doing projects, the widening, passing lanes, and things like that. INDOT is looking at Lawrenceburg. I think they're going to announce a letting in July to add a fifth lane through Lawrenceburg so there's many projects coming down the pike that are going to alleviate a lot of this congestion.

MR. THAR: These projects go quicker if there's private money thrown in. Is there any room for --

MR. DALY: Again, in the interest of not amending our application consistently our relationship with the city, and I hope this will be borne out,

anything we need to do to help this work better, make them and us more successful, we're obviously always open to that.

And if private funding is necessary to accomplish and satisfy it from the standpoint of the Commission's concern or the city's or our customers, public safety and ease of access to the site, we are always ready to have that conversation and to make that commitment.

MR. THAR: You were also going to address I think the fact that Rising Sun would be irretrievably altered. It's like surgery. You can't go back and undo what you have done. If you get licensed the boat in Rising Sun will change that community forever whether you stay there two years, ten years, or whatever. It will not go back to the easy life as some people have described it in public comments before. What is your company offering to ease that transition or to

ensure that it will happen, or how do you see that happening?

MR. DALY: First of all, when we approach the project -- this is long winded and I apologize, but you have to face the reality we're dropping a \$100 million development into a community of 2,000 people and a county of 5,000 people, and we recognize that. The city recognizes that, and there's been a long-term process as we've worked through the process and worked together.

Joe Able, who is with us today, we have provided resources that we thought might be helpful from the standpoint of Joe's background as the planning commissioner for the county of DuPage which is the fastest growing county in the last ten years in the state of Illinois as well as his directorship of the Chicago Economic Development Commission, those kinds of expertise as far as how to ease the process and help

create a structure that's going to be able to handle the kind of development that we're talking about that's going to happen in a short time frame.

We looked at the development on the basis of trying to minimize as best we could, instead of dropping an 800-room hotel and a boat we've tried to be sensitive to the scale, the density, and tried to ameliorate as best we can the kind of development that we're talking about by keeping as far away from the community, as I described in my comments, and then keeping the residential portions of our project closer to the city itself.

We think there may also be an improvement in the quality of life.

We're going to be able to provide services, entertainment facilities, ambiance, river walks, recreational areas that don't exist currently.

And, again, we all addressed and we

recognize what the primary goal of Indiana gaming is, and that is to spur economic growth for children who are raised and educated in this community, can remain in this community, this community and others like it who are dwarfed by their big sisters on the other side of the river in other states. They've had a dramatic loss of opportunity and a dramatic loss in career opportunity.

So there's a balance here, and, again, we're sensitive and we try to be sensitive as best we can with regards to achieving that balance. There are going to be some good things, and there are going to be some bad things, and there are going to be some periods of ajustment.

But the most important part is that we're sensitive to it, and the city has certainly taken a phenomenally firm direction. They've just grabbed this

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

one, and they're working toward a successful conclusion. When I think of all the places I've been in my development life I've never seen this level of focus and direction and willingness to sit down and solve the problem in advance of it occurring, and that's a very comforting thought in recognizing what we're about.

MR. KLINEMAN: Your investment is going to be in stages; is that correct? Could you give us those stages and the time for that?

MR. DALY: Well, right out of the box --

MR. KLINEMAN: Projected time.

MR. DALY: Right out of the box is the temporary boat so our application does not show any of that cash flow or any of that benefit as we submitted it.

MR. KLINEMAN: I'm talking about more when are things going to be built in terms of permanent on land.

MR. DALY: As soon as we pull the permits, we close on the property, we will be the owners of 420 acres of property in Rising Sun, Indiana. I believe we fund part of the development agreement. We will be under construction immediately with regard to the standpoint of the marina and basin, the holding area for the riverboat itself. The riverboat itself will be ordered.

The hotel, the performance

pavilion, the amenities, the river walk,

and so on, they will move immediately.

So as a result that first phase as soon

as we break ground those three elements

are under construction, or four elements,

and the conference center.

And then, as I said, golf courses
just by their nature, you know, you clear
the land, you plant the seed, then you
wait a year, wait another year, and then
you hit balls off it if you're able to.
I am not. So that will be as nature

allows as far as the opening of that facility.

And then the last one, and that's the one I identified, as soon as the tenants show up -- if they see two million people a year come through it may happen immediately. It may be a longer process from the standpoint of a learning curve to educate those types of retailers that this is the location they need to be because of the synergy between one or two potential riverboat licenses in this area and the attraction, when they see the resort, when they see the kind of attraction and destination we've created, that this fits their program from the standpoint of marketing.

MR. KLINEMAN: So based upon your application which shows a total expenditure of \$97,800,000 the only item that you say will not be immediately committed or constructed would be the factory outlet shopping center which is

7,401,000?

MR. DALY: That is correct.

MR. KLINEMAN: So you're talking \$90 million immediately?

MR. DALY: Yes, sir.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: What is your plan to get these outlets to Rising Sun? How do you plan to -- I mean, I know you're saying when you start to get people there, but surely you have to go out, you have to make relationships.

MR. DALY: Exactly. In fact, right now as we speak the ICSC shopping center convention is in Las Vegas, and this is the first time I've missed it in quite a few years, but I'm glad to do that to be here. But it's a time when we all come together, and we identify sites, and we identify merchants, and we identify retailers, and we cut deals. All year long that's all my office does. All we do is identify opportunities.

Currently we have a half million

1 square foot center in St. Paul under 2 construction. We have one planned for 3 Minneapolis where we're actually converting an old Sears warehouse -- same 5 thing in St. Paul, an old warehouse --6 and revitalizing two blighted areas. 7 We're bringing in new retailers to areas that have never been serviced. 8 It's what 9 they call urban run away, and we 10 specialize in that. We're in Ames, Iowa; 11 we're in Mishawaka, Indiana; we're in 12 Schererville, Indiana; we're in North --13 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: What's in 14 Schererville? 15 MR. DALY: Actually it's Lincoln 16 Highway and -- right across from the --17 help me, with the Wal-Mart center, 18 Lincoln and Indianapolis Boulevard. 19 That's where 800,000 cars go by 20 everyday. 21 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Yeah, I know. 22 live there. 23 MR. DALY: Oh, I'm sorry.

1 restaurant right on the corner. 2 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: You mean where 3 Schrack Pantel's is? 4 MR. DALY: Yes, Schrack Pantel's. 5 It's across the street. And we're not 6 doing a food service, but that's our 7 business, and I've been doing that since 8 1975, and as a result we are confident 9 that this will happen. 10 MR. VOWELS: Mr. Daly, the 11 investigation reveals that you and other 12 investors have applied for gaming 13 licenses in Greece and the state of 14 California. Can you tell me more about 15 that in terms of your application 16 process? 17 Sure. You want the bad MR. DALY: 18 news or the good news? 19 MR. VOWELS: All of it. 20 MR. DALY: Okay. The bad news is 21 brief. We became a limited partner with 22 a well-known gaming partner. 23 partners, Jim Everett and Paul Partridge, had identified an opportunity in
Litokhoron, Greece. It's off shore.
It's way beyond our reach, but
nonetheless we had a good relationship
and helped develop and found a partner to
join us in that venture.

We were successful as a quote/unquote venture. We received a license from the Greek government, and our gaming partner didn't show up with the check. As a result, we have been replaced in that venture by Powerbrook I believe who have taken that license in Litokhoron, Greece.

In Bell, California, we have an agreement with the city of Bell. In California they pass referendums, and they have poker clubs. They don't have Class III licenses in California. And we were successful in getting an exclusive with the city of Bell, California, to apply for a license for a corporate facility. We have the exclusive to have

that facility.

We recognize in the state of
California you cannot have a Class III
license and be an applicant for a poker
license in California. If we are the
successful applicant in this venture we
will not apply or continue the licensing
process with regards to Bell. We may
still be involved in the development
process in relationship to the facility,
but we will not be licensing in Bell,
California.

MR. VOWELS: What stage is it now in Bell, California? I mean, do you have poker? What is happening there?

MR. DALY: I'm sorry. I didn't hear you.

MR. VOWELS: In Bell, California, what's the stage of the process? Where are you at now? They have issued the license?

MR. DALY: No. We have applied -- yeah, there is an application pending --

I don't believe they have a gaming commission per se, but to the Attorney General's office there is an application pending, and we've just let it slide recognizing this is going to occur. Our first interest is Rising Sun, Indiana.

MR. VOWELS: How big of a commitment is it there?

MR. DALY: I'm sorry?

MR. VOWELS: How big of a financial commitment do you have there?

MR. DALY: Oh, the facility is twenty-six to thirty million dollars. They are just literally free-standing buildings in a parking lot. It doesn't involve the level of development that you see in states that have water borne gaming. You can syndicate these. There's consideration with the city from the standpoint of TIF with assistance with off-site improvements and reduction with regards to acquisition of property, and there's also a secondary use for the

1 structures. 2 This happens to be on an expressway 3 in an industrial area that, unlike special use developments such as a gaming 5 boat per se in a holding area, these have 6 secondary uses that are much easier to 7 finance on a conventional basis. It's 8 not like the financing that we see in the gaming industry. This would have another 10 life as an industrial or retail building, 11 and it's much easier to finance. 12 MR. VOWELS: There was another 13 boat, the S.S. Marine Star. Is that what 14 it's called now? 15 MR. DALY: Excuse me? 16 MR. VOWELS: S.S. Marine Star is 17 its current name? 18 MR. DALY: Yes. 19 MR. VOWELS: What is the plan for 20 the Marine Star? 21 MR. DALY: Again, this group, we 22 acquired the boat several years ago, and 23 it is the, I think, well, certainly one

of the largest U.S. flag vessels that can get through the locks and operate in the Great Lakes. And several years ago -- even as we speak there are rumors that someday gaming may come to Chicago, Illinois, and as a result this was a unique opportunity.

We have an area in the southeast side of Chicago which is terribly blighted. It's an area when I was a kid there used to be the U.S. Steel mills at this site that used to employ 14,000 people. Right now it employs two. We had optioned that property, and because it's on the Illinois and Chicago court it had a 28 foot draft, and as a result this was an ideal situation to take a 12,000 ton yessel.

It has 250,000 square feet of public areas with 14 foot high ceilings. It's just ideal. It was laid down as a cruise ship in 1945, saw service for three years, decommissioned, was a ferry

between Cleveland and Detroit. We acquired that vessel on the basis of utilizing that potentially for a Chicago license, and that was the interest at the time of acquisition.

Since that time we have had it reflagged in December of 1994. It was an act of Congress and was signed by the President of the United States, and as a result we are looking at that as a conversion to do day trips on the east coast or Florida because the U.S. flag under the Jones Act allows you to leave a U.S. port, come back to a U.S. port. With a foriegn flag you can leave a U.S. port, but at some point you have to touch a foriegn port before you can come back to a U.S. port.

So there is intrinsic value in having a U.S. flagged vessel utilizing that type of operation. So we're looking along the east coast for potential usage of that vessel.

1 MR. VOWELS: I believe there was 2 some question about the valuation of that 3 boat. MR. DALY: There's a very high --5 MR. VOWELS: It certainly has 6 appreciated in value. 7 MR. DALY: The value I think is 8 because of the U.S. flag. There's so 9 very few left. Nautical background is 10 not my expertise. There are so few U.S. 11 flags left which is shocking to me, but 12 the fact that it has to be a U.S. hull 13 for it to be flagged as a U.S. flag 14 vessel, and there are very few left. 15 MR. VOWELS: How many square feet? MR. DALY: It's 589 feet long, sits 16 17 75 feet above the water, and draws about 18 7 feet. It's a big boat. Ship, sorry. 19 MR. VOWELS: I guess the question 20 that I would have, it would seem to be 21 addressed in the investigation if it ever became necessary for you or Mr. Everett 22 23 to have to pledge any of your personal

1 2 3 5 into play. 7 8 9 10 11 12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

assets for purposes of obtaining any financing the valuation of that boat, and based upon the numbers that we see here, as I say, have appreciated greatly, comes

Is there any contingency plan or any condition under the financing scheme where it may become necessary for either you or Mr. Everett to have to pledge personal assets to this financing?

MR. DALY: It could very well be, and we're willing to do that.

MR. VOWELS: For this ship you spoke of to achieve its full market value it would have to be refitted and equipped with gaming devices, correct?

MR. DALY: Well, actually there's two issues. One is the appraised valuation which we did in the -- used in our application. We I believe reduced the appraised valuation by, I don't know, 33 or 40 percent so we did not use the appraised valuation. The appraised

valuation is between thirty and thirty-five million dollars if it is used as and refitted as a gaming vessel as described.

We took a much more conservative analysis, and that was based on a price for a 15 percent purchase in that venture. So as a result I believe on our submittals we showed that as a value of -- forgive me if I'm off on this -- nineteen or twenty million dollars. And that reflects what the acquisition cost was, \$3 million for 15 percent of the vessel.

The vessel itself in its current state has a marketable value, and I would not stand here and state it's \$35 million. In our application we thought we took an honest and conservative approach with regards to the true value as is based on the fact that it was just reflagged. When we purchased the boat it was not a U.S. flag.

It took an act of Congress and the signature of the President of the United States last December to produce the value that you saw because it is a dramatic appreciation. As a Canadian boat none of these numbers are right. As a U.S. flag vessel it does have value.

MR. VOWELS: So the advice you're giving me here today is if I get a boat and get Congress's approval --

MR. DALY: You gotcha.

MR. THAR: I think what Mr. Vowels is asking, the value shown in the reports that we have before us is exceedingly high compared to the purchase price, and it does raise a concern that today if that asset had to be sold in order to meet commitments for financing that you might have to make can we honestly expect it to draw anywhere near the price that you have given in the information submitted to the Commission?

MR. DALY: Let me -- do you want to

address this, please? The maritime expert.

MR. EVERETT: I'm Jim Everett. I should have gone first because these guys got all the easy questions. The vessel itself we honestly believe, and with the conversations we've had with other gaming companies that have an interest in it, it is marketable between ten and twenty million dollars.

MR. KLINEMAN: You want to speak up a little, please.

MR. EVERETT: The vessel could be marketed between ten and twenty million dollars on today's market. The uniqueness of the U.S. flag is that the ship has to be constructed in the U.S. You can't get a ship and change it to a U.S. flag. It happens to be the third largest U.S. flag in the world, passenger vessel.

We've taken the opportunity to do the engineering for the conversion.

We've spent a year and a half dismantling the interior of the ship having it ready for the shipyard. One of the sites that we had originally looked for has not passed gaming at this point, but we're not looking to market the ship today.

We're hoping to go ahead with that. It's about a \$45 million project. We do own the assets, the assets of value.

MR. DALY: If I can also --

MR. THAR: Between ten and twenty million dollars is a big jump. I mean, if it had to be sold today and you said it was \$10 million that changes dramatically some of the figures that we have in our reports. We understand it's a big boat, and we understand it's got an American flag and it's worth something, but is it worth what you have represented if it was sold today to meet commitments? We have concern about the ability to back up the financing.

MR. LUCIANI: I can speak as the

owner of the 15 percent from which they valued it. The problem with the vessel is the financing community right now. In the normal course of events with the criminal law at the federal level having changed in 1994 and this hull being reflagged to a U.S. flagship, if financing were readily available \$20 million we believe would be a fair amount of money.

Right now we are going back to the federal government under an acronym called MIRAD, and I couldn't tell you exactly what it represents but Jim may be able to, in dealing with a federally subsidized loan program dealing with U.S. hull ships and refurbishment. The original application that we went with was discouraged because it was gaming, and we have now been more or less asked to resubmit it for reconsideration.

But a lot of it, Director Thar, really revolves around the market

conditions at the particular point of time. If it's a for sale you're not going to get \$20 million. I think you would get closer to twelve. If it were a sale that could be paced, if you will, with the financing capability a \$20 million opportunity is a very inexpensive one for this size ship with a U.S. flag.

Now, if you put it in perspective one of the places we looked for the ship for placing it was in New York Harbor.

If you put a ship of this size in New York Harbor and did day trips the amount of revenue that could be generated by such a vessel is astronomical.

MR. THAR: I understand that. The problem is that all of these things that up the value contain a large amount of ifs, for lack of a better term. If you had to sell it today what are you going to get for it?

MR. LUCIANI: I think that if you had to sell it today probably around

twelve or fifteen million dollars. I
think that would be in the neighborhood
of a for sale. I think it's fair to say
that anything that's a for sale is really
below market.

That's my own personal opinion based upon the value of the hulls that we've seen, and, again, this is a ship. This is not a riverboat. There are very few of these commodities, and to be honest with you and blunt I don't think the financing community has caught up with the law so consequently there's a lagging of the recognition of the value.

MR. KLINEMAN: I've always felt the financing community was way behind mine too.

MR. MILCAREK: Is there a market for this ship if you're forced to sell it? Is there someone who would buy it, or is this something you'd put on ad in the paper and hope someone would show up?

MR. LUCIANI: There's a couple locations in the state of Indiana that would fit the bill quite well. They are up in the northern part, Lake County. This is really an ocean going vessel, and for large environments where you have sea issues this is the perfect vessel.

And we have begun putting out some feelers in terms of whether or not there would be an interest, but, again, the time for refurbishment of this thing is not immediate. It's going to take ten months. So it all fits back and forth together into this complex puzzle.

MR. MILCAREK: So you would consider it marketable then?

MR. LUCIANI: I would consider it marketable, yes. Let me do it another way. If I were Hyatt I would be interested in talking to us about this ship after this is over because they are in a position where they can finance it whereas we're not, and I think that's as

simple as I can say it.

MR. KLINEMAN: Mr. Daly, I think maybe we'll take a break right now unless you have something more on this boat that you want to tell us. Why don't we take a break until about quarter after 4:00, and we'll come back then.

(At this time a short break in the proceedings was had.)

MR. KLINEMAN: The Commission's all here, and we're ready to go. It's a couple minutes early. Mr. Daly, if you're ready to go we'll get started.

MR. DALY: We're ready to go, Mr. Chairman.

MR. KLINEMAN: I can't remember exactly where we were, but before we get to you why don't we do one housekeeping thing. We want to make a public announcement, and there will be public posting of this, that, as Miss Fleming alluded to this morning, we have some rules that we need to adopt, and so we

have scheduled a telephonic meeting of the Commission for June 7, 1995, at 10:00 a.m.

The telephone conference call will be initiated in the office of the Gaming Commission which is N-180. That's June 7, 1995, at 10:00 a.m. Those of you who don't have anything better to do it's probably going to be very exhilarating to hear us discuss the proposed new rules which will then, of course, go through a whole public process. So, anyway, you've been duly notified of that meeting.

Okay. We're back here I guess.

Mr. Pritzker, I've got a couple more questions for you. One would be your analysis of the viability of a Hyatt hotel being built just as soon as things can happen should you be licensed. Is that something that you believe or your people believe is a viable entity?

MR. PRITZKER: It's not something we would do as a stand-alone project in

Rising Sun, but with the golf course, with the casino, and everything else we're doing there, and if it's phased appropriately in terms of size, absolutely I think we'll work just fine.

MR. KLINEMAN: I guess I got the wrong impression from Mr. Daly. He kind of gave me the impression that a hotel would be built all at once. Do you have different ideas about how the hotel will be phased?

MR. PRITZKER: No. We have the same idea. I believe in his presentation he said that we would start with about 150 keys, and, in fact, that's the minimum first phase that we would recommend, but somewhere between 150 and 200 rooms in the first phase with the ability to go up to 600 rooms. At least that's our present thinking. It would not be any less than 150 in the first phase as Mr. Daly suggested.

MR. KLINEMAN: Okay. I guess I'd

like to have you keep coming back, but maybe if you should actually be successful this time what sort of noncompete agreement do you think we could have out of your entities as a whole vis-a-vis competition with, you know, over in Kentucky or Ohio or whatever where you would undertake a similar type application?

MR. PRITZKER: Well, I do remember

that was an important point for you.

Certainly as part of our conversion option, subject to your approval, to management and equity we would anticipate entering into some kind of a noncompete so we would not be managing another casino operation that was directly competitive. Exactly how we define that I don't have a specific proposal but something within some reasonable radius that we could agree on.

MR. KLINEMAN: Could you give that some kind of thought as to a reasonable

1 radius? We got into this question this 2 morning. I don't know if you were here for that. 3 MR. PRITZKER: Yes, I did hear 5 that. 6 MR. KLINEMAN: And I don't have any 7 firm feelings about it, but if I see it I think I'll know that it's okay. 8 9 MR. PRITZKER: Do you want us to 10 come up with something specific on that 11 point? 12 MR. KLINEMAN: Yes, something that 13 is reasonable from a business standpoint --14 MR. PRITZKER: Okay. 15 MR. KLINEMAN: -- to protect this 16 project and give you the flexibility that 17 you need as an organization to go 18 forward. 19 MR. PRITZKER: Okay. I appreciate 20 that. 2 1 MR. KLINEMAN: Anybody else have 22 anything along these lines? Anything for 23 Mr. Pritzker? Then you're excused.

1 MR. PRITZKER: Thank you. 2 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: I wanted to talk 3 about the boat. I have the information here. You've got the temporary boat, and 5 you have a lease arrangement with the 6 temporary boat, and then you would have a 7 boat built in Mobile, Alabama? 8 MR. LUCIANI: By Bender Shipyards. 9 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Do you have plans, 10 agreements, and all that? 11 MR. LUCIANI: We have a plan for 12 the specific boat. We have a letter that 13 I believe is part of the portfolio 14 indicating the timetable for completion 15 of it. It would take about 12 months. 16 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: About 12 months, 17 okay. I don't know if I've got that 18 information. 19 MR. LUCIANI: But what we actually 20 anticipate is a learning curve, if you 21 will, to get the permits of about four 22 months, open the temporary, temporary 23 will be in service for six to eight

months, then replacement with the new
facility. The benefit of it, and not to
belabor this issue, but the benefit of
the temporary facility is as it's planned
it's at the foot of Main Street so its
location doesn't interfere with the
immediate construction of the marina so
that we don't have to phase and go back
and forth in any other respect.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Now, your
temporary location is at the foot of Main
Street. Where are you going to park

everybody if it's down there?

MR. LUCIANI: Our plan is to

utilize our site as a parking facility

and to shuttle people back and forth.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: But do you think that people really will, or do you think it's going to be a big problem for the downtown area?

MR. LUCIANI: As long as there is adequate direction both through physical persons and signage people tend to

conform. I mean, look what we all do when we go to Disney World. I find it very difficult to picture myself getting on a bus in the normal course of events, but at Disney World I'm out there waiting on bus stops, and it frankly is a convenient way to take care of the problem.

So if we tell them where to park, if it's convenient, if we bring them to where they have to get their ticket I don't anticipate a problem. We went through a similar thing up in Foxwoods. It's a two-lane highway. We accommodate over 25,000 people a day.

And a lot of that has to do with we thought about issues of intercept parking and shuttle services and the like, and it turned out that the problem solved itself because people tended to conform to cut-offs and whatnot and alternative routes to get there. And I think that in a theoretical way there are problems. I

1 think the highway issues have to be 2 addressed, but I think that there are 3 short-term solutions to the problem. MR. VOWELS: In reference to the 5 boat again, the temporary boat, from the information that we have is that the 6 temporary boat would be leased for a ten 7 month period; is that correct? 8 9 MR. LUCIANI: Eight to ten months. We're anticipating that we would need 10 about eight months of utilization before 11 12 the completion of the permanent 13 facility. 14 MR. VOWELS: The Bennett Funding 15 Group, is that where the lease would be? 16 MR. LUCIANI: Yes. They're our 17 principal shareholder. 18 MR. VOWELS: \$265,000 per month for 19 a ten month period? 20 MR. LUCIANI: That's correct. 21 MR. VOWELS: The temporary boat 22 seems much smaller than what your 23 permanent boat would be, correct?

1 MR. LUCIANI: It is smaller, yes. 2 MR. VOWELS: About 20,000 square 3 feet smaller? MR. LUCIANI: Probably more than 5 It's closer to I think 45,000 that. 6 square feet overall smaller. That's one 7 of the reasons we're combining it with a 8 holding barge. The holding barge has 9 15,000 square feet. 10 MR. VOWELS: In reference to gaming 11 space I think the numbers are 23,000, a 12 little over 23,000? 13 MR. LUCIANI: 28,000 maximum. 14 MR. VOWELS: Maybe it's a 15 misprint. It says 23,238 square feet. 16 Either way you believe that it would only 17 be necessary for the temporary for six to 18 eight months; is that correct? 19 MR. LUCIANI: Yeah. If everything 20 went perfectly and we got our permit to 21 proceed I think it would take us about 22 four months to train staff, implement the 23 program, and do the necessary mooring at

the end of Main Street. Four months from theoretically that date that we were issued the certificate of suitability we would be open then an additional eight months for the completion of the vessel. Obviously we would contract for its commencement of construction immediately.

MR. VOWELS: How do you feel that that would impact as far as your first year gross gaming receipts because of being a smaller boat?

MR. LUCIANI: Well, to be honest with you I think it probably wouldn't impact materially because if we were able to get into the business that quickly we would be, in effect, the monopoly in the market. We would introduce our product that way, and I think that the demand in those early days would probably result in instead of having an average of 50 or 60 percent capacity we would have an average of 75 or 80 percent. So I think the win

per unit and the loss per person, per passenger, per day would go up.

MR. VOWELS: In reference to that, the average admission, it starts out at seven and a half dollars and climbs until it's \$9.12 in the fifth year. How is it that those numbers are arrived at? I understand 7.50 or 8 but --

MR. LUCIANI: All it was was an increase of five percent across the board, and it was an arbitrary assumption. Based upon what we know now we would not attribute nearly that amount of revenue to admissions, but on the other side of it our gaming wins are lower than we realistically think they ought to be so I don't think as a practical matter it would have much of an impact on the revenue line. I think, as was earlier observed, one of the first things to go are your admissions.

MR. VOWELS: Another thing too, the annual attendance remains high, but the

average annual adjusted gross gaming receipts per passenger per trip continues to rise during the five year period. Why would that be?

MR. LUCIANI: Just by virtue of inflation. It's a five percent factor that has been put in. It's not an unusual factor. Some factors are nine percent, ten percent. We utilized that because since we can't completely and purely anticipate where competition will come what we did was say okay, the passenger number will be essentially flat, the market will increase only on the basis of the cost of living practically, and so, again, we looked at this as a very conservative approach.

MR. VOWELS: We've talked about the impact of possible boats in the state of Ohio and the Commonwealth of Kentucky.

The impact that a possible boat in Dearborn County, since its proximity to Cincinnati is closer than where you would

be in Rising Sun, what will you have to offer that they won't have to offer there that will make someone want to continue on down the road to Rising Sun?

MR. LUCIANI: Well, that is the essence of where we're at. We believe the development of a 190-acre campus and 230-acre golf course with all the amenities that would classify as broad-based entertainment is a different product than they can offer in Lawrenceburg.

We can have it integrated so that if you're in one facility people coming as a group can stay within that campus and find one another as opposed to having to cross the highway to find their hotel room and whatever other attractions may be created ultimately.

I think it is the land mass that makes this site special. It is the land mass that makes Rising Sun special, and it is that that allows an attraction to

1 be created that is different than 2 anything else in the marketplace that 3 we're aware of. MR. VOWELS: I don't have any other 5 questions at this time. 6 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: In the conversation with Don you talked about 8 the holding barge. Can you describe this 9 to me? I'm picturing this big --10 MR. LUCIANI: The barge itself is 11 50 by 267 feet. It is, again, owned by 12 Bennett, and we lease it as a company. 13 It is readily available. It is right now 14 It's not doing anything, and so 15 as a consequence we could put it in use 16 on a temporary basis so that we could 17 accommodate people in a holding sense. 18 We can feed them. There's a kitchen on 19 it, there's lounge on it, and there are 20 administrative offices on it. 2 1 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: I just have this 22 horrible picture. 23 MR. LUCIANI: Oh, no. It doesn't

1	look like the barges that you're used
2	to. It's pretty. No. It's a barge.
3	It's really two stories tall.
4	MS. BOCHNOWSKI: So it's like a
5	building?
6	MR. LUCIANI: Yeah. It looks just
7	like a building except it's built on a
8	barge base.
9	MS. BOCHNOWSKI: And it's on a
10	barge base, but it's connected to the
11	land permanently?
12	MR. LUCIANI: No. It would be
13	moored to the land by what they call dead
1 4	men and guide wires, and there would be
15	ramps that would go up and down with the
16	tides, and it would then be ramped
17	MS. BOCHNOWSKI: But it would never
18	leave the dock?
19	MR. LUCIANI: No. The barge would
2 0	never leave, and it would only be there,
2 1	again, until we were able to place the
2 2	permanent facility at the marina.
2 3	MS. BOCHNOWSKI: I was kind of

1	joking around. How many people did you
2	say it could hold?
3	MR. LUCIANI: The barge or the
4	boat?
5	MS. BOCHNOWSKI: The barge.
6	MR. LUCIANI: I hadn't looked at it
7	that way. Well, it's 15,000 square feet
8	so I'd say it probably can accommodate a
9	thousand people. It's really a transfer
10	facility so it's a holding area. It's a
11	place where people eat, but it's not the
12	place where they're going to spend their
13	time.
14	MS. BOCHNOWSKI: So they can come
15	and go from this?
16	MR. LUCIANI: That's right. And it
17	offers some level of shelter. It allows
18	a place where we can drop people off.
19	They can wait for the shuttle.
20	MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Because they're
2 1	downtown, and there's no other place for
22	them to stand.
23	MR. LUCIANI: Not really. And I

think there's a potential of supplementing the barge with tents and things like that so that you create a colorful environment, and I think all of those things need to be explored. Our message is that we are fully prepared to literally bring in the product as soon as the Army Corps of Engineers says it's permissible.

And I think that we have all that we need to show you that we have the boat, we have the barge, we can figure out how to park, we will get the buses. And I think it's something that is very advantageous to Rising Sun.

I think it's very advantageous to
the marketplace to get into business, not
only to start flowing the revenue but to
bring that product the name recognition,
to get the people trained because
ultimately when we expand a lot of our
own people that we've originally trained
will be eligible for promotion so it's

1 really kind of a nice story. It's kind 2 of a self-fulfilling situation where 3 people who do well can move up quickly. MR. VOWELS: How many employees, 5 fulltime employees, do you anticipate? 6 MR. LUCIANI: About 1,400 fulltime 7 equivalents. That would translate to 8 about 1,400 people, and we would expect 9 about 150 part-time. 10 MR. VOWELS: And fulltime people 11 will be eligible for health benefits and 12 pension plans? 13 MR. LUCIANI: Yes. We have 14 budgeted a little over \$10 million for 15 benefit components. 16 MR. VOWELS: Where would the 17 dealers school -- I presume you would 18 have one. Where would that be 19 physically? 20 MR. LUCIANI: We had wanted it 21 right within Rising Sun or in an adjacent 22 community. We have in the past worked 23 with community colleges in two or three

1 locations so that not only did we develop a temporary program utilizing their 2 facilities but had it develop into a more 3 permanent public oriented program toward 5 casino management, accounting services, 6 and the like so that people can 7 progress. So we would certainly be interested in pursuing that. 8 9 MR. MILCAREK: Do you anticipate 10 any problems with permits for the 11 temporary site? MR. LUCIANI: Pat, I'd like to 12 13 defer to you. He's going to say no. 14 MR. DALY: I'm going to say no, and 15 I'm going to defer to Pat Portteus. Pat 16 Portteus is the vice president of 17 Construction Management, one of our 18 groups, and he's been working and 19 coordinating with Rising Sun with our 20 engineering group. 21 MR. PORTTEUS: Good afternoon. Ιn 22 answer to the question, no, we don't 23 anticipate a problem. The existing

temporary facility or dock facility was in a past life a coal barge dock or mooring facility. The water apparently is adequate in depth. We don't have to do any dredging. There's no wetlands mitigation involved, and we don't affect the flood way.

As a matter of fact, we realistically think this could be handled as a separate permit through the Corps, but they have a different opinion. They want us to link it all. In real terms it's a mile from the permit site and has none of the problems or none of the concerns that the permit site has. It's fully serviced utility wise. There are utilities within a one block radius, power, sanitary, and water.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: And your permanent site you're going to be cutting into the bank --

MR. PORTTEUS: Correct.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: -- to make this

enclosed marina area?

MR. PORTTEUS: Yes, ma'am.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: And that's not a problem you don't think?

MR. PORTTEUS: No, ma'am. There's approximately a little over an acre of wetlands that have to be dealt with. That can be mitigated easily which is well within the standards.

MR. THAR: A question just right off the one you just talked about with Miss Bochnowski, with regard to your basin the new statute also says if you're going to have trouble accessing the Ohio River or you won't be able to navigate the Ohio River we shouldn't be giving you a license. Will you be able to get out of this basin and into the Ohio River?

MR. PORTTEUS: Yes, we will. We are essentially on the back side of a bin. The river traffic will be on the Kentucky side of the river. There is adequate space in Indiana water as it

were with the border that we can operate in and out of the basin safely.

MR. DALY: I'd also like to add that in this section of the river in the last five years there's been no incidents of any traffic accidents, groundings. This is one of the safest stretches of the Ohio River.

MR. THAR: If I could talk a little bit about your market analysis, in coming up with your annual attendance figures and the others in regards to projections how much of those figures are dependent upon people from Louisville, Kentucky?

MR. DALY: On that may I defer to Mr. Luciani?

MR. LUCIANI: While we do concentric circles when we do the preliminary demographic analysis, as a practical matter what we do when we have an anticipated competitor, what we do is we take the area that there's the overlap and cut its significance.

1 From our perspective, my marketing 2 analysis, we are more of a narrow demand 3 that goes through Indianapolis and back into Ohio to a large extent as opposed to 5 just perfect concentric circles. 6 a long story short, we don't think 7 there's going to be a material impact in 8 terms of the longevity or the 9 profitability of Rising Sun because 10 there's a boat in the Louisville market. MR. THAR: So you're not counting 11 12 on the Louisville market? 13 MR. LUCIANI: No, we are not. 14 MR. THAR: In your projections are 1.5 you assuming one other boat in the 16 southeast Indiana market? 17 MR. LUCIANI: Yes, we are. 18 MR. THAR: And where did you assume 19 that boat, or does it make a difference? 20 MR. LUCIANI: Certainly it makes a 21 difference. We are assuming it would be 22 in Lawrenceburg. 23 MR. THAR: What if there were three

boats, one in Switzerland County, one in Ohio County, and one in Dearborn County? What does that do to your projections?

MR. LUCIANI: We don't think it has a material effect because most of the traffic that we are focusing on would reach us through Lawrenceburg.

MR. THAR: Do you think they would go through Lawrenceburg to get to Rising Sun?

MR. LUCIANI: We're betting \$99
million. I think Hyatt agrees with us.
I think Rising Sun agrees that if we have
the right product, if we are, in fact,
the attraction that we believe that we
can be, yes, they will.

MR. THAR: Let's assume for the moment that Switzerland County and Dearborn County had applicants there who will also be putting forth resort destination type projects. You would be wedged in the middle. Do you see your projections holding?

2 1

MR. LUCIANI: Well, I think that there's a possibility that we could be somewhat affected, but I think it really then revolves around our marketing capability. It revolves around the effectiveness of things like our transportation programs. I mean, let's put it another way. We certainly wouldn't give up the market place just because there were three boats in the market. We think, as I said earlier, I think that this is at least a half billion dollar market.

MR. THAR: You're right. Three boats in the market may not make a difference, but where they're putting them may make a difference. So I'm asking whether or not you have enough faith in your development that if it was wedged between two, and I don't mean to say wedged, but if you were in the middle of three developers do you think your figures are going to hold?

MR. LUCIANI: Yeah, I do. As I said, most of our business as we see it is going to come from the north through Lawrenceburg. The trick is whether or not we're going to have a better product than the facility in Lawrenceburg, and I think that our view is this 190-acre land mass that allows us to create this campus of entertainment is something that we can do.

MR. THAR: You're right. People want a destination type resort, but if you're going to start out with 150 rooms you can't put up more than 150 people.

MR. LUCIANI: Well, it's not 150.

It's more like 300. But you're right.

It revolves around how quickly we can do the build-out. I think our numbers are very much dependent upon the entertainment product that we create. I think that is part of the message that Pat Daly indicated to you. We believe that we have to build essentially the

whole facility starting with 150 or 200 rooms right away.

MR. THAR: I agree, but you still have to rely to a large extent on a day trip market.

MR. LUCIANI: Sure.

MR. THAR: Why is the day trip market going to pass a casino on the right, a casino on the left if all I want to do is drive my car, get out, and gamble?

MR. LUCIANI: There's a couple reasons actually. I mean, first of all, people don't like to be taken for granted. Our gaming public is fairly sophisticated, and they get sophisticated quickly. They want value for their money, and part of that value hopefully will be what we instill in them when we are alone in the market to create some level of customer loyalty with things like our customer loyalty programs, our Rising Sun dollars, to give them an

investment in continuing their patronage with us. It's very important to us to get into the marketplace right away.

MR. THAR: And I don't mean to be glib about this, but there's nobody that's stood up here and said We're going to ignore our customers and we're not going to treat them well. So let's assume that you have competition on either side of you which is reputable companies doing a good job. I'm just simply asking have you explored what your marketing objectives are going to be if you're in the middle of three developments?

MR. LUCIANI: I keep saying the same thing, yes. We have a level of comfort with our projections. We have not sought to create artificially high margins. We think there's room in our projections so that our bottom line will remain essentially the same. We think that there's room in our projections to

increase our cost for promotions. We think that there's room in our projections to have a full scale mass transportation program if necessary.

So I think the long and the short of it is that we think that our main competition will come from Lawrenceburg in the north, and we are prepared to meet that. If there's competition to the south we're prepared to meet that, and I don't think we're going to be terribly far away from the projections that we've given you.

And I think the best example may be to look at what's happened in Illinois and win per units in Illinois. I think that our win per units are conservative. So, as I said, if we ended up in a situation where we had three I think our wins would hold up.

MR. THAR: If everything was equally accessible I would agree with you, but if we put something on the east

and something on the west of you and you're talking about a two-lane highway, which I think is desperately in need of upgrading, do you think you're going to be able to survive?

MR. LUCIANI: Yes.

MR. THAR: And I'll ask Mr.

Pritzker also as a lender in this type of development, a lot of people presumed there might only be two boats in southeast Indiana. If the Commission decided to put three boats there where does that put you as a lender in looking at these figures?

MR. PRITZKER: If I may say so with due humility, we're an expert on competition because we've been battered by it all over the world, and obviously in general we'd like to have less competition than more. In this case I believe the numbers were done contemplating one other boat. My belief is that we could compete effectively with

2 1

two boats in the market or more.

If it happened in the future it clearly will affect the numbers to some extent. However, my view is that you compete by lowering costs, by increasing the expenditures for marketing, by trying to create a superior product, by relying frankly on people's desire for some variety.

People are not inclined to go to the same casino every time. They'll drive an extra 15 or 20 minutes I think to go someplace different and give you a chance to prove that you're better. So we -- in many of our hotels we don't have the best location, and we find we can usually compensate for that to some extent.

We think this is a market that has tremendous depth, that this is a superior site in terms of the natural amenities, and that we can compete effectively from the site. The experience in Illinois, as

I alluded to, certainly has supported that. We were the fourth riverboat to open up in the Chicago market area. As we did so we were able to gain market share quickly without rapidly really hurting the other boats. Everybody has continued to do well, and I think it's actually increased the depth of the market to have more variety and more opportunity for people.

So I guess my answer to you is we would obviously rather see only one additional boat in this market, but our loan does not contemplate that there will be only one additional boat.

MR. THAR: Well, I take it the next step based upon the view that you have of the numbers and, Mr. Luciani, that you have of the numbers, if the Commission were to put three boats in southeast Indiana and then Kentucky and Ohio were to authorize gaming do you see yourself competitive in that situation with that

much competition in that market?

MR. PRITZKER: May I make the first comment? Our hope is that by that time we would have a significant amount of our debt paid down so we would not be facing the same kind of debt burden that we would be requiring at the onset, and that's, of course, one reason why we think it's important for us and for Indiana to get something open as quickly as possible. So the hurdle will not be as high in three to five years. Al, do you have something?

MR. LUCIANI: Yeah. I think that there's a faulty assumption in the sense that not all gaming product is the same. We, like many other businesses, look for spaces in the marketplace not always driven by price but driven by things like entertainment and such other things as the retail outlet program that is different and would be different forever.

If you look at some of the gaming jurisdictions, I mean, no one would say that Circus Circus in Las Vegas competes with the Mirage or Caesar's Palace.

There's room in the marketplace for different personalities, and I think that if we look at the number of people that we have to service it really is our responsibility to adapt ourselves to what the market insists that we are.

We can begin at one particular level of the marketplace and adapt ourselves to another depending upon what our competitors do. I think that we're good enough to do that, and I think that particularly with a partner like Hyatt with their reservation service, with their name recognition, I think it lends a great amount of credence to that conclusion.

MR. THAR: And I don't mean to belabor the point, but if there were only two I see people doing that. The

awkwardness I see is if you're in between
two other developments and you're faced
with the only access -- there is no
interstate highway near you. There is no
four-lane highway for that matter. Are
people -- regardless of the fact that you
put out a nice product, how many people
actually, or do your numbers show, people
are actually willing to bypass a casino
to get to a better casino?

MR. LUCIANI: Yeah. It happens all

the time in other jurisdictions. Let me give you the best example I can. In Rhode Island the pari-mutuels have video lottery terminals, and they have a lot of them. There's several thousand of them all together. The people from Providence don't spend an awful lot of time in those pari-mutuel facilities.

They travel an hour on a two-lane highway for nine or ten miles in order to get to Foxwoods for a different type of an experience. The price even at

Foxwoods is higher, but the fact of the matter is that people are looking for something other than -- how could I say it nicely -- something other than a supermarket attitude toward gaming.

And if we're correct about the infrastructure that has to be put together in other places as compared to this 190 acres we believe that our patron market will appreciate our product and will give longevity to that market.

Anybody can be wrong, and I think that there's room in the world for differing opinions.

I think that after all is said and done, however, I think that we collectively are willing to risk our business, Alfred's money, but the notion here is that we're all comfortable with a lot of experience in these businesses.

We're all comfortable with this location, and we believe we can make it work.

And part of this is a matter of

faith I appreciate, but just because we're dealing with a two-lane road doesn't mean that two-lane road can't have itself corrected in two years or four years, and it doesn't mean that we certainly wouldn't cooperate in that effort if we were given the opportunity.

issues to the problems. I think that the issue is whether or not we can get into this business as quickly as possible, create that name recognition, that market recognition, and that customer loyalty which will help overcome some of those problems.

MR. PRITZKER: If I may comment further, Mr. Thar, although the future of gaming in Ohio and Kentucky is beyond our control and also beyond the control of this Commission, to the extent that you are curious about our view about competition within the state of Indiana I believe my view would be that we would

rather have only one boat to compete with, and if we had two our preference would be to be sandwiched between Lawrenceburg and Switzerland as opposed to having two licenses in Lawrenceburg which would be a worse situation for us.

MR. VOWELS: In reference to marketing expenditures here I'm reviewing the investigation's numbers. 3.3 percent of the marketing as a percentage of the total revenue over the average of a five year average of Ohio, the average, that's less than half, much less than half, of the Evansville licensees' average. Do you feel with the situation that if there was a boat in Lawrenceburg trying to achieve the attention of the eastern market that that's going to be a sufficient amount of money, 3.3 percent?

MR. LUCIANI: It may not in and of itself, but part of the rationale, again, to go back to the Rising Sun dollars is to create some level of uniqueness with

our product. What we would do is take these Rising Sun dollars and utilize them, in effect, as subsidy for retail purchases so that for the customer they would end up getting more from a value perception standpoint than the actual dollar expenditure.

The simple answer is no, we may have to become more aggressive, but I think that we have kind of a unique marketing concept that allows us to have a pretty decent cushion in terms of cost from a wholesale to a retail value while still giving the perception of value.

MR. VOWELS: With Hyatt involved will it somehow utilize its other hotels around the country for marketing?

MR. LUCIANI: I would fully expect that all Hyatt hotels in the near vicinity including the one here in Indianapolis would have some literature that pertains to this development and maybe even some Rising Sun dollars as a

2.2

gift.

MR. KLINEMAN: Anything further? I want to go back to traffic. I'm sorry.

MR. MILCAREK: Yes. Concerning the Rising Sun dollars would that be directly mailed to preferred customers or customers, or how would that work?

MR. LUCIANI: It could be done in many, many different ways. What we tried to do was create something that was very versatile so that once we have a mailing list we can mail the Rising Sun dollars directly to the particular people that we have already recognized as valuable customers.

We can do it with bus packages or other mass transportation packages to create a higher sense of value or perception of value. We can do it with the downtown merchants and do it almost like the old-fashioned SSS Blue Stamps where articles are a certain amount of Rising Sun dollars that take a fair

amount of time to accumulate.

So I think that what we have tried to do is create something very versatile that had many applications and many uses, but by the same token it was something that was tangible that was used from an integration of the downtown community perspective and at the same time was a smart way of spending our marketing dollars.

MR. MILCAREK: In regard to the three boat possibility have you given any thought to possibly working with the other applicants or the other licensees in staggering of the departing times so that everybody wouldn't be coming and going at the same time?

MR. LUCIANI: Yes. I mean, we would certainly hope that we would have a cooperative relationship with the other licensee. We would expect to. It would be in our mutual best interest so that we were complimentary in the marketplace as

opposed to directly competing in the marketplace. So the answer is yes, and I think that a licensee who views the marketplace much as we all do would be happy to sit down and try and work things out.

MR. KLINEMAN: I want to get back to traffic for a minute. Has there been a traffic study produced on State Road 56? There was some mention about four-way stops and other things that apparently are contained in a report indicating what should be done to make 56 a little safer; is that correct?

MR. DALY: Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman, if I may, Steve, would you like to come back up and reintroduce yourself, and, Al, also if you'd like to.

MR. KLINEMAN: You want to state your name for the record, please.

MR. FEHRIBACH: Sure. I'm Steve Fehribach, a professional engineer with A & F Engineering. What I was making

reference to was the question came up about a two-lane roadway, and I was making reference to the fact that your break down of passage occurs at signal light intersections or at four-way stops or something of that nature.

When you've got a two-lane road
that has virtually no one stopping there
you can move a lot of traffic in, and
that is what our analysis showed.
However, our report did suggest to take a
look at, after the sites are open,
traffic signals at their main driveways
which we believe that they would probably
be warranted at that location.

However, since the Indiana

Department of Highways has jurisdiction
on those roadways we would have to
petition them for any improvement that we
would do to this roadway including any
passing lanes, traffic signalization.

Anything that you can dream of in the
right-of-way we would have to petition

INDOT for those improvements.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. KLINEMAN: Well, I would think that unless you have come to the wrong conclusions, as Mr. Thar suggested earlier in the hearings if you'll pay for it INDOT will probably let you do that.

MR. FEHRIBACH: I agree with that.

MR. KLINEMAN: You agreed with it before too I think. But do you have a study that would indicate what should be done to 56 in Ohio County, and my next question would be have you made a study assuming that the proposed improvement of 56 through Lawrenceburg does, in fact, happen, and what does that do to the traffic flow?

MR. FEHRIBACH: We have looked at another site in Lawrenceburg for another client with those improvements. In fact, the improvements that they are making we made the recommendation that they implement those improvements. We have made recommendations in this report to

1 help alleviate problems on 56, four-lane 2 roadways near their driveways and 3 signalizations, and, yes, there is a published report sealed by our firm. Wе 5 have looked at, like I said, a site in 6 Lawrenceburg and this site. MR. KLINEMAN: But the study that 8 you made for this client was only 56 up 9 to the border of Dearborn County? 10 MR. FEHRIBACH: Exactly. We looked 11 at 56 to make sure that it could work, 12 yes. 13 MR. KLINEMAN: And your 14 conclusions? 15 MR. FEHRIBACH: My conclusions are 16 that the two-lane road during peak hours 17 of the boat will work on 56. However, at 18 the turn light points we will have to do 19 extra channelization for left turns, 20 right turns, and signalization. That's 21 the gist of it. 22 MR. KLINEMAN: When you say work, 23 safely work?

MR. FEHRIBACH: Yeah. We measure capacity and level of service much like -- and I want to be careful -- much like a report card A through F, F being the worst, A being wonderful. Typically at an intersection we would want to design for level of service C. However, D is acceptable in some movements. I can say that at these intersections we will have above level of service C after the traffic is there so we're designing for an acceptable level of service, above acceptable.

MR. KLINEMAN: But not wonderful?

MR. FEHRIBACH: Not wonderful,

exactly. There's not many intersections that work probably in the state that are wonderful.

MR. THAR: Does your study contemplate the fact that people, a percentage of people whether we want to say it's high or low, there will be people on the roads now that will be

leaving the riverboat area after they've been drinking, and there may even be some that have been drinking before they got there? Is that looking at the roads and how this is going to work?

MR. FEHRIBACH: No. We don't look at if people have been drinking. We're designing the road for the average driver that's on the road and should be on the road. There's standards set out by the Indiana Department of Highways for radius of curvature, amount of grade, and things like that so those standards would be adhered to in any design changes made to the roadway, but I can't -- I've never designed a road for someone who's been drinking. I don't think I ever would.

MR. THAR: But that factor's not put into your study nor has the concept of somebody rushing to get there been put into your study?

MR. FEHRIBACH: Well, what we did was took a look at 55 mile an hour zones

1 as the speed limit on that road, and we 2 were able to maneuver at the 55 mile an 3 hour speed limit as it is today. If some of the improvements are implemented like 5 a shoulder section -- there's some erosion problems down there that are 7 washing away some of the shoulders -- if 8 those kind of things are taken care of 9 you're making it a much safer road. 10 we're not saying that road is adequate --11 or we are saying it's adequate capacity 12 wise, but we should make some 13 improvements to the road to add certain safety elements to it. 14 15 MR. KLINEMAN: Have you quantified 16 what it would cost to make the 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

improvements that you have suggested be made?

MR. FEHRIBACH: I believe we have.

MR. KLINEMAN: And would you like to share that number with us? If you do not wish to it's all right. And this is just 56 in Rising Sun to the Dearborn

1 County line, right? 2 MR. FEHRIBACH: Erosion control 3 problem near Aurora is about \$500,000. Maximize horizontal clearance on paved 5 edges by providing paved shoulders is 6 about \$1.8 million dollars. Patch and 7 overlay distressed pavement areas to 8 allow for full two-lane roadway is about 9 \$150,000. So you can probably add those 10 quicker than I can. 11 MR. KLINEMAN: And how many miles 12 is that we're talking about? MR. FEHRIBACH: Roughly 12 I 13 14 believe. 15 MR. KLINEMAN: That's \$2,450,000 if 16 my math's correct. 17 MR. THAR: About 200,000 a mile at 18 12 miles. 19 MR. KLINEMAN: Anybody else have 20 anything further? 21 MR. OAK: My name's Al Oak, and I'm 22 with Paul Cripe Engineers, and we serve 23 as civil engineers on the project, and we just wanted to make the point that supports these comments is that we are designing within the laws of the state of Indiana and with some reasonable expectation of average driving, and so if people choose to be outside the law that is, I guess, entirely a different matter. We're not going to try to introduce a problem that we can't deal with in this situation.

The other point that I think is worth emphasizing is that most of the traffic issues we believe will be right near the site, and we intend to fully correct all those situations. And one of the nice things about this project, and particularly the initial stages of the project, is that the traffic, the majority of the traffic, 80 percent of it plus, will be coming from the east, and the construction and all the temporary aspects of the project will, in fact, occur before you get to the city itself,

the town of Rising Sun, so we think this lends itself optimally for the construction of the site.

MR. KLINEMAN: Anybody have anything further? Well, if we've come to the end I want to thank all the people from Ohio County and from Rising Sun for being here again today, and certainly your hospitality to the Commission will not go unnoted. I personally appreciate it, and so do all the other Commissioners.

I want to thank Alpha Rising Sun for staying through some of this, and I presume someone has stayed for their presentation. And I also want to thank you for your presentation which likewise was informative, and with that I guess we'll stand in recess until tomorrow morning at 9 a.m. when we will tackle Switzerland County.

(At this time the proceedings were adjourned.)

STATE OF INDIANA)

SS:
COUNTY OF MARION)

I, Maria W. Collier, Stenographic Reporter within and for the County of Marion, State of Indiana, do hereby certify that on the 15th day of May, 1995, I reported the foregoing Public Meeting; and that the transcript is a full, true and correct transcript made from my stenograph notes.

Maria W. Collier, Residing in Marion County, Indiana

My Commission Expires:

August 25, 1995